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#### Abstract

The size, distribution, and abundance of juvenile chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) was measured in 1995 in the upper 100 km of the Nechako River as part of the seventh year of the Nechako Fisheries Conservation Program (NFCP). Electrofishing surveys showed that the center of distribution of resident $0+$ chinook moved upstream from May to June as the fish searched for rearing habitat. In the fall, resident $0+$ chinook redistributed themselves evenly along the length of the upper river in preparation for overwintering. Maximum density of electrofished $0+$ chinook occurred in mid-May and then decreased over the May-November period at an average rate of $0.22 \% / \mathrm{d}$ for day catches and $1.07 \% / \mathrm{d}$ for night catches. Maximum numbers of outmigrating $0+$ chinook captured by rotary screw traps at Diamond Island also occurred in mid-May. Rotary screw trap catches of juvenile chinook decreased over the May-June period at a rate of $5.62 \% / \mathrm{d}$ for day catches and $4.62 \% / \mathrm{d}$ for night catches. A total of 2,563 $0+$ chinook and $941+$ chinook were captured by the rotary screw traps. Expansion of these numbers by the proportion of river volume sampled by the traps provided an index of downstream migration of 45,025 0+ chinook and 1,660 $1+$ chinook.


## INIRODUCTION

This report describes juvenile chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) size, distribution and abundance in the upper 100 km of the Nechako River in 1995. The investigations were carried out as part of the seventh year (1995-1996) of the Nechako Fisheries Conservation Program (NFCP). The objectives of the investigations were to measure the size and growth of juvenile salmon and their spatial-temporal distribution in the upper Nechako River, and to obtain an index of the number of juveniles that migrated downstream of Diamond Island from March to July.

## MEIHODS

## Study Sites

The study area included the upper 100 km of the Nechako River from Kenney Dam to Fort Fraser (Figure 1). It was divided into four reaches with the following boundaries, as originally defined by Envirocon Ltd. (1984):

| Reach | Distance $(\mathrm{km})$ from Kenney Dam |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | $9.0-14.6$ |
| 2 | $14.6-43.0$ |
| 3 | $43.0-66.6$ |
| 4 | $66.6-100.6$ |

In this report, all longitudinal distances are in kilometers from Kenney Dam. The first 9 km of the river are within the Nechako River Canyon, which was dewatered by the closing of Kenney Dam in October 1952. The majority of the flows in the upper river occur downstream of Cheslatta Falls.

## Water Temperature and Discharge

Mean daily water temperatures were measured by a datalogger at Bert Irvine's Lodge in Reach 2 of the river, 19 km below Kenney Dam. They are reported as preliminary data from Environment Canada.

Daily spot temperatures were recorded by handheld thermometers at Diamond Island, approximately 70 km below Kenney Dam, as part of the operation of the rotary screw traps. They are reported as data from Triton Environmental Consultants Ltd.

Daily water flows were recorded at Skins Lake Spillway (WSC station 08JA013) and at the Nechako River below Cheslatta Falls (WSC station 08JA017), and are reported as preliminary data from Water Survey of Canada (WSC).

## Electrofishing Surveys

Each year since 1990, NFCP has conducted electrofishing surveys of the upper Nechako River to measure the relative abundance and spatial distribu-

tion of juvenile chinook. The surveys began as a temporary replacement for inclined plane traps that were rendered inoperable in 1990 due to high river flows. Over the last six years they have become one of the most important components of the chinook monitoring program, mainly because they show spatial variation in juvenile density during spring and summersomething no fixed gear can do-and because electrofishing can be done at high flow levels that would render some fixed gear inoperable.

In 1995, as in previous years, an index of juvenile chinook salmon abundance was obtained from sin-gle-pass electrofishing surveys of each of the four reaches. Surveys began in April and continued through May and June. They were discontinued during July and August because summer cooling flows were too high to allow safe and effective electrofishing. Large flows are released into the upper river during July and August to cool the river and thereby reduce prespawning mortality of sockeye salmon (Oncorhynchus nerka) migrating through the lower Nechako River to spawning grounds in the Stuart, Stellako and Nadina River systems. The program of releases is called the Summer Temperature Management Program or STMP. Electrofishing surveys resumed in September and November. Surveys of Reaches 1 through 4 were completed in each of the months sampled. Electrofishing surveys were carried out at night as well as during the day. Night was defined as the time period between sunset and sunrise.

Surveys were conducted on prime habitat for juvenile chinook salmon, defined as depth $>0.5 \mathrm{~m}$, velocity $>0.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ and a substrate of gravel and cobble (Envirocon Ltd. 1984). That habitat was found mainly along the margins of the river, so electrofishing surveys did not sample the portion of the population that may have resided in mid-channel. However, midchannel residents are a minor component of the population of juvenile chinook. Electrofishing surveys conducted by the Department of Fisheries and Oceans showed that the densities of chinook inhabiting the margins of the river were 70 times greater than midchannel densities (Nechako River Project 1987). The same study also showed that $97 \%$ of juvenile chinook observed by snorkelling were found along river margins.

Fish were captured with a single pass of a Smith Root model 15A backpack electrofisher, identified to species, counted, and released live back into the river. Catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) of juvenile chinook was the number of fish caught at a site divided by the area that was electrofished. Area was expressed in units of $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ to avoid fractional CPUE. Age of juvenile chinook was recorded as $0+$ or $1+$, based on fork length. Juvenile chinook less than 90 mm long were classified as $0+$. Those over 90 mm in length in the spring and early summer were classified as $1+$, but those over 90 mm long in late summer were classified as $0+$ because by that time all $1+$ chinook had migrated out of the upper Nechako River. Rainbow trout were classified as juveniles if their length was $<200 \mathrm{~mm}$ and adults if their length was $>200 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Before release, 10 to 15 chinook were measured for body size. Fork length was measured to the nearest 1 mm with a measuring board, and wet weight was measured to the nearest 0.01 g with an electronic balance. Following the practice of previous years, Fulton's condition factor (Ricker 1975):
(1) $\mathrm{CF}=$ weight $(\mathrm{g}) \times 10^{5} /[\text { fork length }(\mathrm{mm})]^{3}$
was used as an index of physical condition.
Mean daily length and weight of $0+$ and $1+$ chinook were calculated separately for day and night catches because fish could potentially avoid sampling gear more successfully during the day than during the night, and because the behaviour of juvenile chinook varies with time of day-resting near instream cover during the day and migrating during dusk and dawn.

It is important to note that electrofished areas were not blocked off with nets, which meant that some fish could avoid capture by leaving a sampling area during a pass or by diving into crevices in the substrate. That meant that electrofishing catch was an underestimate of the total number of fish in a survey area. Two-pass or three-pass sampling of blocked off survey areas would have been necessary to estimate total numbers. However, the Nechako River electrofishing survey was not designed to estimate absolute numbers-it was designed to provide an index of relative abundance which could be compared between years.

That sampling strategy is called "semi-quantitative", to use a term coined by Crozier and Kennedy (1995). It has two advantages over the fully quantitative method. First, it is the only electrofishing technique that can be used when it is impossible or impractical to enclose a survey area in blocking nets because the area is too large to be enclosed or flows through the area are too strong to allow nets to be installed. For example, almost all electrofishing conducted in lakes and reservoirs (DeVries et al. 1995; Van Den Ayle et al. 1995; Miranda et al. 1996), and in large rivers (R.L.\&L. Environmental Services Ltd. 1994), is semiquantitative. The upper Nechako River is too wide, deep and fast-moving to allow any part of the mainstem to be blocked off with nets.

Second, it is often necessary to use semi-quantitative methods when the region to be surveyed is large and contains many possible survey sites, but the time and resources available for sampling are limited (Crozier and Kennedy 1995). The upper Nechako River is too long for cost-effective quantitative sampling of its entire length several times a year.

There are two disadvantages of the semi-quantitative method. First, semi-quantitative electrofishing CPUE cannot be compared to fully quantitative CPUE unless the former are calibrated by the latter. That is, unless total numbers are estimated for a subset of the same areas that are semi-quantitatively surveyed, and a calibration relationship is developed from a comparison of the two types of CPUE (e.g., Serns 1982; Hall 1986; Coble 1992; McInerny and Degan 1993; Edwards et al. 1987). At present, conversion of electrofishing CPUE to absolute CPUE is not an NFCP objective because the purpose of the electrofishing surveys is to search for among-year variation in relative abundance of juvenile chinook abundance and not to compare it with absolute abundances of other chinook streams.

Second, semi-quantitative sampling assumes that the efficiency of capture, the fraction of total number of fish in a survey area that are caught in a single electrofishing pass, is constant for all sites and species of fish. However, electrofishing catch efficiency is known to vary significantly with fish species, fish body size, type of habitat, time of day, water temperature, and the training and experience of personnel conducting the survey (Bohlin et al. 1989, 1990). The

NFCP electrofishing project reduced error in estimation of CPUE by sampling only one type of habitat (prime juvenile chinook habitat), by focusing analysis on only one species (chinook), by analysing CPUE from night and day surveys separately, and by using the same experienced crew leaders each year. However, the study plan does not account for changes in catch efficiency due to seasonal changes in fish size and water temperature.

## Rotary Screw Traps

Rotary screw traps (RST) were used to estimate the number of juvenile chinook that migrated downstream past Diamond Island. RSTs were installed in early April and removed in late July to avoid high summer cooling flows in August. The traps were not re-installed in September because too few chinook salmon had been caught in the fall of previous years to justify re-installation of traps.
An RST consisted of a floating platform on top of which was a rotating cone. In front of the cone was an A-frame with a winch that was used to set the vertical position of the mouth of the cone, half of which was always submerged. In the back of the cone was a live box where captured fish were kept alive until the trap was emptied. The cone was 1.43 m long and was made of 3 mm thick aluminum sheet metal with multiple perforations to allow for draining of water. The diameter of the cone tapered from 1.55 m at the mouth to 0.3 m at the downstream end. Inside the cone was an auger or screw, the blades of which were painted black to reduce avoidance by fish. As the current of the river struck the blades of the screw, it forced the cone to rotate. Any fish that entered the cone were trapped in a temporary chamber formed by the screw blades. As the cone rotated, the chamber moved down the cone until its contents were deposited in the live box.

Three RSTs were installed off Diamond Island: RST 1 near the left bank, RST 2 in the middle of the river, and RST 3 near the right bank. RSTs were suspended from a cable strung across the river channel. The 1.5 m space between the right bank of the river and RST 3 was blocked with a wing made of wood beams with wire mesh. The 15 m long space between the left bank of the river and RST 1 was not blocked with a wing. Instead, one 2'x3' inclined plane trap (IPT) and three
fyke nets were set side-by-side in the space to measure the outmigration of fish along the margin of the left river.

Each trap was emptied twice each day at about 0700 and 2000 hours. All fish were collected from the live trap and counted and identified to species. A subsample of 10-15 chinook salmon was kept for length and weight measurement using the same techniques described above for the electrofishing surveys, after which all fish, including the subsampled fish, were released live back into the river.

An index of the number of juvenile chinook passing Diamond Island in a day was calculated by multiplying the total number of fish caught in an RST in a time period (day or night) by the ratio of the total flow of the river to the flow that passes through the RST:
(2) $\quad \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{ij}}\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{j}} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)$
where $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ij}}=$ number of juvenile salmon passing Diamond Island on the $j t h$ date as estimated by the catches of the ith trap, $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{ij}}=$ number of chinook salmon caught in the $i$ th trap on the $j$ th date, $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ij}}=$ water flow $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} . \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ through the ith trap on the $j$ th date, and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{j}}=$ total water flow ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ) of the Nechako River past Diamond Island on the $j$ th date. All analyses of rotary screw trap data were based on expanded numbers rather than on catches.
$V_{j}$ was estimated from the height of the river surface at Diamond Island, as measured with a staff gauge, using a linear regression between flow and the height of the staff gauge $\left(\mathrm{n}=7, \mathrm{r}^{2}=0.99, \mathrm{P}<0.001\right)$ :
(3) $\quad \log _{e}\left(\right.$ Nechako flow, $\left.\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)=-2.636+$ $1.519^{*} \log _{\mathrm{e}}$ (staff height, cm )

The regression was calculated for steady flow conditions. Those occurred from April 16 to May 21, ranging from 47.5 to $64.0 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ at Cheslatta Falls and from 51.6 to $69.1 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ at Smith Creek near Diamond Island. Equation (3) was similar to flow-height equations used in previous years. Flows and staff gauge height were $\log _{\mathrm{e}}$-transformed to linearize the exponential relationship between the two variables.
Water flow through a trap $\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)$ was the product of one half the cross-sectional area ( $1.61 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) of the mouth of the trap (the trap mouth was always half-submerged)
and average water velocity in front of the trap. Average water velocity ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) was measured with a MarshMcBirney flow meter at three different places in the front of the mouth of the RST. The one exception to this rule was RST 3, where $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ was increased to include the water that flowed between it and the right bank of the river because the fish that would ordinarily have passed through this gap were diverted into RST 3 by the right wing.

Since there were three RSTs, there were three estimates of total number each day. The best estimate of the total index number of chinook salmon was the mean of the three estimates weighted by the flow that passed through each trap.

## Inclined Plane Traps

An inclined plane trap (IPT) was installed at Diamond Island in early April and removed in late June. As in previous years, too few chinook salmon were caught after June to justify operating the IPT during the remainder of the year.

The 2'x3' IPT was set just left of RST 1, located near the left bank, at Diamond Island. Its purpose was to measure the number of fish passing between RST 1 and the left margin of the river. This allowed an assessment of the practical effect of blocking the 15 m distance between RST 1 and the left margin of the river with a wood and mesh wing, as was done in years previous to 1992. If substantial numbers of fish were caught by the IPT, then one could conclude that the wing had been directing fish into the traps; but if IPT catches were relatively small, then one could conclude that the wing had not been directing fish into the traps. The IPT was not used to estimate the total index number of fish passing past Diamond Island because IPTs have serious avoidance problems.

The IPT consisted of two aluminum pontoons supporting an inclined plane 0.9 m wide, the bottom edge of which touched the bottom of the river. The IPT was anchored by pushing its four steel supporting legs into the substrate. Fish that approached the trap were forced by water flow up the plane and over its downstream edge into a live box at the back of the trap. Some large fish were undoubtedly able to avoid capture by swimming downstream before falling over the
edge of the trap. However, this was unlikely to have significantly reduced catches of $0+$ chinook because fish larger than the largest $0+$ chinook were captured by IPTs. The box was emptied twice each day at the same time as the live boxes of the RSTs, and the contents were processed in the same manner as those of the RSTs. The daily catches of the IPT were not expanded by water volume to calculate indices of the number of fish passing Diamond Island.

## Fyke Nets

Fyke nets were used for the same purpose as the IPT, and they were installed and removed at the same times as the IPT.

Fyke nets are mesh bags with a rectangular mouth 30 cm high and 60 cm wide supported by metal bars. Three fyke nets were anchored to the left of the IPT with steel poles pushed into the river substrate. Fyke net 1 was placed closest to the left bank of the river in water about 10 cm deep. Fyke net 2 was placed farther out into the river in about 20 cm of water, and fyke net 3 was placed between fyke net number 2 and the IPT in about 30 cm of water. The bottom of each net mouth touched the river bottom and the top was about 10 cm above the water surface so the entire water column was sampled. The net was 1 m long with a mesh width of 0.64 cm . The net led into the top of a live box. The contents of the box were collected twice a day at the same time as the RSTs and the IPT, and they were processed the same way. Fyke net catches were not expanded to calculate indices of total population number.

## RESUIS AND DISCUSSION

## Water Temperature

Mean daily water temperature of the Nechako River at Bert Irvine's Lodge rose from a minimum of $0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in January to a maximum of $19.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in late June and then decreased to a second minimum of $0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in early December (Figure 2).

Mean spot temperatures at Diamond Island followed a similar temporal pattern during the spring and early summer, but they were about $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ higher than temperatures at Bert Irvine's due to solar heating of river water as it passed downstream.

## Discharge

Flow of the Nechako River was roughly constant at an average of $45.4 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ from January 1 to July 11, 1995 (Figure 3). From July 11 to August 16, flows from the Skins Lake Spillway were increased as part of the STMP. The increases were in the form of two broad pulses, the first to a maximum of $453.1 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ on July $17-19$, and the second to $169.9 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ on July $26-\mathrm{Au}-$ gust 16. After falling to $14.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ on August 17 -September 1, flows increased to an average of $32.2 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ for the remainder of the year. The pulses resulted in flows in the Nechako River below Cheslatta Falls of up to $298.0 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$.

## Size and Growth of Chinook Salmon

## Electrofishing

## O+ Chinook Salmon: Sources of Variation

To determine the factors responsible for changes in the size of $0+$ chinook salmon over time, standard twofactor analyses of variance (ANOVA) of length-at-date and weight-at-date were conducted with two factors: time of day (two classes: day and night) and date (four classes: April 1-30, May 1-31, June 1-30 and November 1-30). In this case, and in all subsequent ANOVAs of this study, the date classes were chosen so that there was a roughly equal distribution of data in each class. The ANOVAs showed that:
(1) there was highly significant variation with date of mean length ( $\mathrm{F}_{3,2329}=7053.378$, $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) and mean weight $\left(\mathrm{F}_{3,2327}=4861.281\right.$, $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ). Figures 4 and 5 (and Appendix 1) showed that the variation was due to growth;
(2) mean length ( $\mathrm{F}_{1,2329}=32.998, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) and mean weight $\left(\mathrm{F}_{1,2327}=6293.832, \mathrm{P}<0.001\right)$ of $0+$ chinook salmon were highly significantly different between day and night catches. Mean length was $48.7 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{SD}=14.0, \mathrm{n}=1771)$ at night compared to $45.0 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{SD}=17.1$, $\mathrm{n}=566$ ) during the day. Mean weight was $1.64 \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{SD}=2.08, \mathrm{n}=1771)$ at night compared to $1.45 \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{SD}=2.82, \mathrm{n}=564)$ during the day. The most likely reasons for the apparent daynight size differences are: (a) greater vulnerability of fish of all sizes to capture at night than during the day because fish cannot de-

Figure 2
Mean Daily Water Temperatures of the Nechako River, 1995


Figure 3
Mean Daily Flow of the Nechako River at Skins Lake Spillway and Cheslatta Falls, 1995

tect and avoid electrofishing gear as well at night as during the day; and (b) a wider size range of fish are active along the river margins at night than during the day because all juvenile chinook tend to migrate more at night than during the day to avoid predators; and
(3) the interaction of date and time of day was highly significant for length $\left(\mathrm{F}_{3,2329}=20.081\right.$, $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) but not significant ( $\mathrm{F}_{3,2327}=2.177$, $\mathrm{P}>0.140$ ) for weight. Figures 4 and 5 show that for both length and weight, mean night sizes were greater than mean day sizes for the first three of the four date classes. For an unknown reason, the situation in November was reversed with mean lengths and weights of November day catches being greater than the mean lengths and weights of November night classes. The variances of the mean lengths were small enough that this difference between date classes was significant, but the variances of mean weights were large enough that the difference was not significant.

