# SIZE, DISTRIBUTION AND ABUNDANCE OF JUVENILE CHINOOK SALMON OF THE NECHAKO RIVER, 1996 <br> NECHAKO FISHERIES CONSERVATION PROGRAM <br> Technical Report No. M96-3 

Prepared by:
Triton Environmental Consultants Ltd.
February 2001

## Contents

List of Tables .....  $i$
List of Figures ..... ii
List of Appendices ..... v
ABSTRACT .....  1
INTRODUCTION ..... 1
METHODS ..... 1
Study Sites
Water Temperature and Discharge
Electrofishing Surveys
Rotary Screw Traps
Inclined Plane Traps
Fyke Nets
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION ..... 6
Water Temperature
Discharge
Size and Growth of Chinook Salmon
Catches of Chinook Salmon
Comparison with Previous Years
REFERENCES ..... 41
APPENDICES

## List of Figures

Figure 11996 Nechako River Study Area and Trap Locations

Figure 2 Mean Daily Water Temperatures of the Nechako River, 1996

Figure 3 Mean Daily Flow of the Nechako River at Skins Lake Spillway and Cheslatta Falls, 1996

Figure 4 Mean ( $\pm 1 \mathrm{SD}$ ) Length-at-date of 0+ Chinook Salmon, Nechako River, 1996: Electrofishing

Figure 5 Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Weight-at-date of 0+ Chinook Salmon, Nechako River, 1996: Electrofishing

Figure 6 Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Length-at-date of 1+ Chinook Salmon, Nechako River, 1996: Electrofishing

Figure 7 Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Weight-at-date of 1+ Chinook Salmon, Nechako River, 1996: Electrofishing

Regression of Weight on Length for Juvenile Chinook Salmon, Nechako River, 1996: Electrofishing

Mean ( $\pm 1 \mathrm{SD}$ ) condition-at-date of 0+ chinook salmon, Nechako River, 1996: electrofishing

Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Condition-at-date of $1+$ Chinook Salmon, Nechako River, 1996: Electrofishing

Figure 11 Mean ( $\pm 1 \mathrm{SD}$ ) Length-at-date of 0+ Chinook Salmon Captured in Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1996

Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Weight-at-date of $0+$ Chinook Salmon Captured in Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1996

Figure 13 Mean ( $\pm 1 \mathrm{SD}$ ) Length-at-date of 1+ Chinook Salmon Captured in Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1996

Figure 14 Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Weight-at-date of 1+ Chinook Salmon Captured in Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1996
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Figure } 15 & \begin{array}{l}\text { Mean ( } \pm 1 \text { SD) Condition-at-date of 0+ Chinook Salmon Captured } \\ \text { in Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1996 }\end{array} & 16\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Figure } 15 & \begin{array}{l}\text { Mean ( } \pm 1 \text { SD) Condition-at-date of 0+ Chinook Salmon Captured } \\ \text { in Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1996 }\end{array} & 16\end{array}$

## List of Figures (continued)

Figure 16 Mean ( $\pm 1 \mathrm{SD}$ ) Condition-at-date of 1+ Chinook Salmon Captured in Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1996

Figure 17

Figure 18

Figure 19

Figure 20

Figure 21 Spatial Distribution of 1+ Chinook Salmon in the Upper Nechako River, 1996: Electrofishing

Number of 0+ Chinook Salmon Captured at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1996: Fyke Nets

Number of 0+ Chinook Salmon Captured at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1996: Inclined Plane Trap

Figure 24 Number of 0+ Chinook Salmon Passing Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1996, as Estimated by Rotary Screw Traps (day)

Figure 25 Number of 0+ Chinook Salmon Passing Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1996, as Estimated by Rotary Screw Traps (night)

Number of 1+ Chinook Salmon Passing Diamond Island, 1996, as Estimated by Rotary Screw Traps31

Figure 27 Comparison of Mean, Minimum and Maximum Daily Water Temperatures of the Upper Nechako River at Bert Irvine's, 1987 to 1995, with Mean 1996 Temperatures

Comparison of Mean, Minimum and Maximum Daily Flows of the Nechako River at Cheslatta Falls, 1987 to 1995, with 1996 Flows32

Figure 29 Comparison of Mean Size-at-date of 0+ Chinook Salmon, Upper Nechako River, 1989 to 1996 (electrofishing)33

Figure 30 Comparison of Mean Size-at-date of 0+ Chinook Salmon, Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1990 to 199634

## List of Figures (continued)

Figure 31 Comparison of Predicted Growth in Length of 0+ Chinook Sampled by Electrofishing in the Upper Nechako River, 1991 to 1996

Figure 32 Comparison of Predicted Growth in Weight of 0+ Chinook Sampled by Electrofishing in the Upper Nechako River, 1991 to 1996

Figure 33 Comparison of Mean Monthly CPUE of 0+ Chinook, Upper Nechako River, 1989 to 1996

Figure 34 Comparison of the Monthly Centroids of 0+ Chinook, Upper Nechako River, 1991 to 1996

Comparison of the Daily Index of 0+ Chinook Outmigration, Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1991 to 1996

Figure 36 Plot of the Number of 0+ Chinook Salmon Outmigrants on the Number of Parent Spawners Above Diamond Island, Nechako River

## List of Tables

| Table 1 | Number of Fish Captured in the Upper Nechako River, 1996, <br> by Electrofishing | 18 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Table 2 | Mean Monthly Electrofishing Catch-Per-Unit-Effort (CPUE) of <br> Juvenile Chinook Salmon in the Nechako River, 1996 |  |
| Table 3 | Centroids of Juvenile Chinook Salmon Along the Longitudinal Axis <br> of the Nechako River, 1996 | 19 |
| Table 4 | Numbers of Juvenile Chinook Salmon Caught in Traps at Diamond Island, <br> Nechako River, 1996 | 23 |
| Table 5 | Number of Fish Captured at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1996, <br> by Rotary Screw Traps |  |
| Table 6 | Comparison of Growth of 0+ Chinook Salmon, Nechako River, <br> 1991 to 1996 | 230 |
| Table 7 | Comparison of the Index Numbers of Juvenile Chinook Salmon Migrating | 35 |

## List of Appendices

Appendix 1 Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured by Electrofishing in the Nechako River, 1996

Appendix 2 Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured in Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1996

Appendix 3 Mean Monthly Electrofishing Catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) of Juvenile Chinook Salmon by 10 km Intervals of the Nechako River, 1996

Appendix 4 Catches of Juvenile Chinook Salmon by Rotary Screw Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1996


#### Abstract

The size, distribution, and abundance of juvenile chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) was measured in 1996 in the upper 100 km of the Nechako River as part of the eighth year of the Nechako Fisheries Conservation Program (NFCP).

Electrofishing surveys showed that the center of distribution of resident $0+$ chinook moved upstream from May to June as the fish searched for rearing habitat. In the fall, resident $0+$ chinook redistributed themselves evenly along the length of the upper river in preparation for overwintering.

Maximum density of electrofished 0+ chinook occurred in May and then decreased over June to November at a rate of $0.88 \% / \mathrm{d}$ for day catches and $1.45 \% / \mathrm{d}$ for night catches.

Maximum numbers of outmigrating $0+$ chinook captured by rotary screw traps at Diamond Island also occurred in mid-May. Rotary screw trap catches of juvenile chinook decreased over May to July at a rate of $6.01 \% / \mathrm{d}$ for day catches. No loss rate could be calculated for night catches.

A total of 5,074 $0+$ chinook and $2871+$ chinook were captured by the rotary screw traps. Expansion of these numbers by the proportion of river volume sampled by the traps provided an index of downstream migration of 105,576 $0+$ chinook and 5,349 1+ chinook.

Comparison of seasonal trends in size-at-date, electrofishing catch-per-unit-effort and spatial distribution, and the index of outmigration showed that the population dynamics of $0+$ chinook salmon in the upper Nechako River were similar in 1996 to the years 1991 to 1995. Comparison of the biological parameters over 1989 to 1996 showed that growth and spatial distribution of fry in 1996 was similar to previous years, but that timing of emergence and outmigration in 1996 was delayed by 1 to 2 weeks, which was attributed to lower-than-average spring and summer water temperatures.


## INTRODUCTION

This report describes juvenile chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) size, distribution and abundance in the upper 100 km of the Nechako River in 1996.

This study was part of the eighth year (1996-1997) of the Nechako Fisheries Conservation Program (NFCP). The primary objectives of the 1996 survey were to measure the growth and spatial distribution of juvenile chinook in the upper Nechako River, and to obtain an index of the number of juvenile chinook that migrated downstream of Diamond Island from March to July. The secondary objective was to compare the biological parameters measured in 1996 with those measured over the previous seven years.

NFCP monitoring efforts are concentrated in the upper 100 km of the Nechako River because it is the part of the river most subject to changes in flow due to fluctuations in discharge from the Nechako Reservoir. Other parts of the river are buffered by flow from the Nautley and Stuart Rivers as well as from large tributaries. Thus, the upper Nechako is the best part of the river to concentrate monitoring efforts to determine effects of flow on juvenile chinook.

## METHODS

## Study Sites

The study area included the upper 100 km of the Nechako River from Kenney Dam to Fort Fraser (Figure 1). It was divided into four reaches with the

following boundaries, as originally defined by Envirocon Ltd. (1984):

| Reach | Distance $(\mathrm{km})$ from Kenney Dam |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | $9.0-14.6$ |
| 2 | $14.6-43.0$ |
| 3 | $43.0-66.6$ |
| 4 | $66.6-100.6$ |

In this report, all longitudinal distances are in kilometers from Kenney Dam. However, the first 9 km are upstream of Cheslatta Falls within the Nechako River Canyon, which was dewatered by the closing of Kenney Dam in October 1952. Thus, the first 10 km from Kenney Dam has only 1 km of flowing water from Cheslatta Falls that provides significant fish habitat.

## Water Temperature and Discharge

Mean daily water temperatures were measured by a datalogger at Bert Irvine's Lodge in Reach 2 of the river, 19 km below Kenney Dam, and are reported as preliminary data from Environment Canada. That is the only continuous daily record of water temperature in the upper Nechako River, and so it is the principal record used for comparing temperatures among years.

Spot water temperatures were also recorded with handheld thermometers during the day and night at sites throughout reaches 1 to 4 as part of the monthly electrofishing surveys of the upper Nechako.

Daily water flows were recorded at Skins Lake Spillway (WSC station 08JA013) and at the Nechako River below Cheslatta Falls (WSC station 08JA017), and are reported as preliminary data from Water Survey of Canada (WSC).

## Electrofishing Surveys

Each year since 1990, NFCP has conducted electrofishing surveys of the upper Nechako River to measure the relative abundance and spatial distribution of juvenile chinook. The surveys began as a temporary replacement for inclined plane traps that were rendered inoperable in 1990 due to high river flows.

Over the last six years they have become one of the most important components of the chinook monitoring program, mainly because they show spatial variation in juvenile density during spring and summersomething no fixed gear can do-and because electrofishing can be done at high flow levels that would render some fixed gear inoperable.

In 1996, as in previous years, an index of juvenile chinook salmon abundance was obtained from sin-gle-pass electrofishing surveys of each of the four reaches. Surveys began in April and continued through May, June and early July. As in previous years, surveys were discontinued during late July and August because flows were too high to allow safe and effective electrofishing. Large flows are released into the upper river during July and August to cool the river and thereby reduce prespawning mortality of sockeye salmon (Oncorhynchus nerka) migrating through the lower Nechako River to spawning grounds in the Stuart, Stellako and Nadina River systems. The program of releases is called the Summer Temperature Management Program or STMP. A final survey was conducted in November. Surveys of Reaches 1 through 4 were completed in each of the months sampled. Electrofishing surveys were carried out at night as well as during the day. Night was defined as the time period between sunset and sunrise.

Surveys were conducted on prime habitat for juvenile chinook salmon, defined as depth $>0.5 \mathrm{~m}$, velocity $>0.3 \mathrm{~m} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and a substrate of gravel and cobbled (Envirocon Ltd. 1984). That habitat was found mainly along the margins of the river, so electrofishing surveys did not sample the portion of the population that may have resided in mid-channel. However, midchannel residents are a minor component of the population of juvenile chinook. Electrofishing surveys conducted by the Department of Fisheries and Oceans showed that the densities of chinook inhabiting the margins of the river were 70 times greater than midchannel densities (Nechako River Project 1987). Also, snorkelling surveys conducted during the same study showed that $97 \%$ of juvenile chinook were seen along the margins of the river.

Fish were captured with a single pass of a Smith Root model 15A backpack electrofisher, identified to species, counted, and released live back into the river. Catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) of juvenile chinook was
the number of fish caught at a site divided by the area that was electrofished. Area was expressed in units of $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ to avoid fractional CPUE. Age of juvenile chinook was recorded as $0+$ or $1+$, based on fork length. Juvenile chinook less than 90 mm long were classified as $0+$. Those over 90 mm in length in the spring and early summer were classified as $1+$, but those over 90 mm long in late summer were classified as $0+$ because by that time all $1+$ chinook had migrated out of the upper Nechako River. Rainbow trout were classified as juveniles if their length was $<200 \mathrm{~mm}$ and adults if their length was $>200 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Before release, 10 to 15 chinook were measured for body size. Fork length was measured to the nearest 1 mm with a measuring board, and wet weight was measured to the nearest 0.01 g with an electronic balance. Following the practice of previous years, Fulton's condition factor (Ricker 1975):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{CF}=\text { weight }(\mathrm{g}) \times 10^{5} /[\text { fork length }(\mathrm{mm})]^{3} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

was used to assess physical condition.
Mean daily length and weight of $0+$ and $1+$ chinook were calculated separately for day and night catches because fish could potentially avoid sampling gear more successfully during the day than during the night, and because the behaviour of juvenile chinook varies with time of day-resting near instream cover during the day and migrating during dusk and dawn.