## 0+ Chinook Salmon: Growth

Growth of 0+ chinook salmon electrofished along the river margins appeared to follow two separate growth stanzas (Ricker 1979). Growth was very slow between April and May, but much faster between May and June and between June and November (Figures 4 and 5). The first stanza was due to continuous emergence of fry over a period of several weeks-the numbers of emergent fry were great enough to force the mean size of all fry caught to stay close to the mean size of emergent fry. After emergence ceased, the second stanza began and the true growth rate of juvenile chinook became apparent. Based on the curvature of the mean length-at-date and weight-at-date plots shown in Figures 4 and 5, emergence ceased sometime in mid-May.

Growth of $0+$ chinook salmon after emergence ceased was described with a one-cycle Gompertz growth curve (Zweifel and Lasker 1976), the standard growth model for the early life history stages of fish. A "cycle" is a period of constant growth pattern with the same meaning as a "growth stanza". The Gompertz model for length was:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L}_{0} \exp \left[\left(\mathrm{~A}_{0} / \alpha\right)(1-\exp (-\alpha \mathrm{t}))\right] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L=$ length (mm) at age $t(d), L_{0}=$ length (mm) at emergence, $\mathrm{A}_{0}=$ instantaneous growth rate $\left(\mathrm{d}^{-1}\right)$ at emergence, and $\alpha=$ instantaneous rate $\left(\mathrm{d}^{-1}\right)$ at which $\mathrm{A}_{0}$ decayed with age. The one-cycle Gompertz model for weight was the same as equation (4) except that $\mathrm{W}_{0}$, the weight (g) at emergence, was substituted for $\mathrm{L}_{0}$.

The simplest way of estimating age from date was to modify equation (4) by inserting the parameter $\mathrm{DOY}_{0}$, the mean day of the year (DOY) on which emergence ceased and the second growth stanza began. Therefore, $\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{DOY}-\mathrm{DOY}_{0}$ and the modified Gompertz model for length was:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L}_{0} \exp \left[\left(\mathrm{~A}_{0} / \alpha\right)(1-\exp (-\alpha(\mathrm{DOY}-\mathrm{DOY} 0)))\right] \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{L}_{0}$ was fixed at 38 mm and $\mathrm{W}_{0}$ was fixed at 0.40 g , the mean length and weight of emergent chinook fry caught in emergence traps located near Bert Irvine's (Triton Environmental Consultants Ltd. 1996). Values of $A_{0}, \alpha$ and $\mathrm{DOY}_{0}$ were estimated from mean daily lengths and weights with the non-linear regression program NLR of the SPSS statistical library (SPSS 1994). Each daily mean was weighted by its sample size. Day and night data were pooled to produce a single growth curve. (Although mean sizes were significantly different between day and night catches, the magnitude of the differences were small, there was only one population of juvenile chinook present in the Nechako River, and there is little practical value in calculating separate growth curves for day- and night-caught fish.) Mean length-at-date and weight-at-date collected in April was excluded because it belonged to the first growth stanza.

The modified Gompertz curves provided good fits to lengths-at-date and weights-at-date, explaining up to $99 \%$ of the variation in mean size (Figures 4 and 5). The average date at which emergence ceased was estimated to be May $9(\mathrm{DOY}=129)$ for length and May 8 (DOY = 128) for weight.

## 1+ Chinook Salmon: Growth

Growth of $1+$ chinook was best described with simple linear regressions of mean length and weight on day of year, with mean size weighted by sample size (Figures 6 and 7). The length-DOY regression was significant-mean length of $1+$ chinook rose from 98 mm on April $14(\mathrm{DOY}=104)$ to 105 mm on May 15 $(D O Y=135)$ at a rate $( \pm 1 \mathrm{SE})$ of $0.22 \pm 0.03 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{d}$. The

Figure 4
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Length-At-Date of 0+ Chinook Salmon, Nechako River, 1995: Electrofishing


Figure 5
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Weight-At-Date of 0+ Chinook Salmon,
Nechako River, 1995: Electrofishing


Figure 6
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Length-At-Date of 1+ Chinook Salmon, Nechako River, 1995: Electrofishing


Figure 7
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Weight-At-Date of 1+ Chinook Salmon, Nechako River, 1995: Electrofishing

weight-DOY regression was also significant-mean weight rose from 12.25 g on April 14 to 14.33 g on May 15 at a rate $( \pm 1 \mathrm{SE})$ of $0.07 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{d}$.

0+ and 1+ Chinook Salmon: Weight-Length Relationship
Following customary practice, a power function was used to model the relationship between weight and length of $0+$ and $1+$ chinook salmon:
(6a) $\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{aL}^{\mathrm{b}}$
where a was a coefficient with units of $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mm}$ and b was the length exponent. Equation (6a) was fit to individual weights and lengths after logarithmic transformation converted it to a linear regression:
(6b) $\log _{e}(W)=\log _{e}(a)+b \log _{e}(L)$.
Equation (6b) explained $96.8 \%$ of the variance in $\log _{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{W})$ (Figure 8). However, it overestimated the weight of the largest fish, indicating that the weightlength relationship for juvenile chinook was not linear over the entire juvenile stage. Instead, there appeared to be one linear relationship for small $0+$ fish and a second linear relationship for large $0+$ fish plus all $1+$ fish. The approximate $\log _{e}(\mathrm{~L})$ at which the two groups diverged was 4.30 or a length of 74 mm . That average length was reached in late July and early August (see Figure 4).

## 0+ and 1+ Chinook Salmon: Condition

Average condition of $0+$ chinook increased from a minimum of $0.68 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ in early April to an asymptotic value of about $1.20 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ in June (Figure 9). The average condition of $0+$ chinook in mid-November was slightly lower at about $1.06 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$. Condition of $1+$ chinook salmon was constant over AprilMay, 1995, at a mean condition similar to that of 0+ chinook captured in the fall of 1995 (Figure 10).

## Diamond Island Traps

## O+ Chinook Salmon: Sources of Variation

To determine if there were day-night differences in the size of $0+$ chinook caught by all three types of traps at Diamond Island, standard two-factor ANOVAs of length-at-date and weight-at-date were conducted. The ANOVAs were identical in structure to those conducted on chinook caught by electrofishing. They showed that:
(1) there was highly significant variation with date of mean length $\left(\mathrm{F}_{2,2786}=1401.114\right.$, $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) and mean weight $\left(\mathrm{F}_{2,2786}=1274.661\right.$, $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ). Figures 11 and 12 (and Appendix 2) showed that variation was due to growth;
(2) mean length ( $\mathrm{F}_{1,2786}, \mathrm{P}=0.008$ ) and mean weight $\left(\mathrm{F}_{1,2786}=21.465, \mathrm{P}<0.001\right)$ of $0+$ chinook salmon were significantly greater in night catches than in day catches. Mean length was $43.7 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{SD}=5.2, \mathrm{n}=2128)$ at night compared to $43.0 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{SD}=5.2, \mathrm{n}=664)$ during the day, and mean weight was 0.93 g ( $\mathrm{SD}=0.46, \mathrm{n}=2128$ ) at night compared to $0.83 \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{SD}=0.42, \mathrm{n}=664)$ during the day. These day-night differences were most likely due to the same reasons discussed above for electrofished juveniles; and
(3) the interaction of date and time of day was highly significant for both length ( $\mathrm{F}_{2,2786}=$ 35.597, $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) and weight $\left(\mathrm{F}_{2,2786}=50.524\right.$, $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ). Figures 11 and 12 showed that that was due to an increase in the day-night differences in mean size in June and July compared to April and May. The day-night difference in mean length rose from 0.5 mm in April to 1.3 mm in May and then fell to -4.2 mm in June-July. The difference in mean weight rose from 0.00 g in April to 0.11 g in May and then fell to -0.52 g in June-July.

## 0+ Chinook Salmon: Growth

Unlike the electrofishing data, where the monthly schedule of sampling allowed a clear separation of the two growth stanzas, the size-at-date data from the Diamond Island traps showed a gradual transition between stanzas over several weeks in mid-May. To fit Gompertz growth curves to the Diamond Island data, the second stanza was defined as starting between DOY 128 and 134, based on a visual assessment of the plots of size-at-date. Gompertz curves were then fit to size-at-date for each of the seven possible starting dates and the regression that explained the most variation in size, i.e., had the highest $\mathrm{r}^{2}$, was chosen. Starting dates of DOY $=131$ and 130 were found to provide the highest $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ for length and weight, respectively (Figures 11 and 12).

Figure 8
Regression of Weight on Length for Juvenile Chinook Salmon, Nechako River, 1995: Electrofishing


Figure 9
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Condition-At-Date of 0+ Chinook Salmon, Nechako River, 1995: Electrofishing


Figure 10
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Condition-At-Date of 1+ Chinook Salmon, Nechako River, 1995: Electrofishing


Figure 11
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Length-At-Date of 0+ Chinook Salmon Captured in Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1995


Figure 12
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Weight-At-Date of 0+ Chinook Salmon Captured in Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1995


## 1+ Chinook Salmon: Growth

A total of 93 1+ chinook salmon were captured in 1995 (Appendix 2). No growth curves were fit to their sizes-at-date because there were no significant changes of mean length with date ( $\mathrm{F}_{1,92}=0.350$, $\mathrm{P}=0.555$ ) or of mean weight with date ( $\mathrm{F}_{1,92}=2.734$, $\mathrm{P}=0.102$ ) (Figures 13 and 14).

## 0+ and 1+ Chinook Salmon: Weight-Length Relationship

A regression of weight on length for RST-caught chinook ( $\mathrm{n}=2,883, \mathrm{r}^{2}=0.9759, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ):
(7) $\log _{e}(W)=-13.973+3.607 \log _{e}(L)$,
was almost identical to the regression for juvenile chinook salmon captured by electrofishing and so it is not shown as a figure in this report.

## 0+ and 1+ Chinook Salmon: Condition

The plot of mean condition-at-date of $0+$ chinook salmon was similar to that shown for electrofished fish-condition increased over April and May to an asymptote in June and July (Figure 15). The asymp-
tote lay between 0.98 and $1.80 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$. Condition of $1+$ chinook was constant with date-mean condition of $1+$ fish was similar to the asymptotic mean condition of $0+$ chinook in summer (Figure 16).

## Catches of Chinook Salmon

## Electrofishing/All Species

A total of 1,057 electrofishing sweeps were made along the margins of the upper Nechako River from April to November, 1995. The average area covered by a sweep was $134 \mathrm{~m}^{2}(\mathrm{SD}=145)$. A total of 73,101 fish from 12 species or families were captured (Table 1). Redsided shiner (Richardsonius balteatus) was the most common species and sockeye salmon (Oncorhynchus nerka) was the least common species. Juvenile chinook salmon was the sixth most common species, making up $8.316 \%$ by number of all fish captured.

## Electrofishing/0+ Chinook

A total of 6,016 $0+$ chinook and $631+$ chinook were captured by electrofishing (Table 2). Sixteen percent of $0+$ chinook and $30 \%$ of $1+$ chinook were taken dur-

Figure 13
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Length-At-Date of $1+$ Chinook Salmon Captured in Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1995


Figure 14
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Weight-At-Date of 1+ Chinook Salmon Captured in Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1995


Figure 15
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Condition-At-Date of 0+ Chinook Salmon Captured in Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1995


Figure 16
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Condition-At-Date of $1+$ Chinook Salmon Captured in Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1995


Table 1
Number of Fish Captured in the Upper Nechako River, 1995, by Electrofishing

| Species | Scientific Name | Adult |  |  |  | Juvenile |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Day | Night | Total | Percent | Day | Night | Total | Percent | Day | Night | Total | Percent |
| Redsided shiner | Richardsonius balteatus | 661 | 2800 | 3461 | 4.735 | 9071 | 14025 | 23096 | 31.595 | 9732 | 16825 | 26557 | 36.329 |
| Largescale sucker | Catostomus macrocheilus | 3 | 380 | 383 | 0.524 | 4757 | 6554 | 11311 | 15.473 | 4760 | 6934 | 11694 | 15.997 |
| Northern squawfish | Ptychocheilus oregonensis | 15 | 237 | 252 | 0.345 | 1372 | 7155 | 8527 | 11.665 | 1387 | 7392 | 8779 | 12.009 |
| Longnose dace | Rhinichthys cataractae | 349 | 150 | 499 | 0.683 | 5528 | 1675 | 7203 | 9.853 | 5877 | 1825 | 7702 | 10.536 |
| Leopard dace | Rhinichthys falcatus | 809 | 469 | 1278 | 1.748 | 3556 | 2822 | 6378 | 8.725 | 4365 | 3291 | 7656 | 10.473 |
| Chinook salmon | Oncorhynchus tshawytscha | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 969 | 5110 | 6079 | 8.316 | 969 | 5110 | 6079 | 8.316 |
| Sculpins | Cottidae | 352 | 643 | 995 | 1.361 | 1063 | 1271 | 2334 | 3.193 | 1415 | 1914 | 3329 | 4.554 |
| Rocky Mountain whitefish | Prosopium williamsoni | 3 | 30 | 33 | 0.045 | 196 | 784 | 980 | 1.341 | 199 | 814 | 1013 | 1.386 |
| Rainbow trout | Oncorhynchus mykiss | 11 | 14 | 25 | 0.034 | 59 | 140 | 199 | 0.272 | 70 | 154 | 224 | 0.306 |
| Peamouth chub | Mylocheilus caurinus | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.001 | 4 | 47 | 51 | 0.070 | 5 | 47 | 52 | 0.071 |
| Burbot | Lota lota | 3 | 3 | 6 | 0.008 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 0.008 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 0.016 |
| Other | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0.004 |
| Sockeye salmon | Oncorhynchus nerka | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.001 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.001 |
| Total |  | 2207 | 4726 | 6933 | 9.484 | 26578 | 39587 | 66165 | 90.512 | 28787 | 44314 | 73101 | 100.000 |

Table 2
Mean Monthly Electrofishing Catch-Per-Unit-Effort (CPUE) of Juvenile Chinook Salmon in the Nechako River, 1995

| Date | Number of fish |  | n | 0+ CPUE |  | 1+ CPUE |  | 0+ $\log _{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{CPUE}+1)$ |  | $1+\log _{\mathrm{e}}($ CPUE +1$)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0+ | 1+ |  | mean | SD | mean | SD | mean | SD | mean | SD |
| Day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-Apr-95 | 235 | 19 | 137 | 1.420 | 1.879 | 0.110 | 0.511 | 0.6413 | 0.6711 | 0.0600 | 0.2429 |
| 11-May-95 | 507 | 0 | 137 | 2.923 | 6.430 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.7932 | 0.9309 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 10-Jun-95 | 145 | 0 | 137 | 0.638 | 2.448 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.2174 | 0.5420 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 04-Nov-95 | 63 | 0 | 119 | 0.434 | 0.963 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.2305 | 0.4488 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| sum | 950 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Night |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-Apr-95 | 279 | 30 | 137 | 1.666 | 2.703 | 0.183 | 0.654 | 0.6352 | 0.7704 | 0.1041 | 0.2984 |
| 12-May-95 | 2245 | 14 | 137 | 13.520 | 15.673 | 0.081 | 0.300 | 2.1056 | 1.1463 | 0.0552 | 0.1887 |
| 11-Jun-95 | 2427 | 0 | 137 | 13.185 | 18.392 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 2.0700 | 1.0924 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 04-Nov-95 | 115 | 0 | 116 | 0.789 | 1.714 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.3523 | 0.5823 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| sum | 5066 | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 6016 | 63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

ing daylight and the rest were taken at night. Catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) of electrofishing catches of $0+$ chinook ranged from 0.00 to 111.33 fish $/ 100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, and CPUE of $1+$ chinook ranged from 0.00 to 5.83 fish/100 $\mathrm{m}^{2}$. The variance of mean monthly CPUE increased directly with mean monthly CPUE, indicating that CPUE was not normally distributed. The $\log _{e}($ CPUE +1$)$ transformation was used to stabilise the variance (Sokal and Rohlf 1981).

## Temporal Distribution of CPUE

Plots of mean monthly $\log _{e}(\mathrm{CPUE}+1)$ on date showed that maximum density of $0+$ chinook salmon occurred in mid-May for both day and night catches (Table 2 and Figure 17). After the date of maximum density, $\log _{e}($ CPUE +1$)$ decreased linearly with date through to November. To calculate the average rate of loss of $0+$ chinook density with time, individual measurements of $\log _{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{CPUE}+1$ ) were regressed on day of year for day and night catches separately. Data collected in April were excluded because it fell on the ascending left-hand limb of the catch curves. The predictive regressions were highly significant $(\mathrm{P}<0.001)$. The
percent of variance explained by the regressions did not exceed $40 \%$ because of the large variation in $\log _{e}($ CPUE +1$)$ due to non-uniform distribution of chinook along the river.

The night-time rate of loss of $\log _{e}(C P U E+1)$ of $1.07 \% / \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{SE}=0.07)$ was almost five times greater than the daytime rate of loss of $0.22 \% / \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{SE}=0.05)$ (Figure 17). The difference in rates was highly significant ( $\mathrm{t}_{772}=10.424, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ). The cause of the daynight difference in loss rates was a day-night difference in mean $\log _{e}(C P U E+1)$ in May and June. The reason for the day-night difference in mean $\log _{e}$ (CPUE +1 ) was that young chinook in spring were far more vulnerable to capture at night than during day, either because they were less able to detect and avoid the gear at night than during the day or because their distribution across habitats was different between night and day. That is, fry may have sought refuge during the day in habitat that was difficult to sample, but they came out of refuge at night and were therefore caught in greater numbers. This meant that estimates of mean night $\log _{e}(C P U E+1)$ in May and June were more realistic, accurate and higher than

Figure 17
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Monthly Electrofishing Catch-Per-Unit-Effort (CPUE) of 0+ Chinook Salmon in the Nechako River, 1995

estimates of mean day $\log _{e}(C P U E+1)$ over the same time period. However, by October the vulnerability of chinook fry to capture was the same at night as it was during the day, either because the fish were large enough to avoid capture at night as well as they were able to avoid capture during the day or because there was less of a day-night difference in habitat choice.

The differences between the predicted $\log _{e}(C P U E+1)$ of day and night catches at the beginning and end of the regression period provide a range of estimates of the day-night difference in electrofishing catchability of $0+$ chinook. On May 11-12, 1995, the night-day difference was 1.3124 (= 2.1056-0.7932), which means that night electrofishing caught an average of 3.72 times $(=\exp (1.3124))$ more $0+$ chinook than day electrofishing. On November 4, 1995, night electrofishing caught an average of 1.13 times (= $\exp (0.3523-0.2305)$ ) more $0+$ chinook than day electrofishing.

## Spatial Distribution of CPUE

Figures 18 and 19 and Appendix 3 show the monthly distribution of mean $\log _{e}(\mathrm{CPUE}+1)$ of $0+$ chinook
salmon over the upper 100 km of the Nechako River, aggregated into 10 km intervals.

In April, day sampling showed that the greatest CPUE of $0+$ chinook was $20.0-29.9 \mathrm{~km}$ from Kenney Dam, while the lowest CPUE was measured $0.0-9.9 \mathrm{~km}$ from the Dam (or within the first kilometer of reach 1 because reach 1 started 9.0 km from the Dam). A second peak of high CPUE was observed in the $70-79.9 \mathrm{~km}$ interval. This pattern reflected the spatial distribution of spawning in the upper Nechako River.

Night sampling in April also showed zero CPUE within the first kilometer of reach 1 (that is, within the first 10 km distance interval from the Dam) and peak CPUE 20.0-29.9 km downstream of the Dam, as well as a secondary peak of CPUE 70.0-79.9 km downstream of the Dam.

In May, the bimodal distribution of CPUE was still apparent in both day and night sampling. The second, downstream peak of CPUE increased in magnitude until it was equal to the upstream peak in day catches and greater than the upstream peak in night

Figure 18
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Monthly Catch-Per-Unit-Effort (CPUE) of 0+ Chinook Salmon in the Upper Nechako River, 1995: Electrofishing (day)


catches. There was a slight increase in density of $0+$ chinook in the 10-19.9 km interval (greater in night catches than day catches). Both of the changes since April were due to colonisation of upstream habitat by juveniles that had emerged further downstream. The upstream movement of fry was particularly evident in the night catches.

By June, the upstream peak had moved much closer to the Dam-the greatest densities were recorded within the first kilometer of reach 1 . There was no evidence of a second downstream peak in the day catches, but its presence was apparent in the night catches.

By November, the $0+$ chinook remaining in the river had redistributed themselves roughly evenly along the length of the river.

To quantify these observations, the monthly $x$-centroid, $x_{m}(k m)$, or weighted center of distribution of $0+$ chinook along the longitudinal ( $x$-axis) of the river, wais calculated as:

$$
\text { (8) } \mathrm{xm}=\Sigma\left(\mathrm{CPUE}_{\mathrm{i}} \cdot \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) / \Sigma \mathrm{CPUE}_{\mathrm{i}}
$$

where $\mathrm{CPUE}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ CPUE at site i , and $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ longitudinal distance (km) from Kenney Dam to site i. The centroids confirmed the upstream migration of juvenile chinook towards Kenney Dam between May and June followed by downstream movement in fall as resident fish searched for overwintering habitat (Table 3).

## Electrofishing/1+ Chinook

CPUE of $1+$ chinook salmon decreased so rapidly with date that most, if not all, $1+$ fish had left the upper Nechako River by the end of May (Table 2). Greater numbers of $1+$ fish were caught at night than during the day. There were too few data to calculate an average rate of loss of $1+$ chinook by regressing mean monthly $\log _{e}$ (CPUE +1 ) against date. Instead, a total instantaneous loss rate of night catches of $0.19 \% / \mathrm{d}$ over April and May was calculated as:
(9) loss rate $=-\left[100 /\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}+1}-\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right]\left[\log _{e}(\mathrm{CPUE}+1)_{\mathrm{i}+1}\right.$ $-\log _{\mathrm{e}}(\text { CPUE }+1)_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{l}$,

Table 3
Centroids of Juvenile Chinook Salmon Along the Longitudinal Axis of the Nechako River, 1995

|  | Centroid (km) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | $0+$ | $1+$ |
| Day |  |  |
| 15-Apr-95 | 37.1 | 32.9 |
| 11-May-95 | 38.0 | - |
| 10-Jun-95 | 15.6 | - |
| 04-Nov-95 | 46.6 | - |
| Night |  |  |
| 16-Apr-95 | 32.0 | 37.6 |
| 12-May-95 | 46.0 | 24.0 |
| 11-Jun-95 | 28.1 | - |
| 04-Nov-95 | 40.8 | - |

where $t_{i}=$ mid-date of month $i$, and $t_{i+1}=$ mid-date of the following month.

Electrofishing CPUE for $1+$ chinook showed that these fish also tended to concentrate in the upper river in April and May (Figure 20). The centroids of $1+$ chinook were all in reach 2 (Table 3).

## Diamond Island Traps

A total of 5,770 juvenile chinook salmon were caught by traps at Diamond Island in 1995, of which 2,660 ( $46.1 \%$ ) were caught in the three RSTs, 1,426 ( $24.7 \%$ ) were caught by the three fyke nets, and 1,684 ( $29.2 \%$ ) were caught in the IPT (Table 4). Over $98 \%$ of these juveniles were $0+$ fish. All of the $941+$ chinook were caught by RSTs.

## Methods of Analysis

As stated above in sections, all analyses of fyke net catches and IPT catches presented below were carried out on the numbers only-no adjustments were made for variation in flow through the traps. In contrast, all analyses of RST catches were based on catches expanded by the ratio of river flow to trap flow according to equation (2).

The frequency distributions of catches of juvenile chinook salmon at Diamond Island were highly non-

Figure 20
Spatial Distribution of 1+ Chinook Salmon in the Upper Nechako River, 1995: Electrofishing


Distance (km) from Kenney Dam

| Table 4 <br> Numbers of Juvenile Chinook Salmon Caught in Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trap <br> Type | Trap <br> Number | Chinook 0+ |  |  | Chinook 1+ |  |  | Total |
|  |  | Day | Night | Total | Day | Night | Total |  |
| Fyke | 1 | 3 | 89 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92 |
|  | 2 | 17 | 332 | 349 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 349 |
|  | 3 | 57 | 928 | 985 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 985 |
|  | total | 77 | 1349 | 1426 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1426 |
| IPT | 0 | 117 | 1567 | 1684 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1684 |
| RST | 1 | 103 | 560 | 663 | 0 | 17 | 17 | 680 |
|  | 2 | 92 | 655 | 747 | 4 | 64 | 68 | 815 |
|  | 3 | 414 | 742 | 1156 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 1165 |
|  | total | 609 | 1957 | 2566 | 4 | 90 | 94 | 2660 |
| Total |  | 803 | 4873 | 5676 | 4 | 90 | 94 | 5770 |

normal, which meant that they required $\log _{e}$-transformation before analysis. However, the $\log _{e}$ (number) transformation, rather than the $\log _{e}($ number +1$)$ transformation, was used for fyke net, IPT and RST catches because the population expansion procedure that was applied to RST catches effectively divided catches into two clusters of data: zero catches and nonzero catches. Non-zero catches were expanded by a factor of about 100 because most RSTs sampled about $1 \%$ of the daily flow of the river past Diamond Island, but zero catches were expanded to population estimates of zero-in effect they were not expanded at all. To avoid the problem of combining two separate clusters of data, all zero catches of all Diamond Island traps were excluded from the analyses presented below.

## Fyke Net Catches

To determine which factors were responsible for changes in fyke net catches, a standard three-way ANOVA of $\log _{e}$ (number) with fyke net (three classes: fyke nets 1, 2 and 3), time of day (two classes: day and night), and date (two classes: April and May) was conducted. It showed that there were highly significant effects of time of day ( $\mathrm{F}_{1,110}=33.637, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) and of net number $\left(\mathrm{F}_{2,110}=7.787, \mathrm{P}<0.001\right)$, but no significant effects $(\mathrm{P}>0.05)$ of date or the interactions of date, time of day and net number (Table 4 and Figure 21). The effect of time of day was due to greater catches at night than during the day, presumably due to greater net avoidance during the day than at night. The effect of net number was due to greater catches in net 3 than in net 2, and greater catches in net 2 than in net 1, for both night and day. In short, catches increased as one moved away from the shallow margins of the river and towards the river channel.

Night catches showed a distinct dome-shaped relationship with date-catches rose to a peak in early May and then decreased to zero by the end of May. (The ANOVA did not detect a date effect because the selection of date categories fortuitously corresponded to bisecting the catch curve.) The increase in catches over April was due to continuous recruitment of newlyemerged fry to the traps. The decrease over May was due to three factors: (a) avoidance of the traps by juveniles; (b) a shift in preferred habitat from the margins of the river, where the fyke nets were placed, towards the mid-channel where there were no fyke nets; and (c) natural mortality.

In summary, fyke net catches showed that a significant portion of the total population of $0+$ chinook salmon moved down the left margin of the Nechako River at Diamond Island. That finding supported the assumption that the wing placed between RST 3 and the left margin of the river in 1991 was directing fish into RST 3.

## Inclined Plane Trap Catches

IPT numbers showed many of the same patterns seen in fyke net numbers (Figure 22). Substantially more fish were caught at night than during the day due to daytime net avoidance and to day-night differences in the distribution of fish over habitat types (i.e., greater numbers of juveniles migrating downstream at night than during the day). Peak IPT catches occurred in mid-May and then rapidly decreased to zero before the end of the third week in June. Those results supported the findings of the fyke net catches. That is, substantial numbers of $0+$ chinook salmon migrated along the left margin of the Nechako River in 1995.

## Diamond Island Rotary Screw Traps/0+ Chinook

## Temporal Variance of Estimated Number

To determine which factors were responsible for changes in volume-adjusted numbers of $0+$ chinook salmon caught in rotary screw traps, a standard threeway ANOVA of $\log _{e}$ (number) on RST (three classes corresponding to the three traps), date (three classes: April, May and June-July), and time of day (two classes: day and night), was conducted. There were highly significant differences in $\log _{e}$ (number) among traps $\left(\mathrm{F}_{2,315}=11.188, \mathrm{P}<0.001\right)$, among dates $\left(\mathrm{F}_{2,315}=27.758, \mathrm{P}<0.001\right)$, and between day and night ( $\mathrm{F}_{1,315}=26.527, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ), but there were no significant ( $\mathrm{P}>0.05$ ) interactions of trap number, date and time of day.

The trap effect was due to significantly lower mean $\log _{\mathrm{e}}$ (number of fish) caught by RST 1 (mean = 1.078, $\mathrm{SD}=1.079, \mathrm{n}=107$ ) than were caught by RST 2 (mean $=1.447, \mathrm{SD}=1.077, \mathrm{n}=103$ ) and RST 3 (mean $=1.738, \mathrm{SD}=1.066, \mathrm{n}=123$ ). That indicated that more $0+$ juveniles used the right margin of the river at Diamond Island than the left margin.

The date effect was due to variation in catch rates over the April to July period caused by recruitment of ju-

Figure 21
Number of 0+ Chinook Salmon Captured at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1995: Fyke Nets



Figure 22
Number of 0+ Chinook Salmon Captured at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1995: Inclined Plane Trap

veniles to the traps over April and subsequent loss of juveniles over May to July due to a combination of downstream dispersal, natural mortality, and changes in the catchability of the traps as chinook fry grew in size and increased their ability to avoid capture (Figures 23 and 24).

The time effect was caused by substantially greater catches at night than during the day due to a preference for night-time movement and to avoidance of traps during the day (Figures 23 and 24).

The lack of any interactions between the three factors indicated that the shapes of the catch curves were similar among traps.

The catch curves for the weighted average volumeexpanded numbers showed the typical three-part dome-shaped pattern observed in previous years. There was an initial period of increasing catches in April as juveniles were recruited to Diamond Island from upstream emergence sites. Catches reached a peak in early- to late-May, and then decreased over June and July due to a combination of downstream dispersal, natural mortality, and changes in the catchability of the traps. One unexplained aspect of
both the day and night catch curves was a brief period of reduced catches in mid-May.

To estimate the time rates of loss from the traps, regressions of $\log _{e}$ (weighted average number) on day of year (DOY) were fit to the declining right-hand limb of the catch curves for day and night separately. May 10 ( $\mathrm{DOY}=130$ ) was chosen as the peak of the two catch curves and the beginning date of the regression period. The instantaneous rate of loss for day catches was $5.62 \% / d(S E=0.71)$ with $95 \%$ confidence limits of 4.18 to $7.06 \% / \mathrm{d}$. The rate of loss for night catches was $4.62 \% / d(S E=0.69)$ with $95 \%$ confidence limits of 3.25 to $6.00 \% / \mathrm{d}$. The rates were 4.3 to 25.5 times higher than the loss rates estimated from electrofishing catches.

The night-day difference in predicted $\log _{e}$ (number) over the regression period increased from 2.17 (= exp(6.881-6.104)) on May $10(\mathrm{DOY}=130)$ to 3.60 (= exp(4.570-3.289)) on June 29 (DOY = 180). The increase was most likely caused by an increase in daytime trap avoidance due to increasing sizes of juvenile chinook over May to July.

Figure 23
Number of 0+ Chinook Salmon Passing Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1995, as Estimated by Rotary Screw Traps (day)


Figure 24
Number of 0+ Chinook Salmon Passing Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1995, as Estimated by Rotary Screw Traps (night)


A total of 2,563 $0+$ chinook salmon were caught at the rotary screw traps in 1995 (Appendix 4). Summing the volume-expanded number of $0+$ chinook that were estimated to have passed Diamond Island over the study period produced totals ranging from 34,906 for trap 2 to 57,868 for trap 3 (Appendix 4). The total index number of $0+$ chinook that passed Diamond Island, weighted by the average percent of river flow filtered by each trap, was 45,025 .

That was the smallest number of outmigrating $0+$ chinook that has been estimated over the last 6 years (Table 6). However, it was only $5.4 \%$ less than the number of outmigrants estimated for 1994. It should be noted that rotary screw trap catches in 1994 and 1995 were restricted to the spring and early summer, whereas catches in 1991 to 1993 included samples taken in September, October and November.

The number of outmigrating $0+$ chinook was not significantly correlated with the number of parents that spawned upstream of Diamond Island (Figure 25), however, there is a positive relationship indicated between the two variables. The lack of significance of the correlation is due to the low sample size-only four years of data.

## Diamond Island Rotary Screw Traps/1+ Chinook

All analysis of $1+$ chinook salmon was restricted to the rotary screw trap data because no $1+$ chinook were caught in fyke nets or the IPT. There were no obvious temporal trends of $\log _{\mathrm{e}}$ (number) with date, so the data were not plotted. Mean $\log _{\mathrm{e}}$ (number) was greater at night (mean $=3.427, \mathrm{SD}=0.612, \mathrm{n}=41$ ) than during the day ( mean $=2.858, \mathrm{SD}=0.103, \mathrm{n}=4$ ).

A total of $941+$ chinook were captured in the rotary screw traps which, when expanded by the percentage of river flow sampled by the traps, was equivalent to an index total of 1,660 chinook that passed Diamond Island in 1995 (Appendix 4).

## Diamond Island Rotary Screw Traps/Other Fishes

A total of 7,643 fish from 13 species or families were captured by the rotary screw traps in 1995 (Table 5). Chinook salmon was the most common species, making up $34.80 \%$ of all fish. The three most common non-salmonid fishes were redsided shiner, largescale sucker and northern squawfish. The least common fish was the burbot-only 4 were caught in 1995.

Figure 25
Plot of the Number of 0+ Chinook Salmon Outmigrants on the Number of Parent Spawners Above Diamond Island, Nechako River


Table 5
Number of Fish Captured at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1995, by Rotary Screw Traps

| Species | Scientific name | Adult |  |  |  | Juvenile |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Day | Night | Total | Percent | Day | Night | Total | Percent | Day | Night | Total | Percent |
| Chinook salmon | Oncorhynchus tshawytscha | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 613 | 2047 | 2660 | 34.80 | 613 | 2047 | 2660 | 34.80 |
| Redsided shiner | Richardsonius balteatus | 34 | 927 | 961 | 12.57 | 81 | 493 | 574 | 7.51 | 115 | 1420 | 1535 | 20.08 |
| Largescale sucker | Catostomus macrocheilus | 5 | 137 | 142 | 1.86 | 124 | 901 | 1025 | 13.41 | 129 | 1038 | 1167 | 15.27 |
| Northern squawfish | Ptychocheilus oregonensis | 3 | 48 | 51 | 0.67 | 23 | 978 | 1001 | 13.10 | 26 | 1026 | 1052 | 13.76 |
| Leopard dace | Rhinichthys falcatus | 32 | 273 | 305 | 3.99 | 32 | 138 | 170 | 2.22 | 64 | 411 | 475 | 6.21 |
| Rocky Mountain whitefish | Prosopium williamsoni | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0.03 | 51 | 381 | 432 | 5.65 | 51 | 383 | 434 | 5.68 |
| Sockeye salmon | Oncorhynchus nerka | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 41 | 124 | 165 | 2.16 | 41 | 124 | 165 | 2.16 |
| Longnose dace | Rhinichthys cataractae | 3 | 50 | 53 | 0.69 | 1 | 37 | 38 | 0.50 | 4 | 87 | 91 | 1.19 |
| Sculpins | Cottidae | 2 | 7 | 9 | 0.12 | 0 | 27 | 27 | 0.35 | 2 | 34 | 36 | 0.47 |
| Rainbow trout | Oncorhynchus mykiss | 1 | 6 | 7 | 0.09 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 0.10 | 1 | 14 | 15 | 0.20 |
| Peamouth chub | Mylocheilus caurinus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 8 | 9 | 0.12 | 1 | 8 | 9 | 0.12 |
| Burbot | Lota lota | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0.05 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0.05 |
| Total |  | 80 | 1450 | 1530 | 20.02 | 967 | 5146 | 6113 | 79.98 | 1047 | 6596 | 7643 | 100 |

Table 6
Comparison of the Index Numbers of Outmigrant Juvenile Chinook Salmon Migrating Out of the Upper Nechako River With Numbers of the Parent Generation

|  | Total <br> number of <br> spawners | Number of <br> spawners <br> upstream of <br> Diamond Island | Index number of <br> outmigrating 0+ <br> chinook the <br> following year | Sampling period |  | Total index <br> number of <br> outmigrating 0+ <br> chinook the <br> following year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

NOTE: the number of outmigrants estimated in 1991 (brood year 1990) is not comparable to the numbers of outmigrants estimated in subsequent years because one of the RSTs in 1991 had a wooden wing attached to one side that funneled additinal fry into the RST, and which, therefore, required the assumption of greater flow into the trap.
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## APPENDIX 1

Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured by Electrofishing in the Nechako River, 1995

Appendix 1
Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured by Electrofishing in the Nechako River, 1995

| Date | DOY | Length (mm) |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  | $\text { Condition }\left(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}\right)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | SD | n | Mean | SD | n | Mean | SD | n |
| Chinook salmon 0+ (day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14-Apr | 104 | 37 | 2 | 40 | 0.41 | 0.08 | 40 | 0.79 | 0.13 | 40 |
| 15-Apr | 105 | 37 | 2 | 10 | 0.40 | 0.10 | 10 | 0.79 | 0.09 | 10 |
| 16-Apr | 106 | 38 | 2 | 85 | 0.43 | 0.09 | 84 | 0.78 | 0.11 | 84 |
| 17-Apr | 107 | 38 | 2 | 77 | 0.42 | 0.07 | 77 | 0.75 | 0.08 | 77 |
| 18-Apr | 108 | 37 | 2 | 23 | 0.39 | 0.05 | 23 | 0.78 | 0.10 | 23 |
| 09-May | 129 | 39 | 2 | 20 | 0.51 | 0.13 | 20 | 0.87 | 0.12 | 20 |
| 10-May | 130 | 38 | 3 | 32 | 0.46 | 0.18 | 32 | 0.79 | 0.13 | 32 |
| 11-May | 131 | 40 | 2 | 89 | 0.53 | 0.14 | 89 | 0.82 | 0.10 | 89 |
| 12-May | 132 | 39 | 2 | 76 | 0.49 | 0.15 | 76 | 0.81 | 0.14 | 76 |
| 13-May | 133 | 40 | 3 | 25 | 0.57 | 0.20 | 25 | 0.84 | 0.14 | 25 |
| 14-May | 134 | 39 | 3 | 89 | 0.46 | 0.19 | 89 | 0.77 | 0.12 | 89 |
| 07-Jun | 158 | 60 | 3 | 20 | 2.43 | 0.39 | 20 | 1.14 | 0.07 | 20 |
| 08-Jun | 159 | 49 | 4 | 48 | 1.23 | 0.44 | 48 | 0.99 | 0.23 | 48 |
| 09-Jun | 160 | 48 | 3 | 8 | 1.12 | 0.33 | 7 | 1.03 | 0.11 | 7 |
| 10-Jun | 161 | 50 | 2 | 3 | 1.46 | 0.19 | 3 | 1.19 | 0.06 | 3 |
| 11-Jun | 162 | 50 | 5 | 7 | 1.44 | 0.46 | 7 | 1.12 | 0.07 | 7 |
| 12-Jun | 163 | 59 | 6 | 3 | 2.67 | 0.95 | 3 | 1.27 | 0.09 | 3 |
| 13-Jun | 164 | 56 | - | 1 | 2.37 | - | 1 | 1.35 | - | 1 |
| 03-Nov | 307 | 95 | 6 | 20 | 9.33 | 2.02 | 20 | 1.09 | 0.11 | 20 |
| 04-Nov | 308 | 94 | 5 | 15 | 9.38 | 1.81 | 15 | 1.12 | 0.15 | 15 |
| 05-Nov | 309 | 94 | 1 | 2 | 7.94 | 0.13 | 2 | 0.97 | 0.04 | 2 |
| 06-Nov | 310 | 94 | 7 | 23 | 9.83 | 2.01 | 23 | 1.16 | 0.16 | 23 |
| 07-Nov | 311 | 98 | 9 | 7 | 9.63 | 2.29 | 7 | 1.02 | 0.05 | 7 |