It is important to note that electrofished areas were not blocked off with nets, which meant that some fish could avoid capture by leaving a sampling area during a pass or by diving into crevices in the substrate. That meant that electrofishing catch was an underestimate of the total number of fish in a survey area. Two-pass or three-pass sampling of blocked off survey areas would have been necessary to estimate total numbers. However, the Nechako River electrofishing survey was not designed to estimate absolute numbers-it was designed to provide an index of relative abundance which could be compared between years.

That sampling strategy is called "semi-quantitative," to use a term coined by Crozier and Kennedy (1995). It has two advantages over the fully quantitative method. First, it is the only electrofishing technique that can be used when it is impossible or impractical
to enclose a survey area in blocking nets because the area is too large to be enclosed or flows through the area are too strong to allow nets to be installed. For example, almost all electrofishing conducted in lakes and reservoirs (DeVries et al. 1995; Van Den Ayle et al. 1995; Miranda et al. 1996), and in large rivers (R.L.\&L. Environmental Services Ltd. 1994), is semiquantitative. The upper Nechako River is too wide, deep and fast-moving to allow any part of the mainstem to be blocked off with nets.

Second, it is often necessary to use semi-quantitative methods when the region to be surveyed is large and contains many possible survey sites, but the time and resources available for sampling are limited (Crozier and Kennedy 1995). The upper Nechako River is too long for cost-effective quantitative sampling of its entire length several times a year.

There are two disadvantages of the semi-quantitative method. First, semi-quantitative electrofishing CPUE cannot be compared to fully quantitative CPUE unless the former are calibrated by the latter. That is, unless total numbers are estimated for a subset of the same areas that are semi-quantitatively surveyed, and a calibration relationship is developed from a comparison of the two types of CPUE (e.g., Serns 1982; Hall 1986; Coble 1992; McInerny and Degan 1993; Edwards et al. 1987). At present, conversion of electrofishing CPUE to absolute CPUE is not an NFCP objective because the purpose of the electrofishing surveys is to search for among-year variation in relative abundance of juvenile chinook abundance and not to compare it with absolute abundances of other chinook streams.

Second, semi-quantitative sampling assumes that the efficiency of capture, the fraction of total number of fish in a survey area that are caught in a single electrofishing pass, is constant for all sites and species of fish. However, electrofishing catch efficiency is known to vary significantly with fish species, fish body size, type of habitat, time of day, water temperature, and the training and experience of personnel conducting the survey (Bohlin et al. 1989, 1990). The NFCP electrofishing project reduced error in estimation of CPUE by sampling only one type of habitat (prime juvenile chinook habitat), by focusing analysis on only one species (chinook), by analysing CPUE from night and day surveys separately, and by using
the same experienced crew leaders each year. However, the study plan does not account for changes in catch efficiency due to seasonal changes in fish size and water temperature.

## Rotary Screw Traps

Rotary screw traps (RST) were used to estimate the number of juvenile chinook that migrated downstream past Diamond Island. RSTs were installed in early April and removed in mid July to avoid the high summer cooling flows in July and August. The traps were not re-installed in September because too few chinook salmon had been caught in the fall of previous years to justify re-installation of traps in the fall of 1996.

An RST consisted of a floating platform on top of which was a rotating cone. In front of the cone was an A-frame with a winch that was used to set the vertical position of the mouth of the cone, half of which was always submerged. In the back of the cone was a live box where captured fish were kept alive until the trap was emptied. The cone was 1.43 m long and was made of 3 mm thick aluminum sheet metal with multiple perforations to allow for draining of water. The diameter of the cone tapered from 1.55 m at the mouth to 0.3 m at the downstream end. Inside the cone was an auger or screw, the blades of which were painted black to reduce avoidance by fish. As the current of the river struck the blades of the screw, it forced the cone to rotate. Any fish that entered the cone were trapped in a temporary chamber formed by the screw blades. As the cone rotated, the chamber moved down the cone until its contents were deposited in the live box.

Three RSTs were installed off Diamond Island: RST 1 near the left bank, RST 2 in the middle of the river, and RST 3 near the right bank. RSTs were suspended from a cable strung across the river channel. The 1.5 m space between the right bank of the river and RST 3 was blocked with a wing made of wood beams with wire mesh. The 15 m long space between the left bank of the river and RST 1 was not blocked with a wing. Instead, one $2^{\prime} \times 3$ ' inclined plane trap (IPT) and three fyke nets were set side-by-side in the space to measure the outmigration of fish along the margin of the left river.

Each trap was emptied twice each day at about 0700 and 2000 hours. All fish were collected from the live trap and counted and identified to species. A subsample of chinook salmon was kept for length and weight measurement, after which all fish, including the subsampled fish, were released live back into the river. The lengths and weights of a subsample of 10 to 15 chinook salmon were measured using the same techniques described above for the electrofishing surveys.

An index of the number of juvenile chinook passing Diamond Island in a day was calculated by multiplying the total number of fish caught in an RST in a time period (day or night) by the ratio of the total flow of the river to the flow that passes through the RST:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\mathrm{ij}}=n_{\mathrm{ij}}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{j}} / \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ij}}=$ number of juvenile salmon passing Diamond Island on the $j$ th date as estimated by the catches of the $i$ th trap, $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{ij}}=$ number of chinook salmon caught in the $i$ th trap on the $j$ th date, $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ij}}=$ water flow $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ through the $i$ th trap on the $j$ th date, and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{j}}=$ total water flow ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ) of the Nechako River past Diamond Island on the $j$ th date. All estimates of the rate at which the numbers of juvenile chinook changed with time were based on expanded numbers rather than on catches.
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{j}}$ was estimated from the height of the river surface at Diamond Island, as measured with a staff gauge, with a predictive regression between flow and the height of the staff gauge ( cm ) that had been calculated under steady flow conditions ( $\mathrm{n}=16, \mathrm{r}^{2}=0.91$, $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ):
(3) $\quad \log _{e}($ Nechako flow $)=-4.548+1.920 \log _{e}($ staff height, cm),

Flow of the Nechako River was judged to be steady from April 26 to May 30, ranging from 67.4 to 72.6 $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ at Cheslatta Falls and from 79.9 to $93.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ at Smith Creek near Diamond Island. Equation (3) was similar to flow-height equations used in previous years. Flows and staff gauge height were $\log _{\mathrm{e}}$-transformed to linearize the exponential relationship between the two variables.

Water flow through a trap $\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)$ was the product of one half the cross-sectional area ( $1.61 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) of the mouth of
the trap (the trap mouth was always half-submerged) and average water velocity in front of the trap. Average water velocity ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) was measured with a Swoffler (Model 2100) flow meter at three different places in the front of the mouth of the RST. The one exception to this rule was RST 3, where $v_{i j}$ was increased to include the water that flowed between it and the right bank of the river because the fish that would ordinarily have passed through this gap were diverted into RST 3 by the right wing.

Since there were three RSTs, there were three estimates of total number each day. The best estimate of the total index number of chinook salmon was the mean of the three estimates weighted by the flow that passed through each trap.

## Inclined Plane Traps

An inclined plane trap (IPT) was installed at Diamond Island in early April and removed in early July. As in previous years, too few chinook salmon were caught after June to justify operating the IPT during the remainder of the year.

The 2'x3' IPT was set just left of RST 1, located near the left bank, at Diamond Island. Its purpose was to measure the number of fish passing between RST 1 and the left margin of the river. This allowed an assessment of the practical effect of blocking the 15 m distance between RST 1 and the left margin of the river with a wood and mesh wing, as was done in years previous to 1992. Although, the catches of the IPT are not used in the determination of the total index numbers of fish passing by Diamond Island, due to avoidance problems, it does provide an understanding of timing and magnitude of fish that pass along the left margin.

The IPT consisted of two aluminum pontoons supporting an inclined plane 0.9 m wide, the bottom edge of which touched the bottom of the river. The IPT was anchored by pushing its four steel supporting legs into the substrate. Fish that approached the trap were forced by water flow up the plane and over its downstream edge into a live box at the back of the trap. Some large fish were undoubtedly able to avoid capture by swimming upstream before falling over the edge of the trap. However, this was unlikely to have
significantly reduced catches of $0+$ chinook because fish larger than the largest $0+$ chinook were captured by IPTs. The box was emptied twice each day at the same time as the live boxes of the RSTs, and the contents were processed in the same manner as those of the RSTs. The daily catches of the IPT were not expanded by water volume to calculate indices of the number of fish passing Diamond Island.

## Fyke Nets

Fyke nets were used for the same purpose as the IPT, and they were installed and removed at the same times as the IPT.

Fyke nets are mesh bags with a rectangular mouth 30 cm high and 60 cm wide supported by metal bars. Three fyke nets were anchored to the left of the IPT with steel poles pushed into the river substrate. Fyke net 1 was placed closest to the left bank of the river in water about 10 cm deep. Fyke net 2 was placed farther out into the river in about 20 cm of water, and fyke net 3 was placed between fyke net number 2 and the IPT in about 30 cm of water. The bottom of each net mouth touched the river bottom and the top was about 10 cm above the water surface so the entire water column was sampled. The net was 1 m long with a mesh width of 0.64 cm . The net led into the top of a live box. The contents of the box were collected twice a day at the same time as the RSTs and the IPT, and they were processed the same way. Fyke net catches were not expanded to calculate indices of total population number.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Water Temperature

Mean daily water temperature of the Nechako River at Bert Irvine's Lodge rose from a minimum of $0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in January to a maximum of $16.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in late August and then decreased with time to a low of $0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in early December (Figure 2).

Spot temperatures taken during daytime electrofishing surveys of Reaches 1 to 4 during spring and early summer were generally higher than mean temperatures recorded at Bert Irvine's, most likely from solar heating of the river. In contrast, daytime

Figure 2
Mean Daily Water Temperatures of the Nechako River, 1996

spot temperatures taken during November were lower than those recorded at Bert Irvine's due to cooling of the river in the absence of substantial solar radiation. Spot temperatures taken during night-time electrofishing surveys of Reaches 1 to 4 were lower than spot temperatures taken during the day due to diel variation in solar heating.

## Discharge

Flow of the Nechako River was roughly constant at an average of $35 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ from January 1 to April 7, 1996 (Figure 3). From April 1 to June 28, flows increased to a maximum of $75 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ due to spring run-off in local tributaries and to a small increase in flows from the Skins Lake Spillway. From June 29 to September 1, flows from the Skins Lake Spillway were increased as part of the Summer Temperature Management Project. The increases were in the form of two broad pulses, the first to a maximum of $260 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ on June 29, and the second to $170 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ on August 1. After falling to $35 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ in early September, flows then rose in a series of steps to a maximum of about 225 $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ over October and November due to a spill of water from the Nechako Reservoir. The purpose of the spill was to make room in the reservoir for the
anticipated freshet flows of the spring of 1997. Flows decreased to about $50 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ over the second half of November and December.

## Size and Growth of Chinook Salmon

## Electrofishing

## O+ Chinook Salmon: Sources of Variation

To determine the factors responsible for changes in the size of $0+$ chinook salmon over time, standard twofactor analyses of variance (ANOVA) of length-at-date and weight-at-date were conducted with two factors: time of day (two classes: day and night) and date (four classes: April, May, June, July and November). (In this case, and in all subsequent ANOVAs of this study, the date classes were chosen so that there was a roughly equal distribution of data in each class.) The ANOVAs showed that:
(1) there was highly significant variation with date in mean length $\left(\mathrm{F}_{4,5089}=1766.8, \mathrm{P}<0.001\right)$ and mean weight $\left(\mathrm{F}_{4,5079}=1324.5, \mathrm{P}<0.001\right)$. Figures 4 and 5 (and Appendix 1) showed that the effects were due to growth;

Figure 3
Mean Daily Flow of the Nechako River at Skins Lake Spillway and Cheslatta Falls, 1996


Figure 4
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Length-at-date of 0+ Chinook Salmon, Nechako River, 1996: Electrofishing


Figure 5
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Weight-at-date of 0+ Chinook Salmon, Nechako River, 1996: Electrofishing

(2) mean length $\left(\mathrm{F}_{1,5089}=3.7, \mathrm{P}=0.055\right)$ and mean weight $\left(\mathrm{F}_{1,5079}=1.3, \mathrm{P}=0.248\right)$ were not significantly different between day and night. Therefore, they could be combined in growth analyses; and
(3) the interaction of date and time of day was significant for both length ( $\mathrm{F}_{4,5089}=29.3$, $P=0.001)$ and weight ( $\mathrm{F}_{4,5079}=38.269$, $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ ). Figures 4 and 5 showed that mean night sizes were almost identical to mean day sizes for April, but that they were greater than mean day sizes for May, June and July. The situation was reversed in November with mean lengths and weights of November day catches being greater than mean lengths and weights of November night classes. The interaction effects are most likely due to measurement error and do not have any obvious biological significance. Thus, day and night data were combined in subsequent analyses.

## O+ Chinook Salmon: Growth

Growth of 0+ chinook salmon electrofished along the river margins appeared to follow two separate growth
stanzas (Ricker 1979). Growth was slow between April and May, but then it increased between May and November (Figures 4 and 5). The first stanza was due to continuous emergence of fry over a period of several weeks-the numbers of emergent fry were great enough to force the mean size of all fry caught to stay close to the mean size of emergent fry. However, after emergence ceased, the second stanza began and the true growth rate of juvenile chinook became apparent. Based on the curvature of the mean length-at-date and weight-at-date plots shown in Figures 4 and 5, emergence ceased in late May.

Growth of $0+$ chinook salmon after emergence ceased was described with a one-cycle Gompertz growth curve (Zweifel and Lasker 1976), the standard growth model for the early life history stages of fish. A "cycle" is a period of constant growth pattern with the same meaning as a "growth stanza." The Gompertz model for length was:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L}_{0} \exp \left[\left(\mathrm{~A}_{0} / \alpha\right)(1-\exp (-\alpha \mathrm{t}))\right] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{L}=$ length $(\mathrm{mm})$ at age $\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{d}), \mathrm{L}_{0}=$ length $(\mathrm{mm})$ at emergence, $\mathrm{A}_{0}=$ instantaneous growth rate $\left(\mathrm{d}^{-1}\right)$ at
emergence, and $\alpha=$ instantaneous rate $\left(\mathrm{d}^{-1}\right)$ at which $\mathrm{A}_{0}$ decayed with age. The one-cycle Gompertz model for weight was the same as equation (4) except that $\mathrm{W}_{0}$, the weight (g) at emergence, was substituted for $\mathrm{L}_{0}$.

The simplest way of estimating age from date was to modify equation (4) by inserting the parameter DOY ${ }_{0}$, the mean day of the year (DOY) on which emergence ceased and the second growth stanza began. Therefore, $\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{DOY}-\mathrm{DOY}_{0}$ and the modified Gompertz model for length was:
(5) $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L}_{0} \exp \left[\left(\mathrm{~A}_{0} / \alpha\right)\left(1-\exp \left(-\alpha\left(\mathrm{DOY}-\mathrm{DOY}_{0}\right)\right)\right)\right]$.
$\mathrm{L}_{0}$ was fixed at 38 mm and $\mathrm{W}_{0}$ was fixed at 0.38 g , the mean length and weight of emergent chinook fry caught in emergence traps located near Bert Irvine's (Triton Environmental Consultants Ltd. 1996). Values of $\mathrm{A}_{0}, \alpha$ and $\mathrm{DOY}_{0}$ were estimated from mean daily lengths and weights with the non-linear regression program NLR of the SPSS statistical library (SPSS 1994). Each daily mean was weighted by its sample size. Day and night data were pooled to produce a
single growth curve. Mean length-at-date and weight-at-date collected in April were excluded because they belonged to the first growth stanza.

The modified Gompertz curves provided good fits to lengths-at-date and weights-at-date, explaining up to $97 \%$ of the variation in mean size (Figures 4 and 5). The average date at which emergence ceased was estimated to be May $19(\mathrm{DOY}=140)$ for both length and weight.

## 1+ Chinook Salmon: Growth

Growth of $1+$ fish was best described with simple linear regressions of mean length and weight on day of year, with mean size weighted by sample size (Figures 6 and 7). The length-DOY regression was significant-mean length of $1+$ chinook rose from 95 mm on April $12(\mathrm{DOY}=103)$ to 108 mm on June 14 $(D O Y=166)$ at a rate $( \pm 1 \mathrm{SE})$ of $0.21 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{d}$. The weight-DOY regression was also significant-mean weight rose from 10.70 g on April 12 to 17.20 g on June 14 at a rate $( \pm 1 \mathrm{SE})$ of $0.10 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{d}$.

Figure 6
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Length-at-date of 1+ Chinook Salmon, Nechako River, 1996: Electrofishing


Figure 7
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Weight-at-date of 1+ Chinook Salmon, Nechako River, 1996: Electrofishing


## 0+ and 1+ Chinook Salmon: Weight-Length Relationship

Following customary practice in fisheries science (Ricker 1975), a power function was used to model the relationship between weight and length of $0+$ and $1+$ chinook salmon:
(6a) $\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{aL}^{\mathrm{b}}$
where a was a coefficient with units of $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mm}$ and b was the length exponent. Equation (6a) was fit to individual weights and lengths after logarithmic transformation converted it to a linear regression:
(6b) $\quad \log _{e}(W)=\log _{e}(a)+\operatorname{blog}_{e}(\mathrm{~L})$.
Equation (6b) explained $97 \%$ of the variance in $\log _{e}(W)$ (Figure 8). However, it overestimated the weight of the largest fish, indicating that the weight-length relationship for juvenile chinook was not linear over the entire juvenile stage. Instead, there appeared to be one linear relationship for small $0+$ fish and a second linear relationship for large $0+$ fish plus all $1+$ fish. The approximate $\log _{e}(\mathrm{~L})$ at which the two groups diverged was 4.40 or a length of 81 mm . That average length was reached in August (see Figure 4).

## 0+ and 1+ Chinook Salmon: Condition

Condition of $0+$ chinook increased from a mean of $0.7 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ in April to an asymptotic value of about $1.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ in July and November (Figure 9). Condition of $1+$ chinook salmon was constant over April and June at a mean condition similar to that of $0+$ chinook captured in the fall of 1996 (Figure 10).

## Diamond Island Traps

## O+ Chinook Salmon: Sources of Variation

To determine if there were day-night differences in the size of juvenile chinook salmon caught by all three types of traps at Diamond Island, standard two-factor ANOVAs of length-at-date and weight-at-date were conducted. The ANOVAs were identical in structure to those conducted on chinook caught by electrofishing. (See the previous section on electrofishing). They showed that:
(1) there was highly significant variation in mean length ( $\mathrm{F}_{3,4451}=2011.0, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) and in mean weight ( $\mathrm{F}_{3,4384}=1607.2, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) of 0+ chinook with date. Figures 11 and 12 (and Appendix 2) showed that the effects were due to growth;

Figure 8
Regression of Weight on Length for Juvenile Chinook Salmon, Nechako River, 1996: Electrofishing


Figure 9
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) condition-at-date of $0+$ chinook salmon, Nechako River, 1996: electrofishing


Figure 10
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Condition-at-date of 1+ Chinook Salmon, Nechako River, 1996: Electrofishing


Figure 11
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Length-at-date of $0+$ Chinook Salmon Captured in Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1996


Figure 12
Mean ( $\pm 1 \mathrm{SD}$ ) Weight-at-date of $0+$ Chinook Salmon Captured in Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1996

(2) mean length ( $\mathrm{F}_{1,4451}=14.6, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) and mean weight ( $\mathrm{F}_{1,4384}=28.8, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) of $0+$ chinook salmon varied significantly between day and night catches, being greater in night catches than in day catches. Figures 11 and 12 showed that mean size was greater at night than during the day. The most likely reasons for the apparent day-night size differences are: (a) greater vulnerability of fish of all sizes to capture at night than during the day because fish cannot detect and avoid electrofishing gear as well at night as during the day; and (b) a wider size range of fish are active along the river margins at night than during the day because all juvenile chinook tend to migrate more at night than during the day to avoid predators; and,
(3) the interaction of date and time of day was highly significant for both length ( $\mathrm{F}_{3,4451}=$ 12.0, $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) and weight ( $\mathrm{F}_{3,4384}=16.3$, $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ). This was due to an increase in the day-night differences in mean size in June and July compared to April and May.

## O+ Chinook Salmon: Growth

Lengths and weights of $0+$ chinook captured at Diamond Island followed a complex trajectory with date (Figures 11 and 12). The first stanza of growth ran from mid-April to late May, at which time the rate of fry emergence had dropped to a level that allowed the true population growth curve to become apparent. However, the second stanza of growth was interrupted by an unusual pattern of constant length and decreasing weight between June 15 to June 25 followed by increasing length and weight from June 25 to mid-July. It appears that population growth rate declined rapidly during that 10 day period and then recovered afterward. This pattern is unlikely to have been caused by irregularities in sampling techniques or misidentification of other smaller fish as chinook fry because the sampling team was experienced and followed a routine that has been repeated without substantial change every year for at least the last five years. It is also unlikely to have been caused by changes in flow of the upper Nechako River. Although a major spill from the Nechako Reservoir occurred on June 29 and caused a rise in flows of the Nechako River at Cheslatta Falls on June 30 (Figure 3), that spill cannot explain the change in size-at-date
that began on or about June 15. The most likely explanation was that the interruption of growth was caused by a brief period of reduced temperatures in early- and mid-June (Figure 2).

To fit Gompertz growth curves to the Diamond Island size-at-age data, the second stanza was defined as starting between May $17(\mathrm{DOY}=138)$ and May 25 (DOY $=146$ ), based on a visual assessment of the plots of size-at-date. Gompertz curves were then fit to size-at-date for each of the nine possible starting dates and the regression that explained the most variation in size, i.e. had the highest $\mathrm{r}^{2}$, was chosen. Starting dates of DOY = 139 and 138 were found to provide the highest $r^{2}$ for length and weight, respectively (Figures 11 and 12).

## 1+ Chinook Salmon: Growth

A total of $2901+$ chinook salmon were captured in 1996 (Appendix 2). There were no significant changes in mean length $\left(\mathrm{F}_{3,285}=1.1, \mathrm{P}=0.371\right)$ or mean weight $\left(\mathrm{F}_{3,279}=1.1, \mathrm{P}=0.351\right)$ with date, so no growth models were fit to the data (Figures 13 and 14).

0+ and 1+ Chinook Salmon: Weight-Length Relationship
A regression of weight on length for trap-caught juvenile chinook salmon at Diamond Island: $\log _{e}(W)=$ $-13.556+3.489 \log _{e}(\mathrm{~L})\left(\mathrm{n}=2337, \mathrm{r}^{2}=0.98, \mathrm{P}<0.001\right)$, was almost identical to the regression for juvenile chinook salmon captured by electrofishing and so it is not shown as a figure in this report.

## 0+ and 1+ Chinook Salmon: Condition

The plot of mean condition-at-date of $0+$ chinook salmon was similar to that shown for electrofished fish-condition increased over April and May to an asymptote in June and July (Figure 15). The asymptote lay between 1.0 and $1.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$.

Condition of $1+$ chinook was constant with date. Mean condition of $1+$ fish was similar to the asymptotic mean condition of $0+$ chinook in summer (Figure 16).


Figure 14
Mean ( $\pm 1 \mathrm{SD}$ ) Weight-at-date of $1+$ Chinook Salmon Captured in Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1996


Figure 15
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Condition-at-date of $0+$ Chinook Salmon Captured in Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1996


Figure 16
Mean ( $\pm 1 \mathrm{SD}$ ) Condition-at-date of $1+$ Chinook Salmon Captured in Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1996


## Catches of Chinook Salmon

## Electrofishing/All Species

A total of 1,248 electrofishing sweeps were made along the margins of the upper Nechako River from April 12 to November 6, 1996. The average area covered by a sweep was $133 \mathrm{~m}^{2}(\mathrm{SD}=122)$. A total of 57,610 fish from 14 species or families were captured and then released (Table 1). Redsided shiner (Richardsonius balteatus) was the most common species ( $\mathrm{n}=16,499$ or $28.6 \%$ of the total number) and bull trout (Salvelinus confluentus) was the least common species ( $\mathrm{n}=4$ or $0.007 \%$ ). Juvenile chinook salmon was the second most common species ( $\mathrm{n}=15,314$ or 26.6\%).

## Electrofishing/0+ Chinook

A total of $15,0160+$ chinook were captured by electrofishing (Table 2), of which $19.47 \%$ were taken during daylight and the rest were taken at night. Catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) of electrofishing catches of $0+$ chinook ranged from 0.0 to 271.7 fish/ $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. The variance of mean monthly CPUE increased directly with mean monthly CPUE, indicating that

CPUE was not normally distributed. Therefore, the $\log _{e}($ CPUE +1$)$ transformation was used to stabilise the variance (Sokal and Rohlf 1981).

## Temporal Distribution of CPUE

Plots of mean monthly $\log _{e}(\mathrm{CPUE}+1)$ on date showed that maximum density of $0+$ chinook salmon occurred in mid-May for day catches and mid-June for night catches (Table 2 and Figure 17). After the date of maximum density, $\log _{e}(\mathrm{CPUE}+1$ ) decreased linearly with date through to November.

To calculate the average rate of loss of $0+$ chinook density with time, individual measurements of $\log _{e}($ CPUE +1$)$ were regressed on day of year for day and night catches separately. Data collected in April were excluded because it fell on the ascending lefthand limb of the catch curves. The predictive regressions were highly significant $(\mathrm{P}<0.001)$. The percent of variance explained by the regressions did not exceed $35 \%$ because of the large variation in $\log _{e}($ CPUE +1$)$ due to non-uniform distribution of chinook along the river.

| Species | Table 1 <br> Number of Fish Captured in the Upper Nechako River, 1996, by Electrofishing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Scientific Name | Adult |  |  |  | Juvenile |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
|  |  | Day | Night | Total | Percent | Day | Night | Total | Percent | Day | Night | Total | Percent |
| Redsided shiner | Richardsonius balteatus | 988 | 1287 | 2275 | 3.949 | 4897 | 9327 | 14224 | 24.690 | 5885 | 10614 | 16499 | 28.639 |
| Chinook salmon | Oncorhynchus tshawytscha | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 2950 | 12364 | 15314 | 26.582 | 2950 | 12364 | 15314 | 26.582 |
| Northern squawfish | Ptychocheilus oregonensis | 86 | 6 | 92 | 0.160 | 1184 | 6614 | 7798 | 13.536 | 1270 | 6620 | 7890 | 13.696 |
| Largescale sucker | Catostomus macrocheilus | 15 | 15 | 30 | 0.052 | 1888 | 3908 | 5796 | 10.061 | 1903 | 3923 | 5826 | 10.113 |
| Leopard dace | Rhinichthys falcatus | 276 | 399 | 675 | 1.172 | 1835 | 1890 | 3725 | 6.466 | 2111 | 2289 | 4400 | 7.638 |
| Longnose dace | Rhinichthys cataractae | 84 | 139 | 223 | 0.387 | 3409 | 495 | 3904 | 6.777 | 3493 | 634 | 4127 | 7.164 |
| Sculpins (General) | Cottidae | 217 | 674 | 891 | 1.547 | 630 | 658 | 1288 | 2.236 | 847 | 1332 | 2179 | 3.782 |
| Rocky mountain whitefish | Prosopium williamsoni | 11 | 121 | 132 | 0.229 | 33 | 760 | 793 | 1.376 | 44 | 881 | 925 | 1.606 |
| Rainbow trout | Oncorhynchus mykiss | 6 | 29 | 35 | 0.061 | 48 | 184 | 232 | 0.403 | 54 | 213 | 267 | 0.463 |
| Peamouth chub | Mylocheilus caurinus | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.002 | 61 | 10 | 71 | 0.123 | 61 | 11 | 72 | 0.125 |
| Coho salmon | Oncorhynchus kisutch | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 36 | 22 | 58 | 0.101 | 36 | 22 | 58 | 0.101 |
| Burbot | Lota lota | 0 | 8 | 8 | 0.014 | 6 | 20 | 26 | 0.045 | 6 | 28 | 34 | 0.059 |
| Sockeye salmon | Oncorhynchus nerka | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 8 | 7 | 15 | 0.026 | 8 | 7 | 15 | 0.026 |
| Bull trout | Salvelinus confluentus | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0.003 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0.003 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 0.007 |
| Total |  | 1683 | 2681 | 4364 | 7.575 | 16986 | 36260 | 53246 | 92.425 | 18669 | 38941 | 57610 | 100.000 |