Chinook salmon 0+ (night)

| 14-Apr | 104 | 38 | 2 | 27 | 0.43 | 0.06 | 27 | 0.77 | 0.07 | 27 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-Apr | 105 | 38 | 2 | 5 | 0.40 | 0.04 | 5 | 0.75 | 0.07 | 5 |
| 16-Apr | 106 | 38 | 2 | 28 | 0.44 | 0.07 | 28 | 0.79 | 0.08 | 28 |
| 17-Apr | 107 | 39 | 1 | 144 | 0.45 | 0.08 | 144 | 0.77 | 0.10 | 144 |
| 18-Apr | 108 | 39 | 2 | 50 | 0.42 | 0.09 | 50 | 0.74 | 0.10 | 50 |
| 19-Apr | 109 | 38 | 2 | 5 | 0.45 | 0.19 | 5 | 0.80 | 0.24 | 5 |
| 10-May | 130 | 39 | 2 | 57 | 0.49 | 0.11 | 57 | 0.85 | 0.12 | 57 |
| 11-May | 131 | 40 | 3 | 165 | 0.56 | 0.16 | 165 | 0.87 | 0.13 | 165 |
| 12-May | 132 | 40 | 3 | 228 | 0.59 | 0.17 | 228 | 0.88 | 0.10 | 228 |
| 13-May | 133 | 40 | 3 | 147 | 0.57 | 0.18 | 147 | 0.89 | 0.15 | 147 |
| 14-May | 134 | 40 | 3 | 144 | 0.61 | 0.22 | 135 | 0.88 | 0.13 | 135 |
| 15-May | 135 | 40 | 3 | 191 | 0.55 | 0.20 | 191 | 0.84 | 0.11 | 191 |
| 08-Jun | 159 | 59 | 3 | 20 | 2.12 | 0.33 | 20 | 1.02 | 0.08 | 20 |
| 09-Jun | 160 | 52 | 5 | 62 | 1.57 | 0.53 | 62 | 1.09 | 0.13 | 62 |
| 10-Jun | 161 | 52 | 5 | 211 | 1.72 | 0.57 | 211 | 1.17 | 0.16 | 211 |
| 11-Jun | 162 | 55 | 6 | 279 | 2.22 | 0.83 | 279 | 1.25 | 0.16 | 279 |
| 12-Jun | 163 | 56 | 6 | 101 | 2.17 | 0.76 | 101 | 1.20 | 0.10 | 101 |
| 13-Jun | 164 | 57 | 6 | 78 | 2.35 | 0.84 | 78 | 1.23 | 0.09 | 78 |
| 14-Jun | 165 | 58 | 7 | 141 | 2.54 | 0.97 | 141 | 1.24 | 0.14 | 141 |
| 18-Jun | 169 | 51 | 5 | 10 | 1.65 | 0.77 | 10 | 1.15 | 0.29 | 10 |

## Appendix 1 (continued)

Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured by Electrofishing in the Nechako River, 1995

| Date | DOY | Length (mm) |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  | Condition (g/mm ${ }^{3}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | SD | n | Mean | SD | n | Mean | SD | n |
| 03-Nov | 307 | 94 | 8 | 53 | 9.19 | 2.37 | 53 | 1.10 | 0.13 | 53 |
| 04-Nov | 308 | 93 | 7 | 14 | 8.84 | 1.84 | 14 | 1.10 | 0.10 | 14 |
| 05-Nov | 309 | 91 | 4 | 4 | 8.10 | 2.29 | 4 | 1.05 | 0.15 | 4 |
| 06-Nov | 310 | 93 | 8 | 33 | 8.44 | 2.10 | 33 | 1.05 | 0.14 | 33 |
| 07-Nov | 311 | 98 | 5 | 11 | 11.89 | 2.92 | 11 | 1.24 | 0.16 | 11 |

Chinook salmon 1+ (day)

| 14-Apr | 104 | 96 | 3 | 3 | 11.23 | 2.21 | 3 | 1.26 | 0.14 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16-Apr | 106 | 100 | 14 | 14 | 13.86 | 6.08 | 14 | 1.31 | 0.17 | 14 |
| 17-Apr | 107 | 100 | 5 | 2 | 9.45 | 1.36 | 2 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 2 |

Chinook salmon 1+ (night)

| 14-Apr | 104 | 98 | 4 | 2 | 11.21 | 0.33 | 2 | 1.20 | 0.19 | 2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-Apr | 105 | 98 | 12 | 4 | 11.88 | 3.02 | 4 | 1.26 | 0.22 | 4 |
| 16-Apr | 106 | 101 | 10 | 3 | 12.22 | 3.45 | 3 | 1.15 | 0.06 | 3 |
| 17-Apr | 107 | 98 | 7 | 18 | 12.12 | 1.75 | 18 | 1.30 | 0.16 | 18 |
| 18-Apr | 108 | 92 | 3 | 3 | 11.96 | 1.98 | 3 | 1.54 | 0.32 | 3 |
| 10-May | 130 | 103 | - | 1 | 16.03 | - | 1 | 1.47 | - | 1 |
| 11-May | 131 | 99 | 11 | 3 | 14.63 | 5.06 | 3 | 1.47 | 0.13 | 3 |
| 12-May | 132 | 106 | 9 | 8 | 13.78 | 2.47 | 8 | 1.15 | 0.13 | 8 |
| 13-May | 133 | 103 | - | 1 | 11.61 | - | 1 | 1.06 | - | 1 |
| 15-May | 135 | 106 | - | 1 | 16.14 | - | 1 | 1.36 | - | 1 |

Burbot, adult (day)

| 14-Apr | 104 | 131 | - | 1 | 21.93 | - | 1 | 0.98 | - | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 16-Apr | 106 | 180 | - | 1 | 48.09 | - | 1 | 0.82 | - | 1 |

Burbot, adult (night)

| 11-May | 131 | 227 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12-Jun | 163 | 198 | - | 1 | 22.77 | - | 1 | 0.29 | - |

Burbot, juveniles (day)

| 14-Apr | 104 | 102 | - | 1 | 7.45 | - | 1 | 0.70 | - | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-Apr | 105 | 261 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 17-Apr | 107 | 170 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 15-May | 135 | 129 | - | 1 | 16.08 | - | 1.00 | 0.75 | - | 1 |
| 10-Jun | 161 | 215 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 14-Jun | 165 | 120 | - | 1 | 12.70 | - | - | 0.73 | - | 1 |

Rainbow trout, adult (day)

| 14-Apr | 104 | 250 | - | 1 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 18-Apr | 108 | 167 | 29 | 3 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| 04-Nov | 308 | 219 | - | 1 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |

Appendix 1 (continued)
Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured by Electrofishing in the Nechako River, 1995

| Date | DOY | Length (mm) |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  | Condition (g/mm ${ }^{3}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | SD | n | Mean | SD | n | Mean | SD | n |
| Rainbow trout, adult (night) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-Apr | 105 | 295 | - | 1 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| 18-Apr | 108 | 240 | - | 1 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| 14-May | 134 | 300 | - | 1 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| 14-Jun | 165 | 250 | - | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Rainbow trout, juvenile (day)

| 15-Apr | 105 | 86 | 5 | 15 | 8.50 | 1.70 | 15 | 1.34 | 0.18 | 15 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16-Apr | 106 | 96 | 8 | 4 | 9.63 | 3.13 | 4 | 1.07 | 0.21 | 4 |
| 17-Apr | 107 | 98 | 1 | 2 | 12.22 | 1.57 | 2 | 1.32 | 0.14 | 2 |
| 18-Apr | 108 | 225 | 35 | 2 | 27.50 | 3.54 | 2 | 0.25 | 0.09 | 2 |
| 09-May | 129 | 116 | 30 | 6 | 21.33 | 20.63 | 6 | 1.14 | 0.07 | 6 |
| 10-May | 130 | 89 | - | 1 | 7.92 | - | 1 | 1.12 | - | 1 |
| 12-May | 132 | 99 | - | 1 | 11.60 | - | 1 | 1.20 | - | 1 |
| 07-Jun | 158 | 117 | 13 | 6 | 18.53 | 5.58 | 6 | 1.12 | 0.04 | 6 |
| 03-Nov | 307 | 134 | 42 | 7 | 33.52 | 31.40 | 7 | 1.08 | 0.11 | 7 |
| 05-Nov | 309 | 145 | - | 1 | 32.68 | - | 1 | 1.07 | - | 1 |
| 06-Nov | 310 | 77 | 8 | 2 | 4.58 | 1.10 | 2 | 1.02 | 0.06 | 2 |
| 07-Nov | 311 | 111 | 28 | 12 | 15.87 | 14.13 | 12 | 1.00 | 0.16 | 12 |

Rainbow trout, juvenile (night)

| 15-Apr | 105 | 95 | 8 | 11 | 9.93 | 2.62 | 11 | 1.13 | 0.09 | 11 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16-Apr | 106 | 84 | 9 | 6 | 7.69 | 3.21 | 6 | 1.26 | 0.18 | 6 |
| 17-Apr | 107 | 88 | 9 | 12 | 8.66 | 2.26 | 12 | 1.26 | 0.17 | 12 |
| 18-Apr | 108 | 82 | - | 1 | 5.66 | - | 1 | 1.03 | - | 1 |
| 09-May | 129 | 109 | 9 | 5 | 13.43 | 2.64 | 5 | 1.03 | 0.12 | 5 |
| 10-May | 130 | 87 | 33 | 11 | 10.28 | 12.10 | 11 | 1.10 | 0.16 | 11 |
| 11-May | 131 | 91 | 7 | 10 | 9.67 | 1.79 | 10 | 1.31 | 0.24 | 10 |
| 12-May | 132 | 98 | 8 | 5 | 11.24 | 2.79 | 5 | 1.18 | 0.11 | 5 |
| 14-May | 134 | 96 | - | 1 | 9.73 | - | 1 | 1.10 | - | 1 |
| 08-Jun | 159 | 127 | 11 | 12 | 22.09 | 6.19 | 12 | 1.06 | 0.13 | 12 |
| 09-Jun | 160 | 99 | - | 1 | 10.50 | - | 1 | 1.08 | - | 1 |
| 10-Jun | 161 | 115 | 7 | 8 | 16.68 | 3.75 | 8 | 1.09 | 0.11 | 8 |
| 11-Jun | 162 | 119 | 18 | 10 | 21.98 | 11.08 | 10 | 1.22 | 0.08 | 10 |
| 13-Jun | 164 | 127 | - | 1 | 21.93 | - | 1 | 1.07 | - | 1 |
| 14-Jun | 165 | 125 | - | 1 | 23.19 | - | 1 | 1.19 | - | 1 |
| 03-Nov | 307 | 139 | 39 | 9 | 31.66 | 21.39 | 9 | 1.03 | 0.14 | 9 |
| 04-Nov | 308 | 170 | 32 | 2 | 50.90 | 29.19 | 2 | 0.98 | 0.04 | 2 |
| 06-Nov | 310 | 99 | 31 | 2 | 11.24 | 8.47 | 2 | 1.06 | 0.13 | 2 |
| 07-Nov | 311 | 101 | 16 | 16 | 12.08 | 6.92 | 16 | 1.10 | 0.19 | 16 |

Sockeye salmon, juvenile (day)
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}14-M a y & 134 & 28 & - & 1 & 0.15 & - & 1 & 0.68 & - & 1\end{array}$

## APPENDIX 2

Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured by Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1995

Appendix 2
Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured by Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1995

| Date | DOY | Length (mm) |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  | Condition (g/mm ${ }^{3}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | SD | n | Mean | SD | n | Mean | SD | n |

Chinook Salmon 0+ (day)

| 14-Apr | 104 | 38.0 | 1.0 | 3 | 0.40 | 0.06 | 3 | 0.73 | 0.05 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-Apr | 105 | 37.7 | 0.6 | 3 | 0.34 | 0.02 | 3 | 0.64 | 0.04 | 3 |
| 16-Apr | 106 | 38.0 | - | 1 | 0.36 | - | 1 | 0.66 | - | 1 |
| 17-Apr | 107 | 38.0 | - | 1 | 0.39 | - | 1 | 0.71 | - | 1 |
| 20-Apr | 110 | 37.7 | 1.2 | 3 | 0.37 | 0.02 | 3 | 0.69 | 0.07 | 3 |
| 21-Apr | 111 | 38.7 | 2.1 | 3 | 0.39 | 0.09 | 3 | 0.67 | 0.05 | 3 |
| 22-Apr | 112 | 38.3 | 0.6 | 3 | 0.37 | 0.03 | 3 | 0.66 | 0.05 | 3 |
| 23-Apr | 113 | 38.4 | 1.1 | 25 | 0.40 | 0.04 | 25 | 0.70 | 0.06 | 25 |
| 24-Apr | 114 | 38.0 | - | 1 | 0.40 | - | 1 | 0.73 | - | 1 |
| 25-Apr | 115 | 37.0 | 1.4 | 2 | 0.37 | 0.01 | 2 | 0.73 | 0.06 | 2 |
| 26-Apr | 116 | 36.4 | 1.1 | 5 | 0.37 | 0.05 | 5 | 0.77 | 0.06 | 5 |
| 27-Apr | 117 | 37.2 | 1.6 | 5 | 0.35 | 0.04 | 5 | 0.67 | 0.04 | 5 |
| 28-Apr | 118 | 37.8 | 1.6 | 8 | 0.40 | 0.04 | 8 | 0.74 | 0.09 | 8 |
| 29-Apr | 119 | 39.4 | 2.6 | 14 | 0.42 | 0.03 | 14 | 0.69 | 0.10 | 14 |
| 30-Apr | 120 | 37.9 | 1.4 | 8 | 0.41 | 0.08 | 8 | 0.75 | 0.08 | 8 |
| 01-May | 121 | 37.2 | 1.1 | 10 | 0.36 | 0.06 | 10 | 0.70 | 0.10 | 10 |
| 02-May | 122 | 37.2 | 1.6 | 15 | 0.41 | 0.05 | 15 | 0.80 | 0.13 | 15 |
| 03-May | 123 | 37.0 | 1.9 | 20 | 0.38 | 0.09 | 20 | 0.74 | 0.07 | 20 |
| 04-May | 124 | 37.8 | 2.1 | 15 | 0.41 | 0.07 | 15 | 0.75 | 0.05 | 15 |
| 05-May | 125 | 37.8 | 1.0 | 13 | 0.42 | 0.05 | 13 | 0.77 | 0.05 | 13 |
| 06-May | 126 | 37.4 | 2.4 | 11 | 0.40 | 0.12 | 11 | 0.75 | 0.07 | 11 |
| 07-May | 127 | 36.4 | 1.3 | 5 | 0.36 | 0.04 | 5 | 0.74 | 0.05 | 5 |
| 08-May | 128 | 38.4 | 2.6 | 15 | 0.47 | 0.13 | 15 | 0.80 | 0.09 | 15 |
| 09-May | 129 | 37.9 | 1.8 | 27 | 0.42 | 0.07 | 27 | 0.76 | 0.04 | 27 |
| 10-May | 130 | 38.3 | 2.1 | 30 | 0.46 | 0.09 | 30 | 0.81 | 0.08 | 30 |
| 11-May | 131 | 37.9 | 2.3 | 23 | 0.44 | 0.12 | 23 | 0.79 | 0.08 | 23 |
| 12-May | 132 | 38.9 | 2.5 | 21 | 0.48 | 0.13 | 21 | 0.79 | 0.08 | 21 |
| 13-May | 133 | 38.0 | 1.9 | 28 | 0.43 | 0.06 | 28 | 0.78 | 0.06 | 28 |
| 14-May | 134 | 39.5 | 4.3 | 16 | 0.52 | 0.17 | 16 | 0.84 | 0.13 | 16 |
| 15-May | 135 | 38.6 | 4.3 | 12 | 0.49 | 0.27 | 12 | 0.80 | 0.11 | 12 |
| 16-May | 136 | 37.7 | 2.7 | 20 | 0.43 | 0.16 | 20 | 0.77 | 0.11 | 20 |
| 17-May | 137 | 39.5 | 2.3 | 18 | 0.54 | 0.13 | 18 | 0.87 | 0.08 | 18 |
| 18-May | 138 | 41.8 | 4.1 | 12 | 0.73 | 0.32 | 12 | 0.95 | 0.10 | 12 |
| 19-May | 139 | 44.1 | 5.3 | 13 | 0.87 | 0.37 | 13 | 0.96 | 0.12 | 13 |
| 20-May | 140 | 43.3 | 4.4 | 16 | 0.82 | 0.29 | 16 | 0.98 | 0.08 | 16 |
| 21-May | 141 | 41.0 | 1.4 | 5 | 0.57 | 0.10 | 5 | 0.83 | 0.07 | 5 |
| 22-May | 142 | 44.8 | 5.1 | 10 | 0.92 | 0.33 | 10 | 0.97 | 0.11 | 10 |
| 23-May | 143 | 43.1 | 3.5 | 10 | 0.83 | 0.23 | 10 | 1.01 | 0.10 | 10 |
| 24-May | 144 | 46.4 | 4.5 | 10 | 1.06 | 0.39 | 10 | 1.02 | 0.13 | 10 |
| 25-May | 145 | 44.8 | 2.6 | 13 | 0.95 | 0.15 | 13 | 1.05 | 0.06 | 13 |
| 26-May | 146 | 46.3 | 4.1 | 9 | 1.02 | 0.34 | 9 | 1.00 | 0.05 | 9 |
| 27-May | 147 | 46.9 | 3.6 | 16 | 1.05 | 0.29 | 16 | 0.99 | 0.07 | 16 |
| 28-May | 148 | 47.3 | 4.0 | 12 | 1.05 | 0.28 | 12 | 0.97 | 0.06 | 12 |

Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured by Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1995

| Date | DOY | Length (mm) |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  | Condition (g/mm ${ }^{3}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | SD | n | Mean | SD | n | Mean | SD | n |
| 29-May | 149 | 48.1 | 5.3 | 15 | 1.14 | 0.41 | 15 | 0.98 | 0.09 | 15 |
| 30-May | 150 | 52.6 | 7.5 | 13 | 1.69 | 0.82 | 13 | 1.09 | 0.06 | 13 |
| 31-May | 151 | 53.1 | 5.7 | 16 | 1.64 | 0.52 | 16 | 1.05 | 0.08 | 16 |
| 01-Jun | 152 | 52.2 | 2.9 | 12 | 1.52 | 0.26 | 12 | 1.07 | 0.10 | 12 |
| 02-Jun | 153 | 52.5 | 5.6 | 10 | 1.60 | 0.63 | 10 | 1.07 | 0.22 | 10 |
| 03-Jun | 154 | 51.9 | 5.7 | 7 | 1.54 | 0.52 | 7 | 1.07 | 0.04 | 7 |
| 04-Jun | 155 | 50.6 | 7.2 | 8 | 1.57 | 0.84 | 8 | 1.11 | 0.18 | 8 |
| 05-Jun | 156 | 54.5 | 4.1 | 10 | 1.74 | 0.41 | 10 | 1.06 | 0.05 | 10 |
| 06-Jun | 157 | 53.7 | 3.6 | 15 | 1.67 | 0.39 | 15 | 1.06 | 0.10 | 15 |
| 07-Jun | 158 | 57.2 | 4.5 | 6 | 2.12 | 0.69 | 6 | 1.10 | 0.10 | 6 |
| 08-Jun | 159 | 52.7 | 8.6 | 7 | 1.69 | 0.72 | 7 | 1.11 | 0.14 | 7 |
| 09-Jun | 160 | 57.0 | 7.0 | 7 | 2.21 | 0.68 | 7 | 1.20 | 0.26 | 7 |
| 10-Jun | 161 | 49.0 | - | 1 | 1.92 | - | 1 | 1.63 | - | , |
| 11-Jun | 162 | 56.2 | 7.6 | 5 | 2.43 | 0.95 | 5 | 1.31 | 0.09 | 5 |
| 12-Jun | 163 | 57.0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.98 | 0.01 | 2 | 1.06 | 0.01 | 2 |
| 16-Jun | 167 | 60.0 | - | 1 | 2.24 | - | 1 | 1.04 | - | 1 |
| 17-Jun | 168 | 61.0 | 3.5 | 3 | 2.33 | 0.36 | 3 | 1.02 | 0.03 | 3 |
| 18-Jun | 169 | 59.3 | 5.7 | 3 | 2.33 | 0.69 | 3 | 1.09 | 0.03 | 3 |
| 19-Jun | 170 | 61.0 | - | 1 | 2.24 | - | 1 | 0.99 | - | 1 |
| 21-Jun | 172 | 56.0 | 9.5 | 3 | 1.96 | 1.27 | 3 | 1.02 | 0.11 | 3 |
| 22-Jun | 173 | 59.5 | 0.7 | 2 | 2.28 | 0.15 | 2 | 1.08 | 0.03 | 2 |
| 25-Jun | 176 | 72.0 |  | 1 | 3.99 | - | 1 | 1.07 | - | 1 |
| 27-Jun | 178 | 60.5 | 0.7 | 2 | 2.50 | 0.01 | 2 | 1.13 | 0.03 | 2 |
| 28-Jun | 179 | 58.0 | - | 1 | 2.04 | - | 1 | 1.05 | - | 1 |
| 29-Jun | 180 | 59.7 | 0.6 | 3 | 2.15 | 0.17 | 3 | 1.01 | 0.05 | 3 |

Chinook Salmon 0+ (night)

| 15-Apr | 105 | 38.7 | 1.5 | 3 | 0.43 | 0.03 | 3 | 0.74 | 0.09 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 16-Apr | 106 | 37.8 | 1.6 | 5 | 0.34 | 0.06 | 5 | 0.63 | 0.04 | 5 |
| 17-Apr | 107 | 37.8 | 1.2 | 8 | 0.36 | 0.05 | 8 | 0.66 | 0.05 | 8 |
| 18-Apr | 108 | 37.6 | 1.0 | 7 | 0.36 | 0.02 | 7 | 0.67 | 0.04 | 7 |
| 19-Apr | 109 | 37.7 | 0.9 | 10 | 0.36 | 0.06 | 10 | 0.66 | 0.10 | 10 |
| 20-Apr | 110 | 38.0 | 1.0 | 17 | 0.39 | 0.06 | 17 | 0.70 | 0.09 | 17 |
| 21-Apr | 111 | 37.9 | 1.1 | 17 | 0.38 | 0.05 | 17 | 0.69 | 0.07 | 17 |
| 22-Apr | 112 | 37.6 | 1.4 | 34 | 0.37 | 0.05 | 34 | 0.69 | 0.06 | 34 |
| 23-Apr | 113 | 38.7 | 1.3 | 13 | 0.46 | 0.07 | 13 | 0.79 | 0.09 | 13 |
| 24-Apr | 114 | 37.5 | 1.1 | 28 | 0.42 | 0.06 | 28 | 0.79 | 0.11 | 28 |
| 25-Apr | 115 | 36.7 | 1.7 | 33 | 0.38 | 0.06 | 33 | 0.77 | 0.07 | 33 |
| 26-Apr | 116 | 37.3 | 1.5 | 44 | 0.38 | 0.04 | 44 | 0.73 | 0.04 | 44 |
| 27-Apr | 117 | 37.6 | 1.6 | 41 | 0.39 | 0.06 | 41 | 0.73 | 0.09 | 41 |
| 28-Apr | 118 | 37.9 | 2.2 | 49 | 0.38 | 0.06 | 49 | 0.70 | 0.08 | 49 |
| 29-Apr | 119 | 38.3 | 1.3 | 42 | 0.41 | 0.06 | 42 | 0.73 | 0.07 | 42 |
| 30-Apr | 120 | 38.0 | 1.7 | 53 | 0.40 | 0.06 | 53 | 0.72 | 0.06 | 53 |

Appendix 2 (continued)
Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured by Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1995

| Date | DOY | Length (mm) |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  | Condition $\left(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}\right)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | SD | n | Mean | SD | n | Mean | SD | n |
| 01-May | 121 | 38.0 | 1.6 | 35 | 0.40 | 0.06 | 35 | 0.72 | 0.07 | 35 |
| 02-May | 122 | 37.3 | 1.3 | 42 | 0.40 | 0.05 | 42 | 0.77 | 0.08 | 42 |
| 03-May | 123 | 37.5 | 1.4 | 45 | 0.42 | 0.06 | 45 | 0.79 | 0.10 | 45 |
| 04-May | 124 | 37.6 | 1.8 | 54 | 0.39 | 0.06 | 54 | 0.73 | 0.05 | 54 |
| 05-May | 125 | 37.4 | 1.7 | 58 | 0.38 | 0.06 | 58 | 0.73 | 0.04 | 58 |
| 06-May | 126 | 37.0 | 1.7 | 56 | 0.37 | 0.06 | 56 | 0.72 | 0.05 | 56 |
| 07-May | 127 | 37.2 | 1.9 | 51 | 0.37 | 0.06 | 51 | 0.72 | 0.05 | 51 |
| 08-May | 128 | 37.6 | 1.9 | 50 | 0.39 | 0.08 | 50 | 0.73 | 0.06 | 50 |
| 09-May | 129 | 37.6 | 1.9 | 57 | 0.41 | 0.07 | 57 | 0.76 | 0.06 | 57 |
| 10-May | 130 | 37.5 | 2.1 | 64 | 0.41 | 0.09 | 64 | 0.76 | 0.06 | 64 |
| 11-May | 131 | 37.1 | 2.1 | 61 | 0.38 | 0.09 | 61 | 0.74 | 0.06 | 61 |
| 12-May | 132 | 37.6 | 2.0 | 64 | 0.40 | 0.06 | 64 | 0.75 | 0.13 | 64 |
| 13-May | 133 | 38.2 | 2.0 | 54 | 0.40 | 0.07 | 54 | 0.72 | 0.06 | 54 |
| 14-May | 134 | 37.7 | 2.0 | 34 | 0.41 | 0.10 | 34 | 0.75 | 0.10 | 34 |
| 15-May | 135 | 38.9 | 2.4 | 48 | 0.44 | 0.15 | 48 | 0.73 | 0.09 | 48 |
| 16-May | 136 | 37.2 | 3.0 | 47 | 0.39 | 0.16 | 47 | 0.73 | 0.08 | 47 |
| 17-May | 137 | 38.0 | 3.6 | 50 | 0.44 | 0.21 | 50 | 0.76 | 0.10 | 50 |
| 18-May | 138 | 38.2 | 3.5 | 34 | 0.46 | 0.22 | 34 | 0.78 | 0.09 | 34 |
| 19-May | 139 | 40.5 | 4.7 | 32 | 0.59 | 0.36 | 32 | 0.82 | 0.12 | 32 |
| 20-May | 140 | 40.8 | 4.5 | 40 | 0.62 | 0.29 | 40 | 0.85 | 0.12 | 40 |
| 21-May | 141 | 40.4 | 3.9 | 51 | 0.59 | 0.25 | 51 | 0.85 | 0.12 | 51 |
| 22-May | 142 | 41.5 | 4.3 | 30 | 0.68 | 0.28 | 30 | 0.90 | 0.09 | 30 |
| 23-May | 143 | 44.6 | 6.7 | 30 | 0.88 | 0.49 | 30 | 0.89 | 0.13 | 30 |
| 24-May | 144 | 42.8 | 7.6 | 19 | 0.83 | 0.50 | 19 | 0.90 | 0.15 | 19 |
| 25-May | 145 | 48.0 | 4.0 | 13 | 1.21 | 0.36 | 13 | 1.06 | 0.11 | 13 |
| 26-May | 146 | 50.3 | 5.8 | 16 | 1.26 | 0.42 | 16 | 0.96 | 0.16 | 16 |
| 27-May | 147 | 48.6 | 5.8 | 15 | 1.22 | 0.53 | 15 | 0.99 | 0.10 | 15 |
| 28-May | 148 | 50.9 | 8.0 | 28 | 1.31 | 0.48 | 28 | 0.98 | 0.17 | 28 |
| 29-May | 149 | 51.3 | 5.2 | 23 | 1.39 | 0.50 | 23 | 0.99 | 0.09 | 23 |
| 30-May | 150 | 52.7 | 5.1 | 20 | 1.57 | 0.50 | 20 | 1.05 | 0.18 | 20 |
| 31-May | 151 | 52.4 | 6.0 | 30 | 1.50 | 0.61 | 30 | 1.00 | 0.06 | 30 |
| 01-Jun | 152 | 55.7 | 5.8 | 33 | 1.77 | 0.37 | 33 | 1.05 | 0.19 | 33 |
| 02-Jun | 153 | 54.0 | 4.2 | 26 | 1.69 | 0.46 | 26 | 1.04 | 0.08 | 26 |
| 03-Jun | 154 | 53.5 | 5.3 | 22 | 1.69 | 0.56 | 22 | 1.06 | 0.10 | 22 |
| 04-Jun | 155 | 55.1 | 5.8 | 27 | 1.87 | 0.67 | 27 | 1.07 | 0.09 | 27 |
| 05-Jun | 156 | 54.8 | 5.9 | 24 | 1.85 | 0.72 | 24 | 1.08 | 0.10 | 24 |
| 06-Jun | 157 | 54.6 | 3.6 | 25 | 1.77 | 0.39 | 25 | 1.07 | 0.07 | 25 |
| 07-Jun | 158 | 57.6 | 5.2 | 27 | 2.29 | 0.72 | 27 | 1.18 | 0.20 | 27 |
| 08-Jun | 159 | 57.7 | 6.1 | 22 | 2.18 | 0.78 | 22 | 1.11 | 0.22 | 22 |
| 09-Jun | 160 | 58.8 | 8.4 | 23 | 2.51 | 1.05 | 23 | 1.17 | 0.12 | 23 |
| 10-Jun | 161 | 57.1 | 5.8 | 17 | 2.20 | 0.54 | 17 | 1.17 | 0.11 | 17 |
| 11-Jun | 162 | 55.6 | 4.4 | 18 | 2.11 | 0.51 | 18 | 1.22 | 0.13 | 18 |
| 12-Jun | 163 | 56.9 | 6.6 | 12 | 2.76 | 1.00 | 12 | 1.45 | 0.23 | 12 |
| 13-Jun | 164 | 57.5 | 8.4 | 6 | 2.37 | 0.84 | 6 | 1.23 | 0.27 | 6 |
| 14-Jun | 165 | 59.6 | 2.9 | 15 | 2.36 | 0.35 | 15 | 1.11 | 0.05 | 15 |

Appendix 2 (continued)
Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured by Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1995

| Date | DOY | Length (mm) |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  | Condition (g/mm ${ }^{3}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | SD | n | Mean | SD | n | Mean | SD | n |
| 15-Jun | 166 | 61.2 | 4.7 | 14 | 2.62 | 0.62 | 14 | 1.13 | 0.08 | 14 |
| 16-Jun | 167 | 58.2 | 10.1 | 12 | 2.26 | 1.22 | 12 | 1.02 | 0.14 | 12 |
| 17-Jun | 168 | 62.1 | 6.9 | 11 | 2.70 | 0.98 | 11 | 1.08 | 0.08 | 11 |
| 18-Jun | 169 | 61.1 | 7.9 | 14 | 2.68 | 1.29 | 14 | 1.11 | 0.08 | 14 |
| 19-Jun | 170 | 64.8 | 6.1 | 12 | 3.09 | 0.98 | 12 | 1.10 | 0.07 | 12 |
| 20-Jun | 171 | 61.9 | 6.0 | 16 | 2.66 | 0.79 | 16 | 1.09 | 0.05 | 16 |
| 21-Jun | 172 | 64.6 | 9.5 | 18 | 2.96 | 1.10 | 18 | 1.06 | 0.13 | 18 |
| 22-Jun | 173 | 62.2 | 4.9 | 14 | 2.69 | 0.68 | 14 | 1.09 | 0.05 | 14 |
| 23-Jun | 174 | 66.6 | 8.5 | 7 | 3.42 | 1.34 | 7 | 1.10 | 0.05 | 7 |
| 24-Jun | 175 | 69.5 | 7.8 | 2 | 3.71 | 1.07 | 2 | 1.09 | 0.05 | 2 |
| 25-Jun | 176 | 62.7 | 5.5 | 7 | 2.54 | 0.64 | 7 | 1.02 | 0.14 | 7 |
| 26-Jun | 177 | 75.0 |  | 1 | 4.91 | - | 1 | 1.16 | - | 1 |
| 27-Jun | 178 | 68.8 | 8.0 | 4 | 3.73 | 1.31 | 4 | 1.11 | 0.01 | 4 |
| 28-Jun | 179 | 72.0 | 3.7 | 5 | 4.13 | 0.65 | 5 | 1.10 | 0.03 | 5 |
| 29-Jun | 180 | 66.0 | 8.8 | 7 | 3.42 | 1.54 | 7 | 1.13 | 0.04 | 7 |
| 30-Jun | 181 | 66.5 | 6.0 | 12 | 3.37 | 1.03 | 12 | 1.11 | 0.07 | 12 |
| 01-Jul | 182 | 67.4 | 6.6 | 5 | 3.67 | 1.12 | 5 | 1.17 | 0.08 | 5 |
| 02-Jul | 183 | 66.1 | 6.4 | 7 | 3.41 | 1.38 | 7 | 1.13 | 0.11 | 7 |
| 03-Jul | 184 | 64.5 | 4.9 | 2 | 2.69 | 0.76 | 2 | 0.99 | 0.06 | 2 |
| 04-Jul | 185 | 61.0 | - | 1 | 2.54 | - | 1 | 1.12 | - | 1 |
| 05-Jul | 186 | 67.0 | - | 1 | 2.96 | - | 1 | 0.98 | - | 1 |
| 06-Jul | 187 | 68.3 | 7.3 | 4 | 3.53 | 1.31 | 4 | 1.08 | 0.06 | 4 |

Chinook Salmon 1+ (day)

| 30-Apr | 120 | 101.0 | - | 1 | 10.71 | - | 1 | 1.04 | - | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 12-May | 132 | 109.0 | - | 1 | 14.21 | - | 1 | 1.10 | - | 1 |
| 19-May | 139 | 117.0 | - | 1 | 15.07 | - | 1 | 0.94 | - | 1 |
| 22-May | 142 | 108.0 | - | 1 | 13.04 | - | 1 | 1.04 | - | 1 |

Chinook Salmon 1+ (night)

| 13-Apr | 103 | 98.0 | - | 1 | 10.28 | - | 1 | 1.09 | - |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14-Apr | 104 | 101.5 | 7.8 | 2 | 11.71 | 3.05 | 2 | 1.11 | 0.04 |
| 15-Apr | 105 | 97.5 | 7.8 | 2 | 9.99 | 3.39 | 2 | 1.05 | 0.11 |
| 16-Apr | 106 | 110.0 | - | 1 | 12.56 | - | 1 | 0.94 | - |
| 19-Apr | 109 | 101.7 | 5.5 | 3 | 9.88 | 2.07 | 3 | 0.93 | 0.05 |
| 20-Apr | 110 | 103.0 | - | 1 | 11.07 | - | 1 | 1.01 | - |
| 21-Apr | 111 | 135.0 | - | 1 | 25.09 | - | 1 | 1.02 | - |
| 22-Apr | 112 | 103.3 | 2.5 | 3 | 10.45 | 1.20 | 3 | 0.94 | 0.06 |
| 24-Apr | 114 | 103.0 | - | 1 | 16.03 | - | 1 | 1.47 | - |
| 26-Apr | 116 | 118.5 | 13.4 | 2 | 16.74 | 7.75 | 2 | 0.96 | 0.13 |
| 27-Apr | 117 | 108.5 | 6.4 | 2 | 13.36 | 1.94 | 2 | 1.04 | 0.03 |
| 28-Apr | 118 | 110.0 | 8.5 | 2 | 14.22 | 3.22 | 2 | 1.06 | 0.00 |
| 29-Apr | 119 | 104.0 | - | 1 | 11.60 | - | 1 | 1.03 | - |
| 30-Apr | 120 | 118.0 | - | 1 | 14.32 | - | 1 | 0.87 | - |