Table 2
Mean Monthly Electrofishing Catch-Per-Unit-Effort (CPUE) of Juvenile Chinook Salmon in the Nechako River, 1996

| Date | Number |  | n | 0+ CPUE |  | 1+ CPUE |  | $0+\log _{e}(\mathrm{CPUE}+1)$ |  | $1+\log _{e}(\mathrm{CPUE}+1)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0+ | 1+ |  | mean | SD | mean | SD | mean | SD | mean | SD |
| Day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-Apr | 480 | 15 | 136 | 2.948 | 4.005 | 0.087 | 0.432 | 0.9910 | 0.8530 | 0.0506 | 0.2126 |
| 19-May | 1223 | 11 | 137 | 6.670 | 9.112 | 0.056 | 0.280 | 1.5526 | 0.9864 | 0.0360 | 0.1659 |
| 14-Jun | 1126 | 0 | 137 | 6.597 | 12.757 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.2443 | 1.1567 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 05-Jul | 93 | 0 | 121 | 0.585 | 1.786 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.2350 | 0.5331 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 03-Nov | 2 | 0 | 105 | 0.016 | 0.114 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.0115 | 0.0833 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| sum | 2924 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Night |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-Apr | 592 | 242 | 136 | 3.690 | 5.837 | 1.398 | 3.179 | 0.9986 | 0.9937 | 0.4760 | 0.7557 |
| 20-May | 5242 | 27 | 137 | 29.026 | 43.534 | 0.165 | 0.490 | 2.5916 | 1.3420 | 0.1028 | 0.2776 |
| 15-Jun | 4539 | 3 | 137 | 27.249 | 38.030 | 0.021 | 0.146 | 2.7579 | 1.1230 | 0.0148 | 0.1001 |
| 06-Jul | 1650 | 0 | 110 | 12.355 | 24.990 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.6819 | 1.2907 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 03-Nov | 69 | 0 | 92 | 0.610 | 0.873 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.3577 | 0.4657 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| sum | 12092 | 272 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 15016 | 298 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 17
Mean ( $\pm 1 \mathrm{SE}$ ) Monthly Electrofishing Catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) of 0+ Chinook Salmon in the Nechako River, 1996


The night-time rate of loss of $\log _{e}($ CPUE +1 ) of $1.45 \% / \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{SE}=0.066)$ was 1.6 times greater than the daytime rate of loss of $0.89 \% / \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{SE}=0.090)$ (Figure 17). The difference in rates was highly significant $\left(\mathrm{t}_{974}=5.134, \mathrm{P}<0.001\right)$. The cause of the day-night difference in loss rates was a day-night difference in mean $\log _{e}(C P U E+1)$ in May, June and July. The main reason for the difference was that young chinook in spring were far more vulnerable to capture at night than during day, either because they were less able to detect and avoid the gear at night than during the day or because their distribution across habitats was different between night and day. That is, fry may have sought refuge during the day in habitat that was difficult to sample, but they came out of refuge at night and were therefore caught in greater numbers. This meant that estimates of mean night $\log _{e}($ CPUE +1$)$ in May and June were more realistic, accurate and higher than estimates of mean day $\log _{e}($ CPUE +1$)$ over the same time period. However, by November the vulnerability of chinook fry to capture was the same at night as it was during the day, either because the fish were large enough to avoid capture at night as well as they were able to avoid capture during the day or because there was less of a day-night difference in habitat choice.

The differences between the predicted $\log _{e}$ (CPUE +1 ) of day and night catches at the beginning and end of the regression period provide a range of estimates of the day-night difference in electrofishing catchability of $0+$ chinook. On May 19 to 20, 1996, the night-day difference was 1.039 (= 2.592-1.553), which means that night electrofishing caught an average of 3 times ( $=\exp (1.039)$ ) more $0+$ chinook than day electrofishing. On November 3, 1996, night electrofishing caught an average of 1.4 times $(=\exp (0.358-0.011))$ more $0+$ chinook than day electrofishing.

## Spatial Distribution of CPUE

Figures 18 and 19 and Appendix 3 show the monthly distribution of mean $\log _{e}($ CPUE +1 ) of $0+$ chinook salmon over the upper 100 km of the Nechako River, aggregated into 10 km intervals.

In April, day sampling showed that the greatest CPUE of $0+$ chinook was 20.0-29.9 km from Kenney Dam, while the lowest CPUE was measured $80.0-89.9 \mathrm{~km}$
from the Dam. A second peak of high CPUE was observed in the 70-79.9 km interval. Night sampling in April showed a similar pattern. This pattern reflected the spatial distribution of spawning in the upper Nechako River.

In May, the bimodal distribution of CPUE was still apparent in both day and night sampling, but there was an increase in density of $0+$ chinook in the $10.0-$ 19.9 km interval. This change was due to colonisation of upstream habitat by juveniles that had emerged further downstream. It may also have been due to late emergence of fry in the 10.0-19.9 km interval.

By June, the upstream peak had moved much closer to the Dam-the greatest densities were recorded in the $10.0-19.9 \mathrm{~km}$ interval in both day and night catches. By July, the greatest night densities were recorded in the 0.0-9.9 km interval.

By November, the $0+$ chinook remaining in the river had redistributed themselves roughly evenly along the length of the river.

To quantify these observations, the monthly x -centroid, $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{km})$, or weighted center of distribution of $0+$ chinook along the longitudinal ( $x$-axis) of the river, was calculated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}=\stackrel{\mathrm{i}}{\Sigma}\left(\mathrm{CPUE}_{\mathrm{i}} \cdot \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) / \stackrel{\mathrm{i}}{\Sigma} \mathrm{CPUE}_{\mathrm{i}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where CPUE $_{\mathrm{i}}=$ CPUE at site i , and $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ longitudinal distance ( km ) from Kenney Dam to site i. The centroids confirmed the upstream migration of juvenile chinook towards Kenney Dam between May and June followed by downstream movement in fall as resident fish searched for overwintering habitat (Table 3).

## Electrofishing11+ Chinook

A total of 298 1+ chinook were captured by electrofishing (Table 2), of which $8.72 \%$ were taken during daylight and the rest were taken at night. CPUE of $1+$ chinook ranged from 0.0 to 20.6 fish $/ 100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. It decreased so rapidly with date that most, if not all, $1+$ fish had left the upper Nechako River by the end of June (Table 2 and Figure 20). Greater numbers of $1+$ fish were caught at night than during the day.

Figure 18
Mean ( $\pm 1 \mathrm{SD}$ ) Monthly Catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) of 0+ Chinook Salmon, Nechako River, 1996: Electrofishing (day)






Figure 19
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Monthly Catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) of 1+ Chinook Salmon, Nechako River, 1996: Electrofishing (night)


Table 3
Centroids of Juvenile Chinook Salmon Along the Longitudinal Axis of the Nechako River, 1996

|  | Centroid (km) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | $0+$ | $1+$ |
| Day |  |  |
| 15-Apr | 39.9 | 26.0 |
| 19-May | 32.1 | 29.6 |
| 14-Jun | 22.0 | - |
| 05-Jul | 18.0 | - |
| 03-Nov | 25.5 | - |
| Night |  |  |
| 15-Apr | 34.0 | 35.9 |
| 20-May | 29.9 | 39.1 |
| 15-Jun | 30.7 | 17.1 |
| 06-Jul | 17.8 | - |
| 03-Nov | 25.6 | - |
|  |  |  |

An average rate of loss of $1+$ chinook at night over May, June and July of 0.77 \%/d (SE = 0.094) was obtained by regressing mean monthly $\log _{e}$ (CPUE +1 ) against the three dates with non-zero catches (Figure 20).

An average rate of loss of $1+$ chinook during the day could not be calculated using regression techniques due to a lack of day captures in June. Instead, a total instantaneous loss rate of night catches of $0.042 \% / \mathrm{d}$ over April and May was calculated as:
(8) loss rate $=-\left[100 /\left(t_{i+1}-t_{i}\right)\right]\left[\log _{e}(\text { CPUE }+1)_{i+1}\right.$ $-\log _{\mathrm{e}}(\text { CPUE }+1)_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{]}$,
where $t_{i}=$ mid-date of month $i$, and $t_{i+1}=$ mid-date of the following month.

Electrofishing CPUE for $1+$ chinook showed that these fish also tended to concentrate in the upper river in April and May (Figure 21). The centroids of $1+$ chinook were all in reach 2 (Table 3).

## Diamond Island Traps

A total of 27,541 juvenile chinook salmon were caught by traps at Diamond Island in 1996, of which $78.56 \%$ were caught in the three RSTs, $12.93 \%$ were caught in the IPT, and $8.51 \%$ were caught by the three fyke nets (Table 4). Over $98 \%$ of all juveniles were $0+$ fish. Over $98 \%$ of all $1+$ chinook were caught by the RSTs-less than $2 \%$ were caught by fyke nets and the IPT.

Figure 20
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SE) Monthly Electrofishing CPUE of 1+ Chinook Salmon, Nechako River, 1996


Figure 21
Spatial Distribution of 1+ Chinook Salmon in the Upper Nechako River, 1996: Electrofishing



Table 4
Numbers of Juvenile Chinook Salmon Caught in Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1996

| Trap type | Trap number | Chinook 0+ |  |  | Chinook 1+ |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Day | Night | Total | Day | Night | Total |  |
| Fyke | 1 | 25 | 415 | 440 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 440 |
|  | 2 | 29 | 663 | 692 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 692 |
|  | 3 | 49 | 1164 | 1213 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1213 |
|  | subtotal | 103 | 2242 | 2345 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2345 |
| IPT | 0 | 172 | 2212 | 3558 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3561 |
| RST | 1 | 137 | 883 | 3558 | 5 | 141 | 146 | 3704 |
|  | 2 | 244 | 1670 | 7116 | 8 | 101 | 109 | 7225 |
|  | 3 | 624 | 1516 | 10674 | 8 | 24 | 32 | 10706 |
|  | subtotal | 1005 | 4069 | 21348 | 21 | 266 | 287 | 21635 |
|  | Total | 1280 | 8523 | 27251 | 21 | 269 | 290 | 27541 |

ANOVA of $\log _{e}$ (number) with fyke net (three classes: fyke nets 1,2 and 3 ), time of day (two classes: day and night), and date (two classes: April and May) was conducted. The ANOVA showed that there was a highly significant effect of time of day ( $\mathrm{F}_{1,147}=46.1$, $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ), but no significant effects of date $\left(\mathrm{F}_{1,147}=0.621, \mathrm{P}=0.431\right)$, trap number ( $\mathrm{F}_{2,147}=1.8$, $\mathrm{P}=0.176$ ) or the interactions of time of day, date, and net number (Table 4 and Figure 22). The effect of time of day was due to greater catches at

## Methods of Analysis

All analyses of fyke net catches and IPT catches presented below were carried out on the numbers onlyno adjustments were made for variation in flow through the traps. However, all analyses of RST catches were based on catches expanded by the ratio of river flow to trap flow according to equation (2).

The frequency distributions of catches of juvenile chinook salmon at Diamond Island were highly nonnormal, which meant that they required $\log _{\mathrm{e}}$-transformation before analysis. However, the $\log _{e}$ (number) transformation, rather than the $\log _{\mathrm{e}}$ (number +1 ) transformation, was used for fyke net, IPT and RST catches because the population expansion procedure that was applied to RST catches effectively divided catches into two clusters of data: zero catches and non-zero catches. Non-zero catches were expanded by a factor of about 100 because most RSTs sampled about $1 \%$ of the daily flow of the river past Diamond Island, but zero catches were expanded to population estimates of zero-in effect they were not expanded at all. To avoid the problem of treating two separate clusters of data together, all zero catches of all Diamond Island traps were excluded from the analyses presented below.

## Fyke Net Catches

To determine which factors were responsible for changes in fyke net catches, a standard three-way
night than during the day, presumably due to greater net avoidance during the day than at night. The ANOVA did not detect a date effect because the selection of date categories fortuitously corresponded to bisecting the catch curve.

Night catches showed two maxima; catches decreased from a peak in early April to a minimum in early May, rose to a second peak in late May and then decreased to zero by the beginning of June. The decrease in catches over April is unexplained. The decrease in catches in late May was due to three factors: (a) avoidance of the traps by juveniles; (b) a shift in preferred habitat from the margins of the river, where the fyke nets were placed, towards the mid-channel where there were no fyke nets; and (c) natural mortality.

In summary, fyke net catches showed that a significant portion of the total population of $0+$ chinook salmon captured at Diamond Island moved in shallow water down the left margin of the Nechako River at Diamond Island. That finding supported the assumption that the wing placed between RST 3 and the left margin of the river in 1991 was directing fish into RST 3.

## Inclined Plane Trap Catches

IPT numbers showed many of the same patterns seen in fyke net numbers (Figure 23); a two-way ANOVA of $\log _{\text {e }}$-transformed IPT numbers found significantly greater catches at night than during the day $\left(\mathrm{F}_{1,91}=74.4, \mathrm{P}<0.001\right)$, no significant effect of date

Figure 22
Number of 0+ Chinook Salmon Captured at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1996: Fyke Nets



Figure 23
Number of 0+ Chinook Salmon Captured at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1996: Inclined Plane Trap

( $\mathrm{F}_{1,91}=3.2, \mathrm{P}=0.076$ ), and a barely significant interaction of time of day and date ( $\mathrm{F}_{1,91}=4.5, \mathrm{P}=0.036$ ). Substantially more fish were caught at night than during the day due to daytime net avoidance and to day-night differences in the distribution of fish over habitat types (i.e., greater numbers of juveniles migrating downstream at night than during the day).