Appendix 2 (continued)
Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured by Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1995

| Date | DOY | Length (mm) |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  | Condition (g/mm ${ }^{3}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | SD | n | Mean | SD | n | Mean | SD | n |
| 01-May | 121 | 106.0 | - | 1 | 13.78 | - | 1 | 1.16 | - | 1 |
| 02-May | 122 | 93.0 | - | 1 | 10.70 | - | 1 | 1.33 | - | 1 |
| 04-May | 124 | 97.0 | - | 1 | 8.05 | - | 1 | 0.88 | - | 1 |
| 05-May | 125 | 104.5 | 14.8 | 2 | 11.44 | 4.00 | 2 | 0.99 | 0.07 | 2 |
| 06-May | 126 | 92.5 | 3.5 | 2 | 8.55 | 1.95 | 2 | 1.07 | 0.12 | 2 |
| 08-May | 128 | 120.0 | - | 1 | 19.03 | - | 1 | 1.10 | - | 1 |
| 10-May | 130 | 107.0 | 5.7 | 2 | 13.87 | 2.99 | 2 | 1.12 | 0.07 | 2 |
| 13-May | 133 | 111.0 | - | 1 | 15.32 | - | 1 | 1.12 | - | 1 |
| 14-May | 134 | 94.0 | - | 1 | 7.38 | - | 1 | 0.89 | - | 1 |
| 15-May | 135 | 113.7 | 2.5 | 3 | 17.42 | 0.67 | 3 | 1.19 | 0.04 | 3 |
| 16-May | 136 | 106.5 | 0.7 | 2 | 13.54 | 1.09 | 2 | 1.12 | 0.07 | 2 |
| 17-May | 137 | 110.8 | 12.5 | 5 | 16.18 | 5.31 | 5 | 1.15 | 0.17 | 5 |
| 18-May | 138 | 116.0 | 12.3 | 12 | 18.11 | 6.13 | 12 | 1.12 | 0.07 | 12 |
| 19-May | 139 | 100.7 | 10.1 | 10 | 14.97 | 4.23 | 10 | 1.44 | 0.19 | 10 |
| 20-May | 140 | 105.0 | 7.1 | 2 | 13.30 | 3.25 | 2 | 1.14 | 0.05 | 2 |
| 21-May | 141 | 110.3 | 1.7 | 4 | 16.02 | 2.00 | 4 | 1.19 | 0.12 | 4 |
| 22-May | 142 | 104.0 | 4.2 | 2 | 13.27 | 2.21 | 2 | 1.17 | 0.05 | 2 |
| 24-May | 144 | 96.5 | 6.4 | 2 | 12.53 | 2.38 | 2 | 1.39 | 0.01 | 2 |
| 25-May | 145 | 110.7 | 2.5 | 3 | 13.88 | 2.30 | 3 | 1.03 | 0.19 | 3 |
| 26-May | 146 | 99.0 | 7.1 | 2 | 9.99 | 2.26 | 2 | 1.02 | 0.01 | 2 |
| 27-May | 147 | 101.0 | 2.8 | 2 | 11.76 | 1.30 | 2 | 1.14 | 0.03 | 2 |
| 29-May | 149 | 102.0 | - | 1 | 11.84 | - | 1 | 1.12 | - | 1 |
| 30-May | 150 | 102.0 | - | 1 | 11.76 | - | 1 | 1.11 | - | 1 |
| 31-May | 151 | 116.0 | - | 1 | 16.46 | - | 1 | 1.05 | - | 1 |
| 02-Jun | 153 | 121.0 | - | 1 | 19.21 | - | 1 | 1.08 | - | 1 |
| 03-Jun | 154 | 103.0 | - | 1 | 12.07 | - | 1 | 1.10 | - | 1 |

Rainbow Trout Adults (day)
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\text { 03-Jul } & 184 & 163.0 & - & 1 & 56.49 & - & 1 & 1.30 & - & 1\end{array}$
Rainbow Trout Adults (night)

| 19-Apr | 109 | 200.0 | - | 1 | 30.00 | - | 1 | 0.38 | - | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02-May | 122 | 148.0 | - | 1 | 46.13 | - | 1 | 1.42 | - | 1 |
| 11-May | 131 | 189.0 | 86.3 | 2 | 30.59 | 13.31 | 2 | 0.63 | 0.53 | 2 |
| 18-Jun | 169 | 260.0 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| 24-Jun | 175 | 120.0 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 0 |

Rainbow Trout Juveniles (night)

| 16-Apr | 106 | 95.3 | 1.5 | 3 | - | - | 3 | - | - |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03-May | 123 | 72.0 | - | 1 | 4.15 | - | 1 | 1.11 | - |
| 20-May | 140 | 105.0 | - | 1 | 18.25 | - | 1 | 1.58 | - |
| 21-May | 141 | 80.0 | - | 1 | 4.92 | - | 1 | 0.96 | - |
| 22-May | 142 | 159.0 | - | 1 | 39.97 | - | 1 | 0.99 | - |
| 23-May | 143 | 83.0 | - | 1 | 6.23 | - | 1 | 1.09 | - |

Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured by Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1995

| Date | DOY | Length (mm) |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  | Condition (g/mm ${ }^{3}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | SD | n | Mean | SD | n | Mean | SD | n |

Burbot Juvenile (night)

| $22-A p r$ | 112 | 255.0 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $26-A p r$ | 116 | 230.0 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |

Sockeye Salmon 0+ (day)

| 14-May | 134 | 31.0 | - | 1 | 0.27 | - | 1 | 0.91 | - |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20-May | 140 | 34.0 | - | 1 | 0.27 | - | 1 | 0.69 | - |
| 29-May | 149 | 27.0 | - | 1 | 0.21 | - | 1 | 1.07 | - |
| 30-May | 150 | 27.0 | - | 1 | 0.12 | - | 1 | 0.61 | - |
| 07-Jun | 158 | 33.0 | - | 1 | 0.26 | - | 1 | 0.72 | - |
| 08-Jun | 159 | 32.0 | - | 1 | 0.42 | - | 1 | 1.28 | - |
| 09-Jun | 160 | 31.5 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.38 | 0.20 | 2 | 1.24 | 0.72 |
| 11-Jun | 162 | 27.0 | - | 1 | 0.38 | - | 1 | 1.93 | - |
| 13-Jun | 164 | 36.0 | - | 1 | 0.34 | - | 1 | 0.73 | - |
| 16-Jun | 167 | 35.0 | - | 1 | 0.32 | - | 1 | 0.75 | - |
| 18-Jun | 169 | 36.1 | 2.6 | 7 | 0.34 | 0.07 | 7 | 0.70 | 0.03 |
| 19-Jun | 170 | 36.5 | 1.7 | 4 | 0.37 | 0.06 | 4 | 0.76 | 0.04 |
| 20-Jun | 171 | 32.0 | - | 1 | 0.22 | - | 1 | 0.67 | - |
| 21-Jun | 172 | 35.7 | 3.1 | 7 | 0.34 | 0.07 | 7 | 0.74 | 0.09 |
| 22-Jun | 173 | 34.5 | 1.7 | 4 | 0.33 | 0.05 | 4 | 0.79 | 0.04 |
| 24-Jun | 175 | 36.0 | - | 1 | 0.44 | - | 1 | 0.94 | - |
| 27-Jun | 178 | 45.0 | - | 1 | 0.76 | - | 1 | 0.83 | - |
| 29-Jun | 180 | 37.2 | 3.9 | 5 | 0.43 | 0.15 | 5 | 0.81 | 0.06 |
| 06-Jul | 187 | 42.0 | - | 1 | 0.59 | - | 1 | 0.80 | - |

Sockeye Salmon 0+ (night)

| 21-Apr | 111 | 37.8 | 1.0 | 4 | 0.38 | 0.03 | 4 | 0.70 | 0.03 | 4 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02-May | 122 | 26.0 | - | 1 | 0.28 | - | 1 | 1.59 | - | 1 |
| 04-May | 124 | 30.0 | 0.8 | 4 | 0.17 | 0.03 | 4 | 0.64 | 0.05 | 4 |
| 06-May | 126 | 30.7 | 3.5 | 3 | 0.18 | 0.08 | 3 | 0.61 | 0.09 | 3 |
| 08-May | 128 | 28.0 | - | 1 | 0.11 | - | 1 | 0.50 | - | 1 |
| 09-May | 129 | 28.0 | - | 1 | 0.12 | - | 1 | 0.55 | - | 1 |
| 10-May | 130 | 28.3 | 1.4 | 7 | 0.13 | 0.04 | 7 | 0.57 | 0.10 | 7 |
| 11-May | 131 | 27.7 | 1.5 | 10 | 0.12 | 0.02 | 10 | 0.55 | 0.08 | 10 |
| 12-May | 132 | 28.5 | 1.6 | 15 | 0.13 | 0.03 | 15 | 0.56 | 0.06 | 15 |
| 13-May | 133 | 27.7 | 1.5 | 3 | 0.12 | 0.02 | 3 | 0.56 | 0.03 | 3 |
| 14-May | 134 | 29.5 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.15 | 0.02 | 2 | 0.56 | 0.04 | 2 |
| 16-May | 136 | 24.0 | - | 1 | 0.07 | - | 1 | 0.51 | - | 1 |
| 17-May | 137 | 29.0 | 1.4 | 2 | 0.15 | 0.02 | 2 | 0.59 | 0.00 | 2 |
| 18-May | 138 | 28.0 | - | 1 | 0.13 | - | 1 | 0.59 | - | 1 |
| 20-May | 140 | 30.0 | 2.8 | 2 | 0.18 | 0.08 | 2 | 0.62 | 0.11 | 2 |
| 21-May | 141 | 31.0 | - | 1 | 0.19 | - | 1 | 0.64 | - | 1 |

Appendix 2 (continued)
Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured by Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1995

| Date | DOY | Length (mm) |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  | Condition (g/mm ${ }^{3}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | SD | n | Mean | SD | n | Mean | SD | n |
| 23-May | 143 | 30.0 | 2.8 | 2 | 0.18 | 0.06 | 2 | 0.63 | 0.06 | 2 |
| 24-May | 144 | 29.0 | - | 1 | 0.18 | - | 1 | 0.74 | - | 1 |
| 25-May | 145 | 32.0 | - | 1 | 0.24 | - | 1 | 0.73 | - | 1 |
| 27-May | 147 | 32.0 | - | 1 | 0.23 | - | 1 | 0.70 | - | 1 |
| 28-May | 148 | 33.0 | 1.4 | 2 | 0.28 | 0.04 | 2 | 0.78 | 0.02 | 2 |
| 29-May | 149 | 33.0 | - | 1 | 0.28 | - | 1 | 0.78 | - | 1 |
| 30-May | 150 | 32.0 | - | 1 | 0.25 | - | 1 | 0.76 | - | 1 |
| 31-May | 151 | 31.0 | - | 1 | 0.18 | - | 1 | 0.60 | - | 1 |
| 04-Jun | 155 | 38.0 | - | 1 | 0.37 | - | 1 | 0.67 | - | 1 |
| 05-Jun | 156 | 35.3 | 4.0 | 3 | 0.38 | 0.16 | 3 | 0.82 | 0.05 | 3 |
| 06-Jun | 157 | 32.0 | - | 1 | 0.31 | - | 1 | 0.95 | - | 1 |
| 07-Jun | 158 | 34.0 | 1.4 | 2 | 0.35 | 0.01 | 2 | 0.88 | 0.09 | 2 |
| 08-Jun | 159 | 32.7 | 3.0 | 6 | 0.29 | 0.08 | 6 | 0.83 | 0.30 | 6 |
| 09-Jun | 160 | 31.0 | - | 1 | 0.33 | - | 1 | 1.11 | - | 1 |
| 10-Jun | 161 | 32.0 | 2.6 | 3 | 0.26 | 0.06 | 3 | 0.80 | 0.04 | 3 |
| 11-Jun | 162 | 33.3 | 2.1 | 3 | 0.43 | 0.13 | 3 | 1.14 | 0.25 | 3 |
| 13-Jun | 164 | 36.0 | - | 1 | 0.34 | - | 1 | 0.73 | - | 1 |
| 14-Jun | 165 | 36.6 | 5.5 | 5 | 0.41 | 0.22 | 5 | 0.77 | 0.07 | 5 |
| 15-Jun | 166 | 35.0 | 4.2 | 11 | 0.34 | 0.15 | 11 | 0.76 | 0.08 | 11 |
| 16-Jun | 167 | 38.0 | - | 1 | 0.40 | - | 1 | 0.73 | - | 1 |
| 17-Jun | 168 | 34.4 | 2.2 | 5 | 0.32 | 0.06 | 5 | 0.79 | 0.07 | 5 |
| 18-Jun | 169 | 39.0 | - | 1 | 0.50 | - | 1 | 0.84 | - | 1 |
| 19-Jun | 170 | 36.0 | 2.2 | 10 | 0.35 | 0.09 | 10 | 0.74 | 0.09 | 10 |
| 20-Jun | 171 | 36.1 | 2.1 | 7 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 7 | 0.75 | 0.04 | 7 |
| 21-Jun | 172 | 35.3 | 1.2 | 3 | 0.32 | 0.03 | 3 | 0.73 | 0.05 | 3 |
| 22-Jun | 173 | 37.0 | 3.4 | 4 | 0.37 | 0.09 | 4 | 0.71 | 0.04 | 4 |
| 23-Jun | 174 | 35.3 | 1.9 | 7 | 0.34 | 0.06 | 7 | 0.77 | 0.07 | 7 |
| 24-Jun | 175 | 37.6 | 2.2 | 7 | 0.39 | 0.08 | 7 | 0.73 | 0.05 | 7 |
| 25-Jun | 176 | 39.0 | 3.3 | 5 | 0.45 | 0.12 | 5 | 0.75 | 0.09 | 5 |
| 26-Jun | 177 | 37.0 | 2.6 | 3 | 0.45 | 0.13 | 3 | 0.88 | 0.05 | 3 |
| 27-Jun | 178 | 38.0 | - | 1 | 0.43 | - | 1 | 0.78 | - | 1 |
| 28-Jun | 179 | 37.4 | 3.2 | 5 | 0.43 | 0.16 | 5 | 0.78 | 0.09 | 5 |
| 29-Jun | 180 | 35.5 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.36 | 0.02 | 2 | 0.79 | 0.00 | 2 |
| 30-Jun | 181 | 41.5 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.57 | 0.00 | 2 | 0.80 | 0.04 | 2 |
| 02-Jul | 183 | 52.0 | 19.8 | 2 | 1.48 | 1.43 | 2 | 0.86 | 0.01 | 2 |
| 06-Jul | 187 | 36.0 | - | 1 | 0.39 | - | 1 | 0.84 | - | 1 |

Sockeye Salmon 1+ (night)

| 21-May | 141 | 101.0 | - | 1 | 7.93 | - | 1 | 0.77 | - | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 27-May | 147 | 101.0 | - | 1 | 8.05 | - | 1 | 0.78 | - | 1 |

## APPENDIX 3

Mean Monthly Electrofishing Catch-Per-Unit-Effort (CPUE) of Juvenile Chinook Salmon by 10 km Intervals of the Nechako River, 1995

## Appendix 3

Mean Monthly Electrofishing Catch-Per-Unit-Effort (CPUE) of Juvenile Chinook Salmon by 10 km Intervals of the Nechako River, 1995

| Date | Distance (km) from Kenney Dam | $0+\log _{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{CPUE}+1)$ |  |  | $1+\log _{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{CPUE}+1)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n |
| DAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| April | 0.0-9.9 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 4 | 0.0719 | 0.1438 | 4 |
|  | 10.0-19.9 | 0.2898 | 0.4714 | 27 | 0.0224 | 0.1167 | 27 |
|  | 20.0-29.9 | 1.0433 | 0.6757 | 38 | 0.1395 | 0.3961 | 38 |
|  | 30.0-39.9 | 0.9430 | 0.6359 | 16 | 0.0276 | 0.1105 | 16 |
|  | 50.0-59.9 | 0.5395 | 0.5670 | 19 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 19 |
|  | 70.0-79.9 | 0.7653 | 0.7412 | 16 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 16 |
|  | 80.0-89.9 | 0.1647 | 0.3166 | 17 | 0.0934 | 0.2717 | 17 |
| May | 0.0-9.9 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 4 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 4 |
|  | 10.0-19.9 | 0.7289 | 1.0706 | 27 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 27 |
|  | 20.0-29.9 | 1.0220 | 0.9044 | 38 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 38 |
|  | 30.0-39.9 | 0.7054 | 1.0056 | 16 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 16 |
|  | 50.0-59.9 | 0.4810 | 0.8452 | 19 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 19 |
|  | 70.0-79.9 | 0.8958 | 0.7981 | 16 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 16 |
|  | 80.0-89.9 | 0.9055 | 0.9093 | 17 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 17 |
| June | 0.0-9.9 | 1.2398 | 1.4437 | 4 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 4 |
|  | 10.0-19.9 | 0.5276 | 0.8418 | 27 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 27 |
|  | 20.0-29.9 | 0.1005 | 0.2774 | 38 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 38 |
|  | 30.0-39.9 | 0.2625 | 0.3744 | 16 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 16 |
|  | 50.0-59.9 | 0.1031 | 0.2462 | 19 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 19 |
|  | 70.0-79.9 | 0.0379 | 0.1515 | 16 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 16 |
|  | 80.0-89.9 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 17 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 17 |
| November | 0.0-9.9 | 0.3054 | 0.5290 | 3 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 3 |
|  | 10.0-19.9 | 0.4754 | $0.6619$ | 10 | $0.0000$ | 0.0000 | 10 |
|  | 20.0-29.9 | 0.2108 | 0.3846 | 38 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 38 |
|  | 30.0-39.9 | 0.1784 | 0.4414 | 16 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 16 |
|  | 50.0-59.9 | 0.0638 | 0.1911 | 19 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 19 |
|  | 70.0-79.9 | 0.0783 | 0.3132 | 16 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 16 |
|  | 80.0-89.9 | 0.4960 | 0.6106 | 17 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 17 |

## Appendix 3 (continued)

Mean Monthly Electrofishing Catch-Per-Unit-Effort (CPUE) of Juvenile Chinook Salmon by 10 km Intervals of the Nechako River, 1995

| Date | Distance (km) from Kenney Dam | $0+\log _{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{CPUE}+1)$ |  |  | $1+\log _{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{CPUE}+1)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n |
| NIGHT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| April | 0.0-9.9 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 4 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 4 |
|  | 10.0-19.9 | 0.2089 | 0.4032 | 27 | 0.0224 | 0.1167 | 27 |
|  | 20.0-29.9 | 1.3798 | 0.7596 | 38 | 0.2401 | 0.4487 | 38 |
|  | 30.0-39.9 | 0.8135 | 0.6811 | 16 | 0.0613 | 0.2452 | 16 |
|  | 50.0-59.9 | 0.2296 | 0.3659 | 19 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 19 |
|  | 70.0-79.9 | 0.6196 | 0.7686 | 16 | 0.0758 | 0.2070 | 16 |
|  | 80.0-89.9 | 0.0973 | 0.2192 | 17 | 0.1373 | 0.3130 | 17 |
| May | 0.0-9.9 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 4 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 4 |
|  | 10.0-19.9 | 1.8769 | 1.0796 | 27 | 0.0673 | 0.1941 | 27 |
|  | 20.0-29.9 | 2.1933 | 1.2004 | 38 | 0.1262 | 0.2877 | 38 |
|  | 30.0-39.9 | 2.1551 | 1.0256 | 16 | 0.0379 | 0.1515 | 16 |
|  | 50.0-59.9 | 1.5564 | 0.9308 | 19 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 19 |
|  | 70.0-79.9 | 2.7489 | 0.9903 | 16 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 16 |
|  | 80.0-89.9 | 2.7298 | 0.8114 | 17 | 0.0205 | 0.0845 | 17 |
| June | 0.0-9.9 | 2.5282 | 1.3634 | 4 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 4 |
|  | 10.0-19.9 | 2.8607 | 1.0064 | 27 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 27 |
|  | 20.0-29.9 | 2.3484 | 0.9295 | 38 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 38 |
|  | 30.0-39.9 | 1.8460 | 1.0554 | 16 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 16 |
|  | 50.0-59.9 | 1.1813 | 0.9221 | 19 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 19 |
|  | 70.0-79.9 | 1.4633 | 0.7551 | 16 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 16 |
|  | 80.0-89.9 | 1.8593 | 0.9592 | 17 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 17 |
| November | 0.0-9.9 | 0.7385 | 0.8749 | 3 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 3 |
|  | 10.0-19.9 | $0.6580$ | 0.8043 | 10 | $0.0000$ | 0.0000 | 10 |
|  | 20.0-29.9 | 0.4650 | $0.5889$ | 38 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 38 |
|  | 30.0-39.9 | 0.0758 | 0.2070 | 16 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 16 |
|  | 50.0-59.9 | 0.1176 | 0.3439 | 17 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 17 |
|  | 70.0-79.9 | 0.1225 | 0.2650 | 16 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 16 |
|  | 80.0-89.9 | 0.5770 | 0.7974 | 16 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 16 |