## Diamond Island Rotary Screw Traps/0+ Chinook

## Temporal Variance of Estimated Number

To determine which factors were responsible for changes in volume-adjusted numbers of $0+$ chinook salmon caught in rotary screw traps, a standard threeway ANOVA of $\log _{e}$ (number) on RST (three classes corresponding to the three traps), date (three classes: April, May and June-July), and time of day (two classes: day and night), was conducted. There were highly significant differences in $\log _{e}$ (number) among traps ( $\mathrm{F}_{2,398}=39.3, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ), among dates ( $\mathrm{F}_{2,398}=27.4$, $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ), and between day and night ( $\mathrm{F}_{1,398}=123.2$, $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ), and there were highly significant ( $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) interactions of trap number, date and time of day.

The date effect was due to variation in catch rates over the April to July period caused by recruitment of juveniles to the traps over April and early May followed by loss of juveniles over late May, June to July due to a combination of downstream dispersal, natural mortality, and changes in the catchability of the traps as chinook fry grew in size and increased their ability to avoid capture (Figures 24 and 25).
The time effect was caused by substantially greater catches at night than during the day due to a preference for night-time movement and to avoidance of traps during the day (Figures 24 and 25).

The catch curves for the weighted average volumeexpanded numbers measured during the day showed the typical three-part dome-shaped pattern observed in previous years. There was an initial period of increasing catches in April and early May as juveniles were recruited to Diamond Island from upstream emergence sites. Catches reached a peak in the third week of May, and then decreased over June and July due to a combination of downstream dispersal, natural mortality, and changes in the catchability of the traps.

Figure 24
Number of 0+ Chinook Salmon Passing Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1996, as Estimated by Rotary Screw Traps (day)


Figure 25
Number of 0+ Chinook Salmon Passing Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1996, as Estimated by Rotary Screw Traps (night)


The pattern was different for night catches: the dome of the catch curve occurred in mid-May rather than late-May, and there was an unexplained increase in night catches at the end of June and the beginning of July. The increase may be related to relatively large flows occurring at that time, however that explanation does not account for the lack of such an increase in the day catches.

To estimate the time rates of loss and day-night differences in catchability of the traps, regressions of $\log _{e}$ (weighted average number) on day of year (DOY) were fit to the declining right-hand limb of the catch curves for day and night separately. May 19 (DOY = 140) was chosen as the peak of the two catch curves and the beginning date of the regression period, based on Figures 24 and 25 plus the estimated dates of the end of the fry emergence period from growth analyses. The instantaneous rate of loss for day catches was $6.01 \% / \mathrm{d}^{-1}(\mathrm{SE}=0.990)$, which was seven times greater than the loss rates estimated from day electrofishing catches. The regression for night catches was not significant $(\mathrm{P}=0.076)$.

A total of $50740+$ chinook salmon were caught at the rotary screw traps in 1996 (Appendix 4). Summing the volume-expanded number of $0+$ chinook that were estimated to have passed Diamond Island over the study period produced totals ranging from 67,753 for trap 1 to 136,664 for trap 3 (Appendix 4). The total index number of $0+$ chinook that passed Diamond Island, weighted by the average percent of river flow filtered by each trap, was 105,576 . That was the third greatest number of outmigrating $0+$ chinook that has been estimated over the last 6 years (Table 7), based on a sampling period restricted to April-July.

## Diamond Island Rotary Screw Traps/1+ Chinook

All analysis of $1+$ chinook salmon was restricted to the rotary screw trap data because so few $1+$ chinook were caught in fyke nets or the IPT. There were no obvious temporal trends of $\log _{e}$ (number) with date (Figure 26), apart from a maxima of night numbers in the second half of May. Mean $\log _{e}$ (number) was much greater at night than during the day.

A total of $2871+$ chinook were captured in the rotary screw traps which, when expanded by the percentage of river flow sampled by the traps, was equivalent to an index total of 5,349 chinook that passed Diamond Island in 1996 (Appendix 4).

## Diamond Island Rotary Screw Traps/Other Fishes

A total of 11,360 fish from 13 species or families were captured by the rotary screw traps in 1996 (Table 5). Chinook salmon was the most common species, making up $47.19 \%$ of all fish. The three most common non-salmonid fishes were northern squawfish, largescale sucker, and redsided shiner. The least common fish was coho salmon-only one juvenile was caught in 1996.

## Comparison with Previous Years

Daily winter and spring temperatures recorded at Bert Irvine's were among the lowest ever recorded since 1987 (Figure 27). In fact, temperatures at Bert Irvine's did not approach the 9-year average until October.

Unlike temperatures, the flows of the upper Nechako River at Cheslatta Falls followed the average trend for 1987 to 1995 over the winter, spring and early- to mid-summer (Figure 28). However, the 1996 flow pattern differed substantially from the 1987-1995 trend in September to November due to the large spill required to prepare the Reservoir for 1997 freshet flows. Since the important biological events for most juvenile chinook salmon that emerged in the upper Nechako River occurred prior to September (since most juveniles had left the upper river by that time), this spill could not be responsible for the biological differences between 1996 and 1987 to 1995.

Plots of the mean length-at-date and weight-at-date of $0+$ chinook salmon calculated from the electrofishing surveys (Figure 29), and from rotary screw catches at Diamond Island (Figure 30), both show that the mean size of 1996 fry was consistently lower than that of any of the previous six years. (Con-dition-at-date of the Diamond Island catches was also lower than the mean for previous years, but condi-tion-at-date of the electrofished fish fell within the range of other years.) This finding may be due either to low growth rates of the 1996 fry or to a delayed emergence in the spring of 1996. To determine which is correct, the mean length-at-age and weight-at-age predicted by the growth curves for electrofished fish were compared (Table 6 and Figures 31 and 32). These plots show clearly that juvenile chinook grew as fast (or faster) in 1996 as they did in any previous year, which means that the low size-at-age of the 1996 fish

Table 5
Number of Fish Captured at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1996, by Rotary Screw Traps

| Species | Scientific Name | Adult |  |  |  | Juvenile |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Day | Night | Total | Percent | Day | Night | Total | Percent | Day | Night | Total | Percent |
| Chinook salmon | Oncorhynchus tshawytscha | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 1026 | 4335 | 5361 | 47.19 | 1026 | 4335 | 5361 | 47.19 |
| Northern squawfish | Ptychocheilus oregonensis | 0 | 23 | 23 | 0.20 | 78 | 1822 | 1900 | 16.73 | 78 | 1845 | 1923 | 16.93 |
| Largescale sucker | Catostomus macrocheilus | 3 | 29 | 32 | 0.28 | 161 | 1521 | 1682 | 14.81 | 164 | 1550 | 1714 | 15.09 |
| Redsided shiner | Richardsonius balteatus | 35 | 319 | 354 | 3.12 | 137 | 762 | 899 | 7.91 | 172 | 1081 | 1253 | 11.03 |
| Leopard dace | Rhinichthys falcatus | 41 | 141 | 182 | 1.60 | 57 | 284 | 341 | 3.00 | 98 | 425 | 523 | 4.60 |
| Sockeye salmon | Oncorhynchus nerka | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 32 | 144 | 176 | 1.55 | 32 | 144 | 176 | 1.55 |
| Longnose dace | Rhinichthys cataractae | 8 | 15 | 23 | 0.20 | 23 | 121 | 144 | 1.27 | 31 | 136 | 167 | 1.47 |
| Peamouth chub | Mylocheilus caurinus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 5 | 87 | 92 | 0.81 | 5 | 87 | 92 | 0.81 |
| Rainbow trout | Oncorhynchus mykiss | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0.04 | 7 | 60 | 67 | 0.59 | 7 | 65 | 72 | 0.63 |
| Rocky mountain whitefish | Prosopium williamsoni | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0.05 | 7 | 32 | 39 | 0.34 | 7 | 38 | 45 | 0.40 |
| Sculpins (General) | Cottidae | 6 | 13 | 19 | 0.17 | 1 | 11 | 12 | 0.11 | 7 | 24 | 31 | 0.27 |
| Burbot | Lota lota | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0.02 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0.02 |
| Coho salmon | Oncorhynchus kisutch | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.01 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.01 |
| Total |  | 93 | 553 | 646 | 5.69 | 1534 | 9180 | 10714 | 94.31 | 1627 | 9733 | 11360 | 100.00 |

Figure 26
Number of 1+Chinook Salmon Passing Diamond Island, 1996, as Estimated by Rotary Screw Traps


Figure 27
Comparison of Mean, Minimum and Maximum Daily Water Temperatures of the Upper Nechako River at Bert Irvine's, 1987 to 1995, with Mean 1996 Temperatures


Figure 28
Comparison of Mean, Minimum and Maximum Daily Flows of the Nechako River at Cheslatta Falls, 1987 to 1995, with 1996 Flows


Table 7
Comparison of the Index Numbers of Juvenile Chinook Salmon Migrating out of the Upper Nechako River with Numbers of the Parent Generation

| Year | Total number of spawners | Number of spawners upstream of Diamond Island | Index number of outmigrating $0+$ chinook the following year | Sampling period | Total index number of outmigrating $0+$ chinook the following year | Total sampling period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 2642 | 1686 | 104182 | Apr. 5 - July 31 | 105702 | Apr. 5 - Nov. 15 |
| 1991 | 2360 | 1306 | 116538 | Mar. 14 - July 17 | 119860 | Mar. 14 - Nov. 17 |
| 1992 | 2498 | 1074 | 143000 | Apr. 2 - July 19 | 146170 | Apr. 2 - Nov. 16 |
| 1993 | 664 | 347 | 47589 | Apr. 2 - July 17 | 47589 | Apr. 2 - July 17 |
| 1994 | 1144 | 659 | 45025 | Apr. 13 - July 13 | 45025 | Apr. 13 - July 11 |
| 1995 | 1689 | 1143 | 105576 | Apr. 12- July 14 | 105576 | Apr. 12- July 14 |

Note: the number of outmigrants estimated in 1991 (brood year 1990) is not comparable to the numbers of outmigrants estimated in subsequent years because one of the RSTs in 1991 had a wooden wing attached to one side that funneled additional fry into the RST, and which, therefore, required the assumption of greater flow into the trap.

Figure 29
Comparison of Mean Size-at-date of 0+ Chinook Salmon, Upper Nechako River, 1989 to 1996 (electrofishing)




Figure 30
Comparison of Mean Size-at-date of 0+ Chinook Salmon,
Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1990 to 1996




Table 6
Comparison of Growth of 0+ Chinook Salmon, Nechako River, 1991 to 1996

| Year | Length (mm) |  |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{DOY}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{0}$ | $\alpha$ | $\mathrm{W}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{DOY}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{0}$ | $\alpha$ |  |
| Electroshocking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 38.2 | 121.2 | 0.007677 | 0.005271 | 0.40 | 139.8 | 0.067570 | 0.020670 | day, 1st and 2nd stanza pooled |
| 1991 | 38.2 | 121.6 | 0.010650 | 0.009778 | 0.40 | 135.9 | 0.072750 | 0.022430 | night, 1st and 2nd stanza pooled |
| 1992 | 39.0 | 114.2 | 0.006313 | 0.003245 | 0.45 | 127.7 | 0.060320 | 0.019060 | day, 1st and 2nd stanza pooled |
| 1992 | 39.0 | 112.8 | 0.009206 | 0.008405 | 0.45 | 126.4 | 0.066320 | 0.021250 | night, 1st and 2nd stanza pooled |
| 1993 | 39.0 | 116.0 | 0.010600 | 0.009590 | 0.45 | 124.0 | 0.062600 | 0.018700 | day and night pooled, 1st and 2nd stanza pooled |
| 1994 | 38.5 | 111.1 | 0.011100 | 0.010300 | 0.41 | 128.2 | 0.081300 | 0.025200 | day and night pooled, 1st and 2nd stanza pooled |
| 1995 | 38.0 | 129.1 | 0.013710 | 0.013870 | 0.40 | 127.9 | 0.067060 | 0.020830 | day and night pooled, 2nd stanza only |
| 1996 | 38.0 | 139.6 | 0.011240 | 0.009557 | 0.38 | 140.5 | 0.061470 | 0.017020 | day and night pooled, 2nd stanza only |
| Diamond Island traps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 38.2 | 123.3 | 0.009134 | 0.006193 | 0.40 | 124.1 | 0.045530 | 0.012100 | day, 1st and 2nd stanza pooled |
| 1991 | 38.2 | 121.3 | 0.008835 | 0.005634 | 0.40 | 124.7 | 0.047100 | 0.012400 | night, 1st and 2nd stanza pooled |
| 1992 | 39.0 | 102.1 | 0.005937 | 0.002211 | 0.45 | 114.4 | 0.039290 | 0.012210 | day, 1st and 2nd stanza pooled |
| 1992 | 39.0 | 102.3 | 0.007691 | 0.004576 | 0.45 | 114.6 | 0.043170 | 0.011780 | night, 1st and 2nd stanza pooled |
| 1993 | 39.0 | 120.7 | 0.009540 | 0.005340 | 0.45 | 127.1 | 0.061000 | 0.017200 | day and night pooled, 1st and 2nd stanza pooled |
| 1994 | 38.5 | 114.0 | 0.007220 | 0.009280 | 0.41 | 119.2 | 0.056900 | 0.012600 | day and night pooled, 1st and 2nd stanza pooled |
| 1995 | 38.0 | 134.8 | 0.021760 | 0.028320 | 0.40 | 134.2 | 0.110300 | 0.066370 | day and night pooled, 2nd stanza only |
| 1996 | 38.0 | 144.9 | 0.017430 | 0.021070 | 0.38 | 142.5 | 0.085980 | 0.033410 | day and night pooled, 2nd stanza only |

Figure 31
Comparison of Predicted Growth in Length of 0+ Chinook Sampled by Electrofishing in the Upper Nechako River, 1991 to 1996


Figure 32
Comparison of Predicted Growth in Weight of 0+ Chinook Sampled by Electrofishing
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was due to a relatively late emergence of the 1996 fry compared to previous years. This is further confirmed by comparison of the estimated date at complete emergence of fry for 1991 to 1996 (Table 6) -DOY 0 was at least 1-2 weeks later in 1996 than in any previous year.

Unlike the growth data, the 1996 pattern of monthly electrofishing CPUE (Figure 33), and of monthly centroids (Figure 34), appeared similar to that of previous years. This indicates that a 1-2 week delayed emergence of fry in 1996 was not great enough to be reflected in the monthly electrofishing surveys.

However, the daily index of outmigration measured at Diamond Island in 1996 was unusual compared to the previous 5 years (Figure 35). From 1991 to 1995, the total number of outmigrating fry reached a peak in early May and then decreased thereafter, but in 1996, the total peak of day catches was not reached until July 1. This indicates that the time trend of the rotary screw trap catches at Diamond Island is more sensitive to the date of complete fry emergence than the time trend of electrofishing CPUE.

The number of outmigrating $0+$ chinook was not significantly $(P=0.069)$ correlated with the number of parents that spawned upstream of Diamond Island (Figure 36), however, there is clearly a positive relationship between the two variables. The lack of significance of the correlation is due to low sample sizeonly 5 years of data are currently available for comparison.

In summary, lower-than-average spring-summer temperatures in the upper Nechako River in 1996 delayed the complete emergence of chinook fry by at least 1-2 weeks, compared to the previous 5 years. This had no apparent effect on the spatial distribution of juvenile chinook in the upper river, or on their size-atage. However, it caused apparent low sizes-at-date and may have obscured the catch curve of the Diamond Island traps.

Figure 33
Comparison of Mean Monthly CPUE of 0+ Chinook, Upper Nechako River, 1989 to 1996



Figure 34
Comparison of the Monthly Centroids of 0+ Chinook, Upper Nechako River, 1991 to 1996



Figure 35
Comparison of the Daily Index of 0+ Chinook Outmigration, Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1991 to 1996


Figure 36
Plot of the Number of 0+ Chinook Salmon Outmigrants on the Number of Parent Spawners Above Diamond Island, Nechako River
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## APPENDIX 1

Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured by Electrofishing in the Nechako River, 1996

APPENDIX 1
Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured by Electrofishing in the Nechako River, 1996

|  |  |  | Leng | (mm) |  |  |  | ( (g) |  |  | nditi | (g/r |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | DOY | mean | SD | n | SE | mean | SD | n | SE | mean | SD | n | SE |
| Chinook salmon 0+ (day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12-Apr | 103 | 37 | 2 | 69 | 0.21 | 0.38 | 0.06 | 69 | 0.01 | 0.75 | 0.09 | 69 | 0.01 |
| 13-Apr | 104 | 37 | 2 | 9 | 0.60 | 0.41 | 0.06 | 9 | 0.02 | 0.78 | 0.07 | 9 | 0.02 |
| 14-Apr | 105 | 37 | 1 | 3 | 0.58 | 0.36 | 0.05 | 3 | 0.03 | 0.71 | 0.13 | 3 | 0.07 |
| $15-\mathrm{Apr}$ | 106 | 38 | 2 | 37 | 0.25 | 0.42 | 0.05 | 37 | 0.01 | 0.77 | 0.09 | 37 | 0.01 |
| 16-Apr | 107 | 38 | 2 | 145 | 0.15 | 0.40 | 0.07 | 145 | 0.01 | 0.75 | 0.08 | 145 | 0.01 |
| 17-Apr | 108 | 38 | 2 | 92 | 0.18 | 0.40 | 0.06 | 92 | 0.01 | 0.72 | 0.07 | 92 | 0.01 |
| 18-Apr | 109 | 38 | 2 | 62 | 0.20 | 0.38 | 0.05 | 62 | 0.01 | 0.72 | 0.06 | 62 | 0.01 |
| 17-May | 138 | 40 | 1 | 13 | 0.37 | 0.48 | 0.09 | 13 | 0.02 | 0.77 | 0.10 | 13 | 0.03 |
| 18-May | 139 | 38 | 2 | 177 | 0.19 | 0.46 | 0.11 | 177 | 0.01 | 0.81 | 0.10 | 177 | 0.01 |
| 19-May | 140 | 38 | 3 | 187 | 0.19 | 0.48 | 0.15 | 187 | 0.01 | 0.84 | 0.13 | 187 | 0.01 |
| 20-May | 141 | 38 | 2 | 136 | 0.19 | 0.47 | 0.12 | 136 | 0.01 | 0.82 | 0.10 | 136 | 0.01 |
| 21-May | 142 | 38 | 3 | 54 | 0.40 | 0.48 | 0.14 | 54 | 0.02 | 0.84 | 0.13 | 54 | 0.02 |
| 22-May | 143 | 39 | 3 | 145 | 0.26 | 0.52 | 0.17 | 145 | 0.01 | 0.87 | 0.13 | 145 | 0.01 |
| 11-Jun | 163 | 47 | 8 | 18 | 1.77 | 1.17 | 0.53 | 18 | 0.13 | 1.01 | 0.12 | 18 | 0.03 |
| 12-Jun | 164 | 45 | 5 | 211 | 0.37 | 0.99 | 0.69 | 211 | 0.05 | 1.05 | 0.18 | 211 | 0.01 |
| 13-Jun | 165 | 45 | 5 | 127 | 0.42 | 1.03 | 0.38 | 117 | 0.04 | 1.03 | 0.11 | 117 | 0.01 |
| 14-Jun | 166 | 45 | 6 | 103 | 0.56 | 1.03 | 0.51 | 103 | 0.05 | 1.08 | 0.19 | 103 | 0.02 |
| 16-Jun | 168 | 49 | 5 | 33 | 0.80 | 1.30 | 0.38 | 33 | 0.07 | 1.12 | 0.17 | 33 | 0.03 |
| 19-Jun | 171 | 49 | 6 | 33 | 1.12 | 1.41 | 0.66 | 33 | 0.12 | 1.12 | 0.25 | 33 | 0.04 |
| 03-Jul | 185 | 56 | 5 | 43 | 0.79 | 2.09 | 0.62 | 43 | 0.09 | 1.18 | 0.10 | 43 | 0.02 |
| 04-Jul | 186 | 55 | 7 | 37 | 1.15 | 2.10 | 0.87 | 37 | 0.14 | 1.18 | 0.15 | 37 | 0.02 |
| 05-Jul | 187 | 54 | 5 | 6 | 2.14 | 1.93 | 0.55 | 6 | 0.23 | 1.22 | 0.05 | 6 | 0.02 |
| 08-Jul | 190 | 58 | - | 1 | - | 2.76 | - | 1 | - | 1.41 | - | 1 | - |
| 02-Nov | 307 | 99 | - | 1 | - | 13.35 | - | 1 | - | 1.38 | - | 1 | - |

Chinook salmon 0+ (night)

| 12-Apr | 103 | 38 | 2 | 65 | 0.19 | 0.41 | 0.06 | 65 | 0.01 | 0.75 | 0.09 | 65 | 0.01 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13-Apr | 104 | 37 | 2 | 10 | 0.59 | 0.38 | 0.06 | 10 | 0.02 | 0.74 | 0.05 | 10 | 0.02 |
| 14-Apr | 105 | 39 | 1 | 2 | 0.50 | 0.36 | 0.01 | 2 | 0.01 | 0.63 | 0.01 | 2 | 0.01 |
| 15-Apr | 106 | 38 | 3 | 20 | 0.67 | 0.43 | 0.05 | 20 | 0.01 | 0.69 | 0.12 | 20 | 0.03 |
| 16-Apr | 107 | 38 | 2 | 130 | 0.14 | 0.43 | 0.07 | 130 | 0.01 | 0.76 | 0.08 | 130 | 0.01 |
| 17-Apr | 108 | 38 | 2 | 148 | 0.13 | 0.42 | 0.06 | 148 | 0.01 | 0.75 | 0.06 | 148 | 0.01 |
| 18-Apr | 109 | 38 | 2 | 78 | 0.22 | 0.39 | 0.06 | 78 | 0.01 | 0.70 | 0.08 | 78 | 0.01 |
| 19-Apr | 110 | 38 | 1 | 16 | 0.37 | 0.41 | 0.05 | 16 | 0.01 | 0.75 | 0.06 | 16 | 0.02 |
| 18-May | 139 | 39 | 2 | 87 | 0.19 | 0.46 | 0.09 | 87 | 0.01 | 0.77 | 0.09 | 87 | 0.01 |
| 19-May | 140 | 39 | 2 | 239 | 0.15 | 0.50 | 0.13 | 239 | 0.01 | 0.80 | 0.09 | 239 | 0.01 |
| 20-May | 141 | 40 | 3 | 243 | 0.19 | 0.57 | 0.19 | 243 | 0.01 | 0.85 | 0.12 | 243 | 0.01 |
| 21-May | 142 | 39 | 3 | 170 | 0.21 | 0.54 | 0.18 | 170 | 0.01 | 0.86 | 0.13 | 170 | 0.01 |
| 22-May | 143 | 41 | 4 | 157 | 0.30 | 0.67 | 0.25 | 157 | 0.02 | 0.91 | 0.15 | 157 | 0.01 |
| 23-May | 144 | 41 | 4 | 190 | 0.29 | 0.62 | 0.25 | 190 | 0.02 | 0.84 | 0.12 | 190 | 0.01 |
| 11-Jun | 163 | 49 | 4 | 8 | 1.57 | 1.28 | 0.34 | 8 | 0.12 | 1.07 | 0.11 | 8 | 0.04 |
| 12-Jun | 164 | 47 | 4 | 72 | 0.53 | 1.05 | 0.40 | 72 | 0.05 | 1.00 | 0.11 | 72 | 0.01 |
| 13-Jun | 165 | 47 | 5 | 227 | 0.36 | 1.15 | 0.47 | 227 | 0.03 | 1.06 | 0.15 | 227 | 0.01 |

APPENDIX 1 (continued)
Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured by Electrofishing in the Nechako River, 1996

|  |  | Length (mm) |  |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  |  | Condition (g/mm ${ }^{3}$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | DOY | mean | SD | n | SE | mean | SD | n | SE | mean | SD | n | SE |
| 14-Jun | 166 | 49 | 4 | 224 | 0.30 | 1.27 | 0.44 | 224 | 0.03 | 1.07 | 0.15 | 224 | 0.01 |
| 15-Jun | 167 | 47 | 6 | 164 | 0.46 | 1.20 | 0.55 | 164 | 0.04 | 1.06 | 0.11 | 164 | 0.01 |
| 16-Jun | 168 | 51 | 6 | 68 | 0.69 | 1.57 | 0.66 | 68 | 0.08 | 1.12 | 0.10 | 68 | 0.01 |
| 17-Jun | 169 | 51 | 6 | 154 | 0.47 | 1.60 | 0.63 | 154 | 0.05 | 1.12 | 0.14 | 154 | 0.01 |
| 18-Jun | 170 | 51 | 7 | 183 | 0.49 | 1.61 | 0.77 | 183 | 0.06 | 1.10 | 0.12 | 183 | 0.01 |
| 19-Jun | 171 | 52 | 7 | 69 | 0.85 | 1.60 | 0.77 | 69 | 0.09 | 1.10 | 0.19 | 69 | 0.02 |
| 03-Jul | 185 | 60 | 5 | 60 | 0.64 | 2.53 | 0.67 | 60 | 0.09 | 1.17 | 0.11 | 60 | 0.01 |
| 04-Jul | 186 | 59 | 6 | 194 | 0.45 | 2.64 | 0.90 | 194 | 0.06 | 1.23 | 0.16 | 194 | 0.01 |
| 05-Jul | 187 | 61 | 8 | 121 | 0.69 | 2.84 | 1.05 | 121 | 0.10 | 1.19 | 0.13 | 121 | 0.01 |
| 06-Jul | 188 | 63 | 7 | 62 | 0.87 | 3.22 | 1.00 | 62 | 0.13 | 1.23 | 0.13 | 62 | 0.02 |
| 07-Jul | 189 | 66 | 7 | 62 | 0.92 | 3.50 | 1.20 | 62 | 0.15 | 1.20 | 0.10 | 62 | 0.01 |
| 08-Jul | 190 | 67 | 8 | 42 | 1.21 | 3.84 | 1.56 | 42 | 0.24 | 1.22 | 0.11 | 42 | 0.02 |
| 09-Jul | 191 | 67 | 8 | 23 | 1.58 | 3.70 | 1.19 | 23 | 0.25 | 1.20 | 0.12 | 23 | 0.03 |
| 02-Nov | 307 | 98 | 7 | 19 | 1.55 | 13.05 | 2.39 | 19 | 0.55 | 1.37 | 0.13 | 19 | 0.03 |
| 03-Nov | 308 | 96 | 9 | 34 | 1.49 | 10.91 | 2.37 | 34 | 0.41 | 1.23 | 0.16 | 34 | 0.03 |
| 04-Nov | 309 | 94 | 6 | 10 | 1.88 | 10.66 | 1.77 | 10 | 0.56 | 1.30 | 0.15 | 10 | 0.05 |
| 05-Nov | 310 | 94 | - | 1 | - | 10.12 | - | 1 | - | 1.22 | - | 1 | - |
| 06-Nov | 311 | 95 | 7 | 6 | 3.01 | 9.94 | 1.54 | 6 | 0.63 | 1.17 | 0.13 | 6 | 0.05 |

Chinook salmon 1+ (day)

| 12-Apr | 103 | 76 | - | 1 | - | 6.47 | - | 1 | - | 1.47 | - | 1 | - |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14-Apr | 105 | 100 | - | 1 | - | 10.81 | - | 1 | - | 1.08 | - | 1 | - |
| 15-Apr | 106 | 97 | 4 | 3 | 2.40 | 10.66 | 1.61 | 3 | 0.93 | 1.17 | 0.07 | 3 | 0.04 |
| 16-Apr | 107 | 98 | 8 | 8 | 2.88 | 11.38 | 2.91 | 8 | 1.03 | 1.19 | 0.10 | 8 | 0.04 |
| 17-Apr | 108 | 99 | 11 | 2 | 8.00 | 13.11 | 1.59 | 2 | 1.13 | 1.43 | 0.64 | 2 | 0.46 |
| 18-May | 139 | 104 | 2 | 3 | 1.15 | 13.57 | 0.44 | 3 | 0.25 | 1.21 | 0.08 | 3 | 0.05 |
| 19-May | 140 | 102 | 15 | 4 | 7.35 | 13.78 | 6.55 | 4 | 3.28 | 1.26 | 0.07 | 4 | 0.03 |
| 20-May | 141 | 86 | 9 | 3 | 5.36 | 9.11 | 2.79 | 3 | 1.61 | 1.40 | 0.29 | 3 | 0.17 |