## APPENDIX 4

Catches of Juvenile Chinook Salmon by Rotary Screw Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1995

A ppendix 4.C atches of juvenile chinook salm on by rotary screw traps atD iam ond Island, N echako R iver, 1995.

| D ate | River <br> flow <br> m 3/s | RST No.1: |  |  |  |  |  | RST No.2: |  |  |  |  |  | RST No.3: |  |  |  |  |  | T otalC atch: |  | W eighted A verage |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trap } \\ & \text { flow } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { flow } \end{aligned}$ | Catch: |  | Population estim ate: |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trap } \\ & \text { flow } \\ & \mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Percent flow sam pled | Catch:$1+$ | 0+ | Population estim ate: |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trap } \\ & \text { flow } \\ & \mathrm{m}^{3 / \mathrm{s}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Peroent flow sam pled | $\begin{gathered} \text { Catch: } \\ 1+ \end{gathered}$ | 0+ | Population <br> estim ate: |  | $1+$ | 0+ | 1+ | 0+ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ | sam pled | 1+ | 0+ | 1+ | 0+ |  |  |  |  | 1+ | 0+ |  |  |  |  | 1+ | 0+ |  |  |  |  |
| D ay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13-Apr | 51.49 | 0.87 | 1.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 124 | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 134 | 2.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $14-\mathrm{Apr}$ | 51.49 | 0.87 | 1.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 124 | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.34 | 2.6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 115 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 45 |
| 15-Apr | 51.49 | 0.87 | 1.7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 59 | 124 | 2.4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 83 | 1.34 | 2.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 45 |
| $16-\mathrm{Apr}$ | 51.49 | 0.87 | 1.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 124 | 2.4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 42 | 1.34 | 2.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 15 |
| $17-\mathrm{Apr}$ | 52.01 | 0.87 | 1.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 124 | 2.4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 42 | 134 | 2.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 15 |
| 18-Apr | 52.52 | 0.87 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 124 | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.34 | 2.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19-Apr | 52.01 | 0.87 | 1.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 124 | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.34 | 2.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20-A pr | 53.56 | 0.76 | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.10 | 2.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.68 | 1.3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 21 |
| 21-Apr | 53.56 | 0.76 | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.10 | 2.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.68 | 13 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 236 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 63 |
| $22-\mathrm{Apr}$ | 54.09 | 0.81 | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.13 | 2.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.69 | 1.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $23-\mathrm{Apr}$ | 54.61 | 0.81 | 1.5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 67 | 1.13 | 2.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.69 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 21 |
| 24-Apr | 56.72 | 0.81 | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.13 | 2.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.76 | 1.3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 21 |
| 25-Apr | 57.79 | 0.81 | 1.4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 72 | 1.13 | 2.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.76 | 1.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 21 |
| 26-Apr | 59.94 | 0.81 | 1.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.13 | 1.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.76 | 1.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 27-Apr | 61.03 | 0.81 | 1.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.13 | 1.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.76 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 161 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 45 |
| 28-A pr | 62.13 | 0.81 | 1.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.13 | 1.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.76 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 23 |
| 29-Apr | 6323 | 0.81 | 1.3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 78 | 1.13 | 1.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.76 | 12 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 250 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 94 |
| 30-A pr | 66.01 | 0.77 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 86 | 1.13 | 1.7 | 1 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 1.42 | 2.1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 186 | 1 | 5 | 20 | 100 |
| 01 M ay | 66.57 | 0.77 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 87 | 1.13 | 1.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.42 | 2.1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 188 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 101 |
| 02-M ay | 67.69 | 1.06 | 1.6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 64 | 1.38 | 2.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.11 | 1.6 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 790 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 266 |
| 03-M ay | 69.40 | 1.06 | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.38 | 2.0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 50 | 1.11 | 1.6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 374 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 136 |
| 04 M ay | 69.97 | 1.12 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.40 | 2.0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 200 | 1.57 | 22 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 268 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 171 |
| 05-M ay | 69.40 | 1.12 | 1.6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 62 | 1.40 | 2.0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 49 | 1.57 | 2.3 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 399 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 187 |
| 06ma ay | 67.13 | 1.12 | 1.7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 60 | 1.40 | 2.1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 144 | 1.57 | 2.3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 214 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 148 |
| 07may | 65.45 | 1.03 | 1.6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 63 | 1.34 | 2.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.85 | 2.8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 31 |
| 08-M ay | 64.33 | 1.03 | 1.6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 187 | 1.34 | 2.1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 48 | 1.85 | 2.9 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 487 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 274 |
| 09 M ay | 64.33 | 0.96 | 1.5 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 603 | 1.38 | 2.1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 234 | 1.83 | 2.8 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 211 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 309 |
| 10 M ay | 65.45 | 0.96 | 1.5 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 1159 | 1.38 | 2.1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 238 | 1.83 | 2.8 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 572 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 597 |
| $11+\mathrm{M}$ ay | 66.57 | 0.99 | 1.5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 202 | 129 | 1.9 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 155 | 1.54 | 2.3 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 477 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 297 |
| 12 M ay | 6826 | 0.99 | 1.4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 346 | 129 | 1.9 | 1 | 5 | 53 | 265 | 1.54 | 2.3 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 400 | 1 | 19 | 18 | 340 |
| 13 M ay | 6826 | 0.99 | 1.4 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 484 | 129 | 1.9 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 317 | 1.54 | 2.3 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 400 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 394 |
| 14 + ${ }^{\text {ay }}$ | 6826 | 0.99 | 1.4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 208 | 129 | 1.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.54 | 2.3 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 533 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 269 |
| 15-M ay | 67.69 | 0.98 | 1.4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 276 | 1.37 | 2.0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 148 | 1.38 | 2.0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 98 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 164 |
| 16 M ay | 67.13 | 0.98 | 1.5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 205 | 1.37 | 2.0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 98 | 1.38 | 2.0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 537 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 288 |
| 17-M ay | 66.57 | 1.04 | 1.6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 64 | 1.41 | 2.1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 47 | 1.53 | 2.3 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 521 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 234 |
| 18-M ay | 65.45 | 1.04 | 1.6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 63 | 1.41 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.53 | 2.3 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 427 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 181 |
| 19+M ay | 64.33 | 1.04 | 1.6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 62 | 1.41 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 1.53 | 2.4 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 923 | 1 | 23 | 16 | 372 |
| 20-M ay | 63.78 | 1.04 | 1.6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 61 | 1.41 | 22 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 45 | 1.53 | 2.4 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 499 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 224 |
| 21 M ay | 62.68 | 0.93 | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.19 | 1.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.76 | 2.8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 48 |