## Chinook salmon 1+ (night)

| 12-Apr | 103 | 94 | 9 | 7 | 3.52 | 9.72 | 1.94 | 7 | 0.73 | 1.16 | 0.18 | 7 | 0.07 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13-Apr | 104 | 98 | 6 | 13 | 1.66 | 10.40 | 1.81 | 13 | 0.50 | 1.10 | 0.14 | 13 | 0.04 |
| 14-Apr | 105 | 98 | 6 | 8 | 2.06 | 10.24 | 2.08 | 8 | 0.74 | 1.10 | 0.19 | 8 | 0.07 |
| 15-Apr | 106 | 104 | 9 | 5 | 4.18 | 12.97 | 3.81 | 5 | 1.70 | 1.14 | 0.05 | 5 | 0.02 |
| 16-Apr | 107 | 96 | 6 | 90 | 0.67 | 11.46 | 2.21 | 90 | 0.23 | 1.27 | 0.13 | 90 | 0.01 |
| 17-Apr | 108 | 94 | 8 | 49 | 1.08 | 11.28 | 2.68 | 49 | 0.38 | 1.32 | 0.11 | 49 | 0.02 |
| 18-Apr | 109 | 95 | 8 | 10 | 2.58 | 10.44 | 2.03 | 10 | 0.64 | 1.23 | 0.14 | 10 | 0.04 |
| 19-Apr | 110 | 88 | - | 1 | - | 9.98 | - | 1 | - | 1.46 | - | 1 | - |
| 19-May | 140 | 107 | 8 | 14 | 2.22 | 14.80 | 4.16 | 14 | 1.11 | 1.19 | 0.13 | 14 | 0.03 |
| 20-May | 141 | 105 | 12 | 7 | 4.60 | 16.08 | 5.47 | 7 | 2.07 | 1.35 | 0.07 | 7 | 0.03 |
| 22-May | 143 | 90 | 8 | 5 | 3.54 | 8.43 | 2.81 | 5 | 1.26 | 1.11 | 0.17 | 5 | 0.08 |
| 23-May | 144 | 104 | 15 | 5 | 6.76 | 14.77 | 3.82 | 5 | 1.71 | 1.35 | 0.30 | 5 | 0.14 |
| 13-Jun | 165 | 121 | 23 | 2 | 16.00 | 26.58 | 9.64 | 2 | 6.82 | 1.50 | 0.29 | 2 | 0.20 |
| 14-Jun | 166 | 119 | - | 1 | - | 22.15 | - | 1 | - | 1.31 | - | 1 | - |

```
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Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured by Electrofishing in the Nechako River, 1996

| Date | DOY | Length (mm) |  |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  |  | Condition ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | mean | SD | n | SE | mean | SD | n | SE | mean | SD | n | SE |
| Burbot, adult (night) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12-Apr | 103 | 320 | - | 1 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 14-Apr | 105 | 280 | - | 1 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Burbot, juvenile (night) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12-Apr | 103 | 120 | - | 1 |  | 12.12 | - | 1 | - | 0.70 | - | 1 | - |
| 13-Apr | 104 | 125 | - | 1 |  | 12.69 | - | 1 | - | 0.65 | - | 1 | - |
| 14-Apr | 105 | 123 | 11 | 2 |  | 15.18 | 6.97 | 2 | 4.93 | 0.78 | 0.16 | 2 | 0.11 |
| 16-Apr | 107 | 215 | - | 1 |  | 82.25 | - | 1 | - | 0.83 | - | 1 | - |
| 17-Jun | 169 | 142 | 16 | 3 |  | 28.82 | 10.70 | 3 | 6.18 | 0.96 | 0.08 | 3 | 0.05 |
| 18-Jun | 170 | 135 | 19 | 5 |  | 20.58 | 9.20 | 5 | 4.12 | 0.80 | 0.07 | 5 | 0.03 |
| 19-Jun | 171 | 120 | 9 | 3 |  | 12.76 | 3.08 | 3 | 1.78 | 0.74 | 0.09 | 3 | 0.05 |
| 09-Jul | 191 | 138 | - | 1 |  | 17.32 | - | 1 | - | 0.66 | - | 1 | - |


| Coho salmon 0+ (day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11-Jun | 163 | 34 | 2 | 5 | 0.68 | 0.33 | 0.04 | 5 | 0.02 | 0.88 | 0.12 | 5 | 0.05 |
| 12-Jun | 164 | 34 | 1 | 7 | 0.44 | 0.30 | 0.04 | 7 | 0.02 | 0.76 | 0.05 | 7 | 0.02 |
| 14-Jun | 166 | 32 | 2 | 3 | 0.88 | 0.26 | 0.05 | 3 | 0.03 | 0.76 | 0.07 | 3 | 0.04 |
| 16-Jun | 168 | 30 | - | 1 | - | 0.21 | - | 1 | - | 0.78 | - | 1 | - |
| 03-Jul | 185 | 37 | - | 1 | - | 0.51 | - | 1 | - | 1.01 | - | 1 | - |

Coho salmon 0+ (night)

| 11-Jun | 163 | 34 | 2 | 10 | 0.50 | 0.31 | 0.05 | 10 | 0.02 | 0.80 | 0.11 | 10 | 0.03 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12-Jun | 164 | 36 | 1 | 5 | 0.63 | 0.37 | 0.02 | 5 | 0.01 | 0.79 | 0.08 | 5 | 0.03 |
| 13-Jun | 165 | 32 | - | 1 | - | 0.28 | - | 1 | - | 0.85 | - | 1 | - |
| 03-Jul | 185 | 40 | 1 | 2 | 1.00 | 0.68 | 0.10 | 2 | 0.07 | 1.06 | 0.04 | 2 | 0.03 |

Rainbow trout, adult (day)

| 21-May | 142 | 160 | - | 1 | - | 50.00 | - | 1 | - | 1.22 | - | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Rainbow trout, adult (night)

| 15-Apr | 106 | 250 | - | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16-Apr | 107 | 190 | - | 1 |
| 17-Apr | 108 | 230 | - | 1 |
| 18-Apr | 109 | 236 | 54 | 7 |
| 21-May | 142 | 254 | - | 1 |
| 11-Jun | 163 | 267 | 29 | 3 |
| 13-Jun | 165 | 190 | - | 1 |
| 18-Jun | 170 | 250 | - | 1 |
| 08-Jul | 190 | 235 | 21 | 2 |
| 02-Nov | 307 | 300 | 0 | 6 |
| 03-Nov | 308 | 250 | - | 1 |

APPENDIX 1 (continued)
Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured by Electrofishing in the Nechako River, 1996

| Date | DOY | Length (mm) |  |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  |  | Condition ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | mean | SD | n | SE | mean | SD | n | SE | mean | SD | n | SE |
| Rainbow trout, juvenile (day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13-Apr | 104 | 257 | 4 | 2 |  | 35.91 | 7.33 | 2 | 5.18 | 0.21 | 0.05 | 2 | 0.04 |
| 14-Apr | 105 | 99 | 14 | 4 |  | 11.57 | 5.44 | 4 | 2.72 | 1.16 | 0.06 | 4 | 0.03 |
| $15-\mathrm{Apr}$ | 106 | 95 | 11 | 6 |  | 10.32 | 3.68 | 6 | 1.50 | 1.16 | 0.09 | 6 | 0.04 |
| 16-Apr | 107 | 87 | - | 1 |  | 8.78 | - | 1 | - | 1.33 | - | 1 | - |
| 17-Apr | 108 | 167 | 45 | 2 |  | 32.40 | 7.48 | 2 | 5.29 | 0.79 | 0.44 | 2 | 0.31 |
| 18-Apr | 109 | 116 | - | 1 |  | 18.73 | - | 1 | - | 1.20 | - | 1 | - |
| 17-May | 138 | 135 | 46 | 4 |  | 15.22 | 4.92 | 4 | 2.46 | 0.85 | 0.47 | 4 | 0.23 |
| 18-May | 139 | 96 | - | 1 |  | 12.65 | - | 1 | - | 1.43 | - | 1 | - |
| 20-May | 141 | 118 | 36 | 2 |  | 25.19 | 23.09 | 2 | 16.33 | 1.28 | 0.20 | 2 | 0.14 |
| 21-May | 142 | 123 | 13 | 3 |  | 21.98 | 4.24 | 3 | 2.45 | 1.18 | 0.17 | 3 | 0.10 |
| 22-May | 143 | 100 | - | 1 |  | 10.30 | - | 1 | - | 1.03 | - | 1 | - |
| 11-Jun | 163 | 129 | 9 | 4 |  | 23.07 | 4.57 | 4 | 2.29 | 1.07 | 0.15 | 4 | 0.07 |
| 12-Jun | 164 | 101 | 27 | 6 |  | 12.99 | 6.76 | 6 | 2.76 | 1.09 | 0.05 | 6 | 0.02 |
| 13-Jun | 165 | 350 | - | 1 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 14-Jun | 166 | 83 | 18 | 5 |  | 7.20 | 3.56 | 5 | 1.59 | 1.19 | 0.13 | 5 | 0.06 |
| 19-Jun | 171 | 96 | - | 1 |  | 8.22 | - | 1 | - | 0.93 | - | 1 | - |
| 02-Nov | 307 | 76 | - | 1 |  | 6.12 | - | 1 | - | 1.39 | - | 1 | - |
| Rainbow trout, juvenile (night) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13-Apr | 104 | 156 | 35 | 2 |  | 49.16 | 37.50 | 2 | 26.52 | 1.15 | 0.21 | 2 | 0.15 |
| 14-Apr | 105 | 96 | 9 | 16 |  | 9.60 | 2.08 | 16 | 0.52 | 1.09 | 0.13 | 16 | 0.03 |
| $15-\mathrm{Apr}$ | 106 | 91 | 9 | 9 |  | 8.61 | 3.14 | 9 | 1.05 | 1.12 | 0.10 | 9 | 0.03 |
| 16-Apr | 107 | 112 | 29 | 11 |  | 21.18 | 17.39 | 11 | 5.24 | 1.24 | 0.11 | 11 | 0.03 |
| 17-Apr | 108 | 165 | 7 | 2 |  | 55.70 | 4.72 | 2 | 3.34 | 1.24 | 0.05 | 2 | 0.04 |
| 18-Apr | 109 | 112 | 36 | 7 |  | 20.12 | 19.91 | 7 | 7.53 | 1.11 | 0.08 | 7 | 0.03 |
| 17-May | 138 | 106 | 8 | 5 |  | 13.07 | 3.19 | 5 | 1.43 | 1.08 | 0.09 | 5 | 0.04 |
| 18-May | 139 | 94 | - | 1 |  | 8.82 | - | 1 | - | 1.06 | - | 1 | - |
| 19-May | 140 | 101 | 21 | 10 |  | 14.47 | 13.75 | 10 | 4.35 | 1.22 | 0.13 | 10 | 0.04 |
| 20-May | 141 | 128 | 45 | 2 |  | 27.81 | 24.65 | 2 | 17.43 | 1.14 | 0.05 | 2 | 0.03 |
| 21-May | 142 | 116 | - | 1 |  | 18.49 | - | 1 | - | 1.18 | - | 1 | - |
| 22-May | 143 | 120 | 31 | 15 |  | 21.28 | 13.16 | 15 | 3.40 | 1.17 | 0.42 | 15 | 0.11 |
| 23-May | 144 | 132 | 27 | 4 |  | 27.30 | 15.62 | 4 | 7.81 | 1.10 | 0.23 | 4 | 0.11 |
| 11-Jun | 163 | 120 | 7 | 8 |  | 18.87 | 4.35 | 8 | 1.54 | 1.08 | 0.08 | 8 | 0.03 |
| 12-Jun | 164 | 107 | 9 | 7 |  | 13.72 | 3.53 | 7 | 1.33 | 1.09 | 0.06 | 7 | 0.02 |
| 13-Jun | 165 | 108 | 10 | 6 |  | 13.67 | 3.75 | 6 | 1.53 | 1.09 | 0.12 | 6 | 0.05 |
| 14-Jun | 166 | 133 | 15 | 5 |  | 26.86 | 17.32 | 5 | 7.74 | 1.09 | 0.39 | 5 | 0.17 |
| 15-Jun | 167 | 101 | 3 | 4 |  | 11.20 | 1.99 | 4 | 1.00 | 1.07 | 0.15 | 4 | 0.08 |
| 16-Jun | 168 | 117 | 31 | 4 |  | 20.00 | 13.23 | 4 | 6.61 | 1.11 | 0.10 | 4 | 0.05 |
| 17-Jun | 169 | 123 | 24 | 16 |  | 24.82 | 12.75 | 16 | 3.19 | 1.24 | 0.15 | 16 | 0.04 |
| 18-Jun | 170 | 133 | 24 | 11 |  | 31.34 | 14.72 | 11 | 4.44 | 1.24 | 0.13 | 11 | 0.04 |
| 19-Jun | 171 | 158 | 13 | 5 |  | 52.02 | 8.94 | 5 | 4.00 | 1.33 | 0.12 | 5 | 0.05 |
| 03-Jul | 185 | 137 | - | 1 |  | 27.32 | - | 1 | - | 1.06 | - | 1 | - |
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Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured by Electrofishing in the Nechako River, 1996