A ppendix 4.C atches of juvenile chinook salm on by rotary screw traps atD iam ond Island, N echako R iver, 1995.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{D ate} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{River flow \(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\)} \& \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{RST No.1:} \& \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{RST No.2:} \& \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{RST No.3:} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{TotalC atch:} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{W eighted A verage} \\
\hline \& \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Trap } \\
\& \text { flow } \\
\& \mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{s} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Percent flow sam pled} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Catch:
\[
1+
\]} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{0+} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Population estim ate:} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Trap } \\
\& \text { flow } \\
\& \mathrm{m}^{3 / \mathrm{s}} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{```
Percent
```} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { C atch: } \\
1+ \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\]} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{0+} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Population estim ate:} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Trap } \\
\& \text { flow } \\
\& \mathrm{m}^{3 / \mathrm{s}}
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Peroent } \\
\& \text { flow } \\
\& \text { sam pled }
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Catch: } \\
1+ \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\]} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{0+} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Population \\
estim ate:
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1+} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{0+} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1+

$1+$} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{0+} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& 1+ \& 0+ \& \& \& \& \& 1+ \& 0+ \& \& \& \& \& 1+ \& 0+ \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 22 M ay \& 62.13 \& 0.93 \& 1.5 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 1.19 \& 1.9 \& 1 \& 3 \& 52 \& 157 \& 1.76 \& 2.8 \& 0 \& 7 \& 0 \& 246 \& 1 \& 10 \& 16 \& 160 <br>
\hline 23M ay \& 61.58 \& 0.96 \& 1.6 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 125 \& 2.0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0.96 \& 1.6 \& 0 \& 10 \& 0 \& 639 \& 0 \& 10 \& 0 \& 194 <br>
\hline 24 M ay \& 61.03 \& 0.96 \& 1.6 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 125 \& 2.0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0.96 \& 1.6 \& 0 \& 9 \& 0 \& 570 \& 0 \& 9 \& 0 \& 173 <br>
\hline 25 M ay \& 59.94 \& 0.96 \& 1.6 \& 0 \& 2 \& 0 \& 125 \& 125 \& 2.1 \& 0 \& 4 \& 0 \& 192 \& 0.96 \& 1.6 \& 0 \& 7 \& 0 \& 435 \& 0 \& 13 \& 0 \& 246 <br>
\hline 26-M ay \& 58.86 \& 0.96 \& 1.6 \& 0 \& 3 \& 0 \& 184 \& 125 \& 2.1 \& 0 \& 1 \& 0 \& 47 \& 0.96 \& 1.6 \& 0 \& 6 \& 0 \& 366 \& 0 \& 10 \& 0 \& 186 <br>
\hline 27-M ay \& 58.86 \& 0.96 \& 1.6 \& 0 \& 3 \& 0 \& 184 \& 125 \& 2.1 \& 0 \& 2 \& 0 \& 94 \& 0.96 \& 1.6 \& 0 \& 12 \& 0 \& 733 \& 0 \& 17 \& 0 \& 315 <br>
\hline 28 M ay \& 58.33 \& 0.96 \& 1.7 \& 0 \& 3 \& 0 \& 182 \& 1.34 \& 2.3 \& 0 \& 4 \& 0 \& 174 \& 1.02 \& 1.7 \& 0 \& 3 \& 0 \& 172 \& 0 \& 10 \& 0 \& 176 <br>
\hline 29 M ay \& 57.79 \& 0.96 \& 1.7 \& 0 \& 2 \& 0 \& 120 \& 1.34 \& 2.3 \& 0 \& 5 \& 0 \& 215 \& 1.02 \& 1.8 \& 0 \& 8 \& 0 \& 455 \& 0 \& 15 \& 0 \& 261 <br>
\hline 30 M ay \& 5726 \& 0.96 \& 1.7 \& 0 \& 1 \& 0 \& 59 \& 1.34 \& 2.3 \& 0 \& 1 \& 0 \& 43 \& 1.02 \& 1.8 \& 0 \& 32 \& 0 \& 1802 \& 0 \& 34 \& 0 \& 586 <br>
\hline 31 m ay \& 56.72 \& 0.86 \& 1.5 \& 0 \& 3 \& 0 \& 198 \& 125 \& 22 \& 0 \& 3 \& 0 \& 136 \& 1.02 \& 1.8 \& 0 \& 10 \& 0 \& 558 \& 0 \& 16 \& 0 \& 290 <br>
\hline 01-Jun \& 56.19 \& 0.86 \& 1.5 \& 0 \& 1 \& 0 \& 65 \& 125 \& 22 \& 0 \& 1 \& 0 \& 45 \& 1.02 \& 1.8 \& 0 \& 22 \& 0 \& 1216 \& 0 \& 24 \& 0 \& 431 <br>
\hline 02-Jun \& 55.66 \& 0.74 \& 1.3 \& 0 \& 1 \& 0 \& 76 \& 1.09 \& 2.0 \& 0 \& 1 \& 0 \& 51 \& 0.87 \& 1.6 \& 0 \& 8 \& 0 \& 512 \& 0 \& 10 \& 0 \& 206 <br>
\hline 03-Jun \& 54.61 \& 0.74 \& 1.3 \& 0 \& 1 \& 0 \& 74 \& 1.09 \& 2.0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0.87 \& 1.6 \& 0 \& 6 \& 0 \& 377 \& 0 \& 7 \& 0 \& 142 <br>
\hline 04-Jun \& 55.14 \& 0.75 \& 1.4 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 124 \& 22 \& 0 \& 1 \& 0 \& 45 \& 1.02 \& 1.9 \& 0 \& 7 \& 0 \& 377 \& 0 \& 8 \& 0 \& 146 <br>
\hline 05-Jun \& 54.09 \& 0.75 \& 1.4 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 124 \& 2.3 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 1.02 \& 1.9 \& 0 \& 26 \& 0 \& 1372 \& 0 \& 26 \& 0 \& 467 <br>
\hline 06-Jun \& 54.09 \& 0.78 \& 1.4 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 1.10 \& 2.0 \& 0 \& 5 \& 0 \& 247 \& 1.10 \& 2.0 \& 0 \& 10 \& 0 \& 494 \& 0 \& 15 \& 0 \& 273 <br>
\hline 07-Jun \& 53.56 \& 0.78 \& 1.5 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 1.10 \& 2.0 \& 0 \& 4 \& 0 \& 196 \& 1.10 \& 2.0 \& 0 \& 2 \& 0 \& 98 \& 0 \& 6 \& 0 \& 108 <br>
\hline 08-Jun \& 53.04 \& 0.82 \& 1.6 \& 0 \& 3 \& 0 \& 193 \& 120 \& 2.3 \& 0 \& 1 \& 0 \& 44 \& 0.99 \& 1.9 \& 0 \& 3 \& 0 \& 161 \& 0 \& 7 \& 0 \& 123 <br>
\hline 09-Jun \& 52.01 \& 0.82 \& 1.6 \& 0 \& 2 \& 0 \& 127 \& 1.18 \& 2.3 \& 0 \& 4 \& 0 \& 176 \& 0.93 \& 1.8 \& 0 \& 1 \& 0 \& 56 \& 0 \& 7 \& 0 \& 124 <br>
\hline 10-Jun \& 51.49 \& 0.82 \& 1.6 \& 0 \& 1 \& 0 \& 63 \& 1.17 \& 2.3 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0.87 \& 1.7 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 1 \& 0 \& 18 <br>
\hline 11-Jun \& 52.01 \& 0.82 \& 1.6 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 1.17 \& 22 \& 0 \& 1 \& 0 \& 44 \& 0.87 \& 1.7 \& 0 \& 4 \& 0 \& 239 \& 0 \& 5 \& 0 \& 91 <br>
\hline 12-Jun \& 53.04 \& 0.82 \& 1.5 \& 0 \& 1 \& 0 \& 65 \& 1.17 \& 22 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0.87 \& 1.6 \& 0 \& 1 \& 0 \& 61 \& 0 \& 2 \& 0 \& 37 <br>
\hline 13-Jun \& 52.52 \& 0.82 \& 1.6 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 1.17 \& 22 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0.87 \& 1.7 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 <br>
\hline 14-Jun \& 52.01 \& 0.82 \& 1.6 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 1.17 \& 22 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0.87 \& 1.7 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 <br>
\hline 15-Jun \& 51.49 \& 0.77 \& 1.5 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 1.14 \& 22 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 1.18 \& 2.3 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 <br>
\hline 16-Jun \& 51.49 \& 0.77 \& 1.5 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 1.14 \& 22 \& 0 \& 1 \& 0 \& 45 \& 1.18 \& 2.3 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 1 \& 0 \& 17 <br>
\hline 17-Jun \& 50.98 \& 0.50 \& 1.0 \& 0 \& 1 \& 0 \& 101 \& 1.15 \& 2.3 \& 0 \& 2 \& 0 \& 89 \& 1.47 \& 2.9 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 3 \& 0 \& 49 <br>
\hline 18-Jun \& 51.49 \& 0.50 \& 1.0 \& 0 \& 1 \& 0 \& 102 \& 1.15 \& 22 \& 0 \& 1 \& 0 \& 45 \& 1.47 \& 2.8 \& 0 \& 1 \& 0 \& 35 \& 0 \& 3 \& 0 \& 50 <br>
\hline 19-Jun \& 52.52 \& 0.83 \& 1.6 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 120 \& 2.3 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 124 \& 2.4 \& 0 \& 1 \& 0 \& 42 \& 0 \& 1 \& 0 \& 16 <br>
\hline 20-Jun \& 52.52 \& 0.83 \& 1.6 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 120 \& 2.3 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 124 \& 2.4 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 <br>
\hline 21-Jun \& 52.01 \& 0.83 \& 1.6 \& 0 \& 2 \& 0 \& 126 \& 120 \& 2.3 \& 0 \& 1 \& 0 \& 43 \& 124 \& 2.4 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 3 \& 0 \& 48 <br>
\hline 22-Jun \& 51.49 \& 0.79 \& 1.5 \& 0 \& 2 \& 0 \& 130 \& 1.18 \& 2.3 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 129 \& 2.5 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 2 \& 0 \& 32 <br>
\hline 23-Jun \& 50.98 \& 0.79 \& 1.5 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 1.18 \& 2.3 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 129 \& 2.5 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 <br>
\hline 24-Jun \& 51.49 \& 0.79 \& 1.5 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 121 \& 2.4 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 1.19 \& 2.3 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 <br>
\hline 25-Jun \& 50.98 \& 0.79 \& 1.5 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 121 \& 2.4 \& 0 \& 1 \& 0 \& 42 \& 1.19 \& 2.3 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 1 \& 0 \& 16 <br>
\hline 26-Jun \& 50.47 \& 0.80 \& 1.6 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 1.15 \& 2.3 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0.82 \& 1.6 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 <br>
\hline 27-Jun \& 63.78 \& 0.80 \& 1.3 \& 0 \& 1 \& 0 \& 79 \& 1.15 \& 1.8 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0.82 \& 1.3 \& 0 \& 1 \& 0 \& 78 \& 0 \& 2 \& 0 \& 46 <br>
\hline 28-Jun \& 49.96 \& 0.80 \& 1.6 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 1.15 \& 2.3 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0.82 \& 1.6 \& 0 \& 1 \& 0 \& 61 \& 0 \& 1 \& 0 \& 18 <br>
\hline 29-Jun \& 49.96 \& 0.72 \& 1.4 \& 0 \& 1 \& 0 \& 70 \& 1.10 \& 22 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 129 \& 2.6 \& 0 \& 2 \& 0 \& 77 \& 0 \& 3 \& 0 \& 48 <br>
\hline 30-Jun \& 49.96 \& 0.72 \& 1.4 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 1.10 \& 22 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 129 \& 2.6 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 <br>
\hline 01-Jul \& 50.47 \& 0.72 \& 1.4 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 1.10 \& 22 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 129 \& 2.6 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

A ppendix 4.C atches of juvenile chinook salm on by rotary screw traps atD iam ond Island, N echako R iver, 1995.

| D ate | River flow <br> m 3/s | RST No.1: |  |  |  |  |  | RST No.2: |  |  |  |  |  | RST No.3: |  |  |  |  |  | TotalC atch: |  | W eighted A verage |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trap } \\ & \text { flow } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Percent } \\ & \text { flow } \end{aligned}$ | C atch: |  | Population estim ate: |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trap } \\ & \text { flow } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { flow } \end{aligned}$ | C atch: | Population estim ate: |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trap } \\ & \text { flow } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Peroent } \\ & \text { flow } \end{aligned}$ | Catch:Population <br> estim ate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | m 3/s | sam pled | 1+ | 0+ | $1+$ | 0+ | m 3/s | sam pled | 1+ | 0+ | $1+$ | 0+ | $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ | sam pled | 1+ | 0+ | 1+ | 0+ | $1+$ | 0+ | $1+$ | 0+ |
| 02-Jul | 50.98 | 0.72 | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.10 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 2.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 03-Jul | 50.47 | 0.72 | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.10 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 2.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 04-Jul | 48.44 | 0.72 | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.10 | 2.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 2.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 05-Jul | 45.94 | 0.72 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.10 | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 2.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 06-Jul | 44.46 | 0.72 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.10 | 2.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 2.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07-Jul | 43.97 | 0.72 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.10 | 2.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 2.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08-Jul | 44.95 | 0.65 | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.06 | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.87 | 1.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 09-Jul | 45.94 | 0.65 | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.06 | 2.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.87 | 1.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10-Jul | 48.44 | 0.65 | 1.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.06 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.87 | 1.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11-Jul | 49.45 | 0.65 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.06 | 2.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.87 | 1.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| T otal |  |  |  | 0 | 103 | 0 | 6943 |  |  | 4 | 92 | 209 | 4374 |  |  | 0 | 411 | 0 | 20875 | 4 | 606 | 70 | 10653 |

N ight

| 13-Apr | 51.49 | 0.87 | 1.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 124 | 2.4 | 1 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 1.34 | 2.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $14-\mathrm{Apr}$ | 51.49 | 0.87 | 1.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 124 | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.34 | 2.6 | 2 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 30 | 0 |
| $15-\mathrm{Apr}$ | 51.49 | 0.87 | 1.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 124 | 2.4 | 1 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 1.34 | 2.6 | 1 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 30 | 0 |
| $16-\mathrm{Apr}$ | 51.49 | 0.87 | 1.7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 59 | 124 | 2.4 | 1 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 1.34 | 2.6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 38 | 1 | 2 | 15 | 30 |
| 17-Apr | 51.49 | 0.87 | 1.7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 119 | 124 | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.34 | 2.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 30 |
| $18-\mathrm{Apr}$ | 52.01 | 0.87 | 1.7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 60 | 124 | 2.4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 84 | 1.34 | 2.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 45 |
| $19-\mathrm{Apr}$ | 52.01 | 0.87 | 1.7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 60 | 124 | 2.4 | 2 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 1.34 | 2.6 | 1 | 1 | 39 | 39 | 3 | 2 | 45 | 30 |
| 20-Apr | 52.52 | 0.76 | 1.4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 69 | 1.10 | 2.1 | 1 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0.68 | 1.3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 77 | 1 | 2 | 21 | 41 |
| 21-Apr | 53.56 | 0.76 | 1.4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 352 | 1.10 | 2.1 | 1 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 0.68 | 1.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 21 | 105 |
| $22-\mathrm{Apr}$ | 53.56 | 0.81 | 1.5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 198 | 1.13 | 2.1 | 3 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 0.69 | 1.3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 386 | 3 | 8 | 61 | 162 |
| $23-\mathrm{Apr}$ | 53.56 | 0.81 | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.13 | 2.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.69 | 1.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $24-\mathrm{Apr}$ | 55.66 | 0.81 | 1.4 | 1 | 3 | 69 | 207 | 1.13 | 2.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.76 | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 21 | 62 |
| $25-\mathrm{Apr}$ | 57.79 | 0.81 | 1.4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 72 | 1.13 | 2.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.76 | 1.3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 229 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 86 |
| 26-Apr | 58.86 | 0.81 | 1.4 | 1 | 1 | 73 | 73 | 1.13 | 1.9 | 1 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0.76 | 1.3 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 777 | 2 | 11 | 44 | 240 |
| 27-Apr | 59.94 | 0.81 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.13 | 1.9 | 2 | 0 | 106 | 0 | 0.76 | 1.3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 79 | 2 | 1 | 44 | 22 |
| $28-\mathrm{Apr}$ | 61.03 | 0.81 | 13 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 529 | 1.13 | 1.9 | 2 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 0.76 | 12 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 322 | 2 | 11 | 45 | 249 |
| 29-A pr | 6323 | 0.81 | 13 | 1 | 6 | 78 | 470 | 1.13 | 1.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.76 | 12 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 334 | 1 | 10 | 23 | 235 |
| 30-A pr | 65.45 | 0.77 | 12 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 940 | 1.13 | 1.7 | 1 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 1.42 | 22 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 646 | 1 | 25 | 20 | 495 |
| 01 M ay | 65.45 | 0.77 | 12 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 342 | 1.13 | 1.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.42 | 22 | 1 | 29 | 46 | 1339 | 1 | 33 | 20 | 653 |
| 02 M ay | 67.13 | 1.06 | 1.6 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 1452 | 1.38 | 2.1 | 1 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 1.11 | 1.7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 120 | 1 | 25 | 19 | 472 |
| 03 M ay | 67.69 | 1.06 | 1.6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 255 | 1.38 | 2.0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 196 | 1.11 | 1.6 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 1154 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 514 |
| 04 M ay | 69.97 | 1.12 | 1.6 | 1 | 7 | 63 | 439 | 1.40 | 2.0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 50 | 1.57 | 22 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 2233 | 1 | 58 | 17 | 993 |
| 05 M ay | 69.97 | 1.12 | 1.6 | 1 | 4 | 63 | 251 | 1.40 | 2.0 | 1 | 5 | 50 | 250 | 1.57 | 22 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 1161 | 2 | 35 | 34 | 600 |
| 06m ay | 68.83 | 1.12 | 1.6 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 1048 | 1.40 | 2.0 | 2 | 1 | 98 | 49 | 1.57 | 2.3 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 1758 | 2 | 58 | 34 | 977 |
| 07ma ay | 66.57 | 1.03 | 1.6 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 1417 | 1.34 | 2.0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 1245 | 1.85 | 2.8 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 252 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 852 |
| 08 M ay | 64.89 | 1.03 | 1.6 | 1 | 13 | 63 | 816 | 1.34 | 2.1 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 825 | 1.85 | 2.9 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 667 | 1 | 49 | 15 | 753 |
| 09 M ay | 64.33 | 0.96 | 1.5 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 5226 | 1.38 | 2.1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 421 | 1.83 | 2.8 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 668 | 0 | 106 | 0 | 1637 |

A ppendix 4.C atches of juvenile chinook salm on by rotary screw traps atD iam ond Island, N echako R iver, 1995.

| D ate | River flow$\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ | RST No.1: |  |  |  |  |  | RST No.2: |  |  |  |  |  | RST No.3: |  |  |  |  |  | Totalc atch: |  | W eighted A verage |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trap } \\ & \text { flow } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { flow } \end{aligned}$ | C atch: |  | Population estim ate: |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trap } \\ & \text { flow } \end{aligned}$ | Percent <br> flow | C atch: | Population estim ate: |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trap } \\ & \text { flow } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Peroent } \\ & \text { flow } \end{aligned}$ | Catch:Population <br> estim ate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | m 3/s | sam pled | 1+ | 0+ | $1+$ | 0+ | m 3/s | sam pled | 1+ | 0+ | $1+$ | 0+ | $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ | sam pled | 1+ | 0+ | $1+$ | 0+ | $1+$ | 0+ | 1+ | 0+ |
| 10 M ay | 64.89 | 0.96 | 1.5 | 1 | 32 | 68 | 2163 | 1.38 | 2.1 | 1 | 9 | 47 | 425 | 1.83 | 2.8 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 532 | 2 | 56 | 31 | 872 |
| 11 M ay | 66.57 | 0.99 | 1.5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 270 | 129 | 1.9 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 1393 | 1.54 | 2.3 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 1690 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 1222 |
| 12 M ay | 67.69 | 0.99 | 1.5 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 4391 | 129 | 1.9 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 892 | 1.54 | 2.3 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 1190 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 1918 |
| 13 M ay | 67.69 | 0.99 | 1.5 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 3362 | 129 | 1.9 | 1 | 4 | 52 | 210 | 1.54 | 2.3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 176 | 1 | 57 | 18 | 1012 |
| 14 M ay | 68.83 | 0.99 | 1.4 | 1 | 7 | 70 | 488 | 129 | 1.9 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 267 | 1.54 | 22 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 314 | 1 | 19 | 18 | 343 |
| 15 M ay | 68.26 | 0.98 | 1.4 | 1 | 31 | 70 | 2158 | 1.37 | 2.0 | 2 | 8 | 100 | 399 | 1.38 | 2.0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 347 | 3 | 46 | 55 | 843 |
| 16 M ay | 67.69 | 0.98 | 1.4 | 1 | 20 | 69 | 1380 | 1.37 | 2.0 | 1 | 10 | 49 | 494 | 1.38 | 2.0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 148 | 2 | 33 | 36 | 600 |
| 17 m ay | 67.13 | 1.04 | 1.5 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 904 | 1.41 | 2.1 | 5 | 10 | 238 | 477 | 1.53 | 2.3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 263 | 5 | 30 | 84 | 506 |
| 18 M ay | 66.01 | 1.04 | 1.6 | 1 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 1.41 | 2.1 | 9 | 1 | 422 | 47 | 1.53 | 2.3 | 2 | 2 | 86 | 86 | 12 | 3 | 199 | 50 |
| 19 M ay | 64.89 | 1.04 | 1.6 | 2 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 1.41 | 22 | 8 | 2 | 369 | 92 | 1.53 | 2.4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 169 | 10 | 6 | 163 | 98 |
| 20 M ay | 64.89 | 1.04 | 1.6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 250 | 1.41 | 22 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 369 | 1.53 | 2.4 | 2 | 4 | 85 | 169 | 2 | 16 | 33 | 261 |
| 21 M ay | 6323 | 0.93 | 1.5 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 545 | 1.19 | 1.9 | 4 | 12 | 213 | 638 | 1.76 | 2.8 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 1326 | 4 | 57 | 65 | 928 |
| 22 M ay | 62.13 | 0.93 | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.19 | 1.9 | 2 | 4 | 104 | 209 | 1.76 | 2.8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 106 | 2 | 7 | 32 | 112 |
| 23 M ay | 61.58 | 0.96 | 1.6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 192 | 125 | 2.0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 641 | 0.96 | 1.6 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 639 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 505 |
| 24 M ay | 61.03 | 0.96 | 1.6 | 1 | 4 | 64 | 254 | 125 | 2.0 | 1 | 8 | 49 | 391 | 0.96 | 1.6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 127 | 2 | 14 | 38 | 269 |
| $25-\mathrm{M}$ ay | 60.49 | 0.96 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 2.1 | 3 | 8 | 145 | 387 | 0.96 | 1.6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 314 | 3 | 13 | 57 | 248 |
| 26 M ay | 59.40 | 0.96 | 1.6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 309 | 125 | 2.1 | 2 | 1 | 95 | 48 | 0.96 | 1.6 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 1356 | 2 | 28 | 37 | 524 |
| 27 M ay | 58.86 | 0.96 | 1.6 | 1 | 1 | 61 | 61 | 125 | 2.1 | 1 | 3 | 47 | 141 | 0.96 | 1.6 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 794 | 2 | 17 | 37 | 315 |
| 28 M ay | 58.86 | 0.96 | 1.6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 306 | 1.34 | 2.3 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 657 | 1.02 | 1.7 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 1505 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 815 |
| 29 M ay | 57.79 | 0.96 | 1.7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 180 | 1.34 | 2.3 | 1 | 28 | 43 | 1205 | 1.02 | 1.8 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 966 | 1 | 48 | 17 | 835 |
| 30 M ay | 5726 | 0.96 | 1.7 | 1 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 1.34 | 2.3 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 469 | 1.02 | 1.8 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 1070 | 1 | 30 | 17 | 517 |
| 31 M ay | 56.72 | 0.86 | 1.5 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 660 | 125 | 22 | 1 | 15 | 45 | 680 | 1.02 | 1.8 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 669 | 1 | 37 | 18 | 671 |
| 01-Jun | 56.19 | 0.86 | 1.5 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 784 | 1.25 | 22 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 1167 | 1.02 | 1.8 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 1216 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 1078 |
| 02-Jun | 55.66 | 0.74 | 1.3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 454 | 1.09 | 2.0 | 1 | 16 | 51 | 817 | 0.87 | 1.6 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 1344 | 1 | 43 | 21 | 888 |
| 03-Jun | 54.61 | 0.74 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 1.09 | 2.0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 651 | 0.87 | 1.6 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 753 | 1 | 25 | 20 | 506 |
| 04-Jun | 54.09 | 0.75 | 1.4 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 504 | 124 | 2.3 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 1268 | 1.02 | 1.9 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 845 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 934 |
| 05-Jun | 54.61 | 0.75 | 1.4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 291 | 124 | 2.3 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 971 | 1.02 | 1.9 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 1066 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 834 |
| 06-Jun | 54.09 | 0.78 | 1.4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 278 | 1.10 | 2.0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 938 | 1.10 | 2.0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 790 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 711 |
| 07-Jun | 54.09 | 0.78 | 1.4 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 487 | 1.10 | 2.0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 1333 | 1.10 | 2.0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 790 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 911 |
| 08-Jun | 53.04 | 0.82 | 1.6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 257 | 120 | 2.3 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 355 | 0.99 | 1.9 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 645 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 423 |
| 09-Jun | 52.52 | 0.82 | 1.6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 191 | 120 | 2.3 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 1230 | 0.99 | 1.9 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 692 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 768 |
| 10-Jun | 51.49 | 0.82 | 1.6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 63 | 1.17 | 2.3 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 793 | 0.87 | 1.7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 237 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 415 |
| 11-Jun | 51.49 | 0.82 | 1.6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 63 | 1.17 | 2.3 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 396 | 0.87 | 1.7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 414 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 307 |
| 12-Jun | 52.01 | 0.82 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.17 | 22 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 311 | 0.87 | 1.7 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 299 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 219 |
| 13-Jun | 52.01 | 0.82 | 1.6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 64 | 1.17 | 22 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 178 | 0.87 | 1.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 91 |
| 14-Jun | 52.01 | 0.82 | 1.6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 255 | 1.17 | 22 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 267 | 0.87 | 1.7 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 299 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 273 |
| 15-Jun | 52.01 | 0.77 | 1.5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 67 | 1.14 | 22 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 457 | 1.18 | 2.3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 88 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 219 |
| 16-Jun | 51.49 | 0.77 | 1.5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 66 | 1.14 | 22 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 317 | 1.18 | 2.3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 131 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 183 |
| 17-Jun | 51.49 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 102 | 1.15 | 22 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 402 | 1.47 | 2.8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 182 |
| 18-Jun | 52.01 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 310 | 1.15 | 22 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 271 | 1.47 | 2.8 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 233 |
| 19-Jun | 52.01 | 0.83 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 2.3 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 435 | 124 | 2.4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 191 |

A ppendix 4.C atches of juvenile chinook salm on by rotary screw traps atD iam ond Island, N echako R iver, 1995.

| D ate | River <br> flow <br> m 3/s | RST N 0.1 : |  |  |  |  |  | RST No.2: |  |  |  |  |  | RST No.3: |  |  |  |  |  | TotalC atch: |  | W eighted A verage |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trap } \\ & \text { flow } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { flow } \end{aligned}$ | Catch: | Population estim ate: |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trap } \\ & \text { flow } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Percent } \\ & \text { flow } \end{aligned}$ | C atch: | Population estim ate: |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trap } \\ & \text { flow } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Peroent } \\ & \text { flow } \end{aligned}$ | Catch:Population <br> estim ate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ | sam pled | 1+ | 0+ | 1+ | 0+ | $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ | sam pled | 1+ | 0+ | 1+ | 0+ | $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ | sam pled | 1+ | 0+ | 1+ | 0+ | $1+$ | 0+ | 1+ | 0+ |
| 20-Jun | 53.04 | 0.83 | 1.6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 128 | 120 | 2.3 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 976 | 124 | 2.3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 171 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 455 |
| 21-Jun | 52.52 | 0.83 | 1.6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 254 | 120 | 2.3 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 1010 | 124 | 2.4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 169 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 498 |
| 22-Jun | 51.49 | 0.79 | 1.5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 261 | 1.18 | 2.3 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 526 | 129 | 2.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 253 |
| 23-Jun | 50.98 | 0.79 | 1.5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 129 | 1.18 | 2.3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 174 | 129 | 2.5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 110 |
| 24-Jun | 50.98 | 0.79 | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 121 | 2.4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 84 | 1.19 | 2.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 32 |
| 25-Jun | 50.98 | 0.79 | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 121 | 2.4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 168 | 1.19 | 2.3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 128 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 112 |
| 26-Jun | 50.98 | 0.80 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.15 | 2.3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 44 | 0.82 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 18 |
| 27-Jun | 49.96 | 0.80 | 1.6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 124 | 1.15 | 2.3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 87 | 0.82 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 72 |
| 28-Jun | 49.96 | 0.80 | 1.6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 124 | 1.15 | 2.3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 130 | 0.82 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 90 |
| 29-Jun | 49.96 | 0.72 | 1.4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 140 | 1.10 | 22 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 181 | 129 | 2.6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 112 |
| 30-Jun | 49.96 | 0.72 | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.10 | 22 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 407 | 129 | 2.6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 116 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 193 |
| 01-Jul | 49.96 | 0.72 | 1.4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 140 | 1.10 | 22 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 136 | 129 | 2.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 80 |
| 02-Jul | 50.98 | 0.72 | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.10 | 22 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 231 | 129 | 2.5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 115 |
| 03-Jul | 51.49 | 0.72 | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.10 | 2.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 2.5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 33 |
| 04-Jul | 49.45 | 0.72 | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.10 | 22 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 45 | 129 | 2.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 16 |
| 05-Jul | 47.43 | 0.72 | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.10 | 2.3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 43 | 129 | 2.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 15 |
| 06-Jul | 45.45 | 0.72 | 1.6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 63 | 1.10 | 2.4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 82 | 129 | 2.8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 58 |
| 07-Jul | 43.97 | 0.72 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.10 | 2.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 2.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08-Jul | 44.46 | 0.65 | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.06 | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.87 | 2.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 09-Jul | 45.45 | 0.65 | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.06 | 2.3 | 1 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0.87 | 1.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
| 10-Jul | 47.93 | 0.65 | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.06 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.87 | 1.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11-Jul | 47.93 | 0.65 | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.06 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.87 | 1.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12-Jul | 52.52 | 0.65 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.06 | 2.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.87 | 1.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13-Jul | 64.89 | 0.65 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.06 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.87 | 1.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total |  |  |  | 17 | 560 | 1131 | 37927 |  |  | 64 | 655 | 3081 | 30532 |  |  | 9 | 742 | 371 | 36993 | 90 | 1957 | 1590 | 34372 |
| Total |  |  |  | 17 | 663 | 1131 | 44870 |  |  | 68 | 747 | 3291 | 34906 |  |  | 9 | 1153 | 370.6 | 57868 | 94 | 2563 | 1660 | 45025 |