|  |  | Length (mm) |  |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  |  | Condition (g/mm ${ }^{3}$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | DOY | mean | SD | n | SE | mean | SD | n | SE | mean | SD | n | SE |
| 04-Jul | 186 | 135 | 23 | 9 |  | 30.97 | 15.47 | 9 | 5.16 | 1.18 | 0.12 | 9 | 0.04 |
| 05-Jul | 187 | 128 | 16 | 4 |  | 20.85 | 8.54 | 4 | 4.27 | 0.96 | 0.13 | 4 | 0.06 |
| 06-Jul | 188 | 130 | 16 | 6 |  | 27.21 | 10.59 | 6 | 4.32 | 1.20 | 0.19 | 6 | 0.08 |
| 07-Jul | 189 | 132 | 11 | 3 |  | 31.02 | 6.66 | 3 | 3.84 | 1.34 | 0.04 | 3 | 0.02 |
| 08-Jul | 190 | 82 | - | 1 |  | 5.62 | - | 1 | - | 1.02 | - | 1 | - |
| 09-Jul | 191 | 146 | 16 | 4 |  | 37.08 | 14.77 | 4 | 7.38 | 1.15 | 0.17 | 4 | 0.09 |
| 02-Nov | 307 | 86 | 9 | 3 |  | 7.44 | 3.28 | 3 | 1.89 | 1.12 | 0.13 | 3 | 0.08 |
| 03-Nov | 308 | 113 | 51 | 2 |  | 18.99 | 19.26 | 2 | 13.62 | 1.08 | 0.13 | 2 | 0.10 |
| 04-Nov | 309 | 116 | - | 1 |  | 12.06 | - | 1 | - | 0.77 | - | 1 | - |
| Sockeye salmon 0+ (day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12-Jun | 164 | 26 | 1 | 3 |  | 0.15 | 0.02 | 3 | 0.01 | 0.82 | 0.01 | 3 | 0.01 |
| 14-Jun | 166 | 28 | 1 | 3 |  | 0.13 | 0.02 | 3 | 0.01 | 0.64 | 0.14 | 3 | 0.08 |
| Sockeye salmon 0+ (night) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12-Jun | 164 | 30 | - | 1 |  | 0.16 | - | 1 | - | 0.59 | - | 1 | - |
| 13-Jun | 165 | 32 | 3 | 2 |  | 0.27 | 0.08 | 2 | 0.05 | 0.80 | 0.03 | 2 | 0.02 |
| 16-Jun | 168 | 30 | - | 1 |  | 0.18 | - | 1 | - | 0.67 | - | 1 | - |
| 04-Jul | 186 | 40 | 0 | 2 |  | 0.61 | 0.06 | 2 | 0.04 | 0.95 | 0.09 | 2 | 0.06 |
| 05-Jul | 187 | 43 | - | 1 |  | 0.81 | - | 1 | - | 1.02 | - | 1 | - |

## Appendix 2

Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured in Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1996

Appendix 2
Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured in Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1996

|  |  | Length (mm) |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  | Condition (g/mm ${ }^{3}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | DOY | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n |

Chinook salmon 0+ (day)

| 11-Apr | 102 | 37 | 1 | 7 | 0.38 | 0.05 | 7 | 0.73 | 0.09 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12-Apr | 103 | 36 | 1 | 17 | 0.37 | 0.05 | 17 | 0.76 | 0.08 | 17 |
| 13-Apr | 104 | 36 | 3 | 3 | 0.37 | 0.14 | 3 | 0.76 | 0.18 | 3 |
| 14-Apr | 105 | 37 | 1 | 2 | 0.33 | 0.06 | 2 | 0.64 | 0.05 | 2 |
| 15-Apr | 106 | 38 | 2 | 4 | 0.38 | 0.05 | 4 | 0.69 | 0.03 | 4 |
| 16-Apr | 107 | 37 | 2 | 6 | 0.34 | 0.06 | 6 | 0.66 | 0.06 | 6 |
| 17-Apr | 108 | 38 | 1 | 6 | 0.39 | 0.05 | 6 | 0.72 | 0.06 | 6 |
| 18-Apr | 109 | 37 | 2 | 24 | 0.39 | 0.03 | 24 | 0.79 | 0.09 | 24 |
| 19-Apr | 110 | 37 | 1 | 22 | 0.36 | 0.05 | 22 | 0.71 | 0.06 | 22 |
| 20-Apr | 111 | 36 | 1 | 14 | 0.35 | 0.02 | 14 | 0.74 | 0.06 | 14 |
| 21-Apr | 112 | 36 | 1 | 7 | 0.35 | 0.03 | 7 | 0.73 | 0.07 | 7 |
| 22-Apr | 113 | 37 | 1 | 12 | 0.35 | 0.05 | 12 | 0.72 | 0.05 | 12 |
| 23-Apr | 114 | 38 | 2 | 25 | 0.39 | 0.07 | 25 | 0.70 | 0.12 | 25 |
| 24-Apr | 115 | 38 | 2 | 11 | 0.39 | 0.05 | 11 | 0.68 | 0.05 | 11 |
| 25-Apr | 116 | 37 | 2 | 15 | 0.39 | 0.07 | 15 | 0.76 | 0.11 | 15 |
| 26-Apr | 117 | 38 | 2 | 19 | 0.38 | 0.06 | 19 | 0.71 | 0.06 | 19 |
| 27-Apr | 118 | 38 | 2 | 15 | 0.39 | 0.04 | 15 | 0.70 | 0.05 | 15 |
| 28-Apr | 119 | 37 | 2 | 9 | 0.35 | 0.05 | 9 | 0.69 | 0.04 | 9 |
| 29-Apr | 120 | 37 | 2 | 12 | 0.38 | 0.07 | 12 | 0.73 | 0.11 | 12 |
| 30-Apr | 121 | 38 | 2 | 19 | 0.40 | 0.09 | 19 | 0.72 | 0.09 | 19 |
| 01-May | 122 | 37 | 1 | 13 | 0.35 | 0.06 | 13 | 0.71 | 0.09 | 13 |
| 02-May | 123 | 37 | 2 | 16 | 0.36 | 0.06 | 16 | 0.69 | 0.05 | 16 |
| 03-May | 124 | 37 | 1 | 16 | 0.36 | 0.06 | 16 | 0.71 | 0.05 | 16 |
| 04-May | 125 | 37 | 2 | 9 | 0.35 | 0.05 | 9 | 0.67 | 0.04 | 9 |
| 05-May | 126 | 37 | 2 | 13 | 0.37 | 0.07 | 13 | 0.71 | 0.06 | 13 |
| 06-May | 127 | 37 | 2 | 26 | 0.38 | 0.05 | 26 | 0.72 | 0.06 | 26 |
| 07-May | 128 | 37 | 3 | 21 | 0.38 | 0.12 | 21 | 0.72 | 0.08 | 21 |
| 08-May | 129 | 36 | 1 | 9 | 0.38 | 0.08 | 9 | 0.78 | 0.07 | 9 |
| 09-May | 130 | 38 | 4 | 8 | 0.42 | 0.20 | 8 | 0.74 | 0.06 | 8 |
| 10-May | 131 | 38 | 2 | 16 | 0.43 | 0.10 | 16 | 0.77 | 0.07 | 16 |
| 11-May | 132 | 38 | 1 | 14 | 0.42 | 0.07 | 14 | 0.76 | 0.09 | 14 |
| 12-May | 133 | 39 | 2 | 15 | 0.43 | 0.10 | 15 | 0.71 | 0.08 | 15 |
| 13-May | 134 | 37 | 2 | 12 | 0.38 | 0.06 | 12 | 0.74 | 0.08 | 12 |
| 14-May | 135 | 37 | 2 | 25 | 0.40 | 0.10 | 25 | 0.76 | 0.07 | 25 |
| 15-May | 136 | 37 | 4 | 16 | 0.37 | 0.08 | 16 | 0.71 | 0.10 | 16 |
| 16-May | 137 | 37 | 2 | 34 | 0.38 | 0.08 | 34 | 0.75 | 0.08 | 34 |
| 17-May | 138 | 38 | 2 | 18 | 0.42 | 0.08 | 18 | 0.79 | 0.08 | 18 |
| 18-May | 139 | 36 | 1 | 15 | 0.38 | 0.04 | 15 | 0.79 | 0.07 | 15 |
| 19-May | 140 | 37 | 2 | 22 | 0.38 | 0.07 | 22 | 0.76 | 0.06 | 22 |
| 20-May | 141 | 37 | 1 | 26 | 0.39 | 0.06 | 26 | 0.76 | 0.07 | 26 |
| 21-May | 142 | 36 | 1 | 33 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 33 | 0.74 | 0.06 | 33 |
| 22-May | 143 | 37 | 3 | 28 | 0.38 | 0.13 | 28 | 0.74 | 0.07 | 28 |
| 23-May | 144 | 37 | 3 | 29 | 0.42 | 0.11 | 29 | 0.86 | 0.28 | 29 |

Appendix 2 (continued)
Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured in Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1996

| Date | DOY | Length (mm) |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  | Condition ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n |
| 24-May | 145 | 37 | 3 | 31 | 0.40 | 0.14 | 31 | 0.74 | 0.09 | 31 |
| 25-May | 146 | 38 | 3 | 29 | 0.48 | 0.16 | 29 | 0.83 | 0.09 | 29 |
| 26-May | 147 | 38 | 3 | 25 | 0.45 | 0.12 | 25 | 0.83 | 0.10 | 25 |
| 27-May | 148 | 39 | 3 | 25 | 0.46 | 0.21 | 25 | 0.77 | 0.10 | 25 |
| 28-May | 149 | 37 | 2 | 12 | 0.43 | 0.09 | 12 | 0.82 | 0.10 | 12 |
| 29-May | 150 | 42 | 6 | 19 | 0.71 | 0.41 | 19 | 0.90 | 0.14 | 19 |
| 30-May | 151 | 41 | 3 | 12 | 0.62 | 0.19 | 12 | 0.90 | 0.11 | 12 |
| 31-May | 152 | 42 | 5 | 18 | 0.67 | 0.29 | 18 | 0.87 | 0.09 | 18 |
| 01-Jun | 153 | 40 | 3 | 7 | 0.57 | 0.20 | 7 | 0.88 | 0.13 | 7 |
| 02-Jun | 154 | 46 | 7 | 11 | 0.98 | 0.50 | 11 | 0.95 | 0.07 | 11 |
| 03-Jun | 155 | 45 | 6 | 12 | 0.95 | 0.43 | 12 | 1.00 | 0.10 | 12 |
| 04-Jun | 156 | 47 | 5 | 10 | 0.96 | 0.37 | 10 | 0.89 | 0.10 | 10 |
| 05-Jun | 157 | 49 | 5 | 23 | 1.20 | 0.41 | 23 | 1.00 | 0.10 | 23 |
| 06-Jun | 158 | 46 | 5 | 14 | 1.04 | 0.35 | 14 | 1.04 | 0.16 | 14 |
| 07-Jun | 159 | 52 | 7 | 12 | 1.56 | 0.67 | 12 | 1.07 | 0.11 | 12 |
| 08-Jun | 160 | 55 | 4 | 3 | 1.87 | 0.45 | 3 | 1.09 | 0.02 | 3 |
| 09-Jun | 161 | 49 | 10 | 13 | 1.37 | 0.83 | 13 | 1.06 | 0.11 | 13 |
| 10-Jun | 162 | 53 | 7 | 4 | 1.71 | 0.69 | 4 | 1.12 | 0.07 | 4 |
| 11-Jun | 163 | 49 | 4 | 9 | 1.20 | 0.42 | 9 | 0.98 | 0.20 | 9 |
| 12-Jun | 164 | 52 | - | 1 | 1.48 | - | 1 | 1.05 | - | 1 |
| 13-Jun | 165 | 53 | 7 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 14-Jun | 166 | 62 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 15-Jun | 167 | 61 | 4 | 5 | 2.44 | 0.68 | 5 | 1.03 | 0.10 | 5 |
| 16-Jun | 168 | 54 | 5 | 17 | 1.76 | 0.51 | 17 | 1.05 | 0.08 | 17 |
| 17-Jun | 169 | 49 | 2 | 6 | 1.15 | 0.11 | 6 | 0.98 | 0.07 | 6 |
| 18-Jun | 170 | 46 | 8 | 13 | 1.09 | 0.56 | 13 | 0.97 | 0.15 | 13 |
| 19-Jun | 171 | 58 | 7 | 5 | 2.24 | 0.96 | 5 | 1.09 | 0.06 | 5 |
| 20-Jun | 172 | 47 | - | 1 | 0.94 | - | 1 | 0.91 | - | 1 |
| 21-Jun | 173 | 52 | 4 | 3 | 1.48 | 0.31 | 3 | 1.02 | 0.01 | 3 |
| 22-Jun | 174 | 55 | 7 | 2 | 1.87 | 0.76 | 2 | 1.09 | 0.04 | 2 |
| 23-Jun | 175 | 53 | 5 | 7 | 1.49 | 0.43 | 7 | 1.00 | 0.04 | 7 |
| 24-Jun | 176 | 52 | 5 | 5 | 1.55 | 0.52 | 5 | 1.05 | 0.09 | 5 |
| 25-Jun | 177 | 51 | 2 | 3 | 1.37 | 0.06 | 3 | 1.01 | 0.04 | 3 |
| 26-Jun | 178 | 55 | 9 | 8 | 1.82 | 1.00 | 8 | 1.02 | 0.05 | 8 |
| 27-Jun | 179 | 56 | 5 | 8 | 1.97 | 0.71 | 8 | 1.07 | 0.07 | 8 |
| 28-Jun | 180 | 56 | 6 | 2 | 1.87 | 0.52 | 2 | 1.08 | 0.07 | 2 |
| 29-Jun | 181 | 54 | 1 | 2 | 1.59 | 0.04 | 2 | 1.01 | 0.05 | 2 |
| 01-Jul | 183 | 53 | 3 | 6 | 1.58 | 0.30 | 6 | 1.05 | 0.02 | 6 |
| 02-Jul | 184 | 59 | 4 | 7 | 2.22 | 0.48 | 7 | 1.07 | 0.11 | 7 |
| 03-Jul | 185 | 60 | - | 1 | 2.34 | - | 1 | 1.08 | - | 1 |
| 05-Jul | 187 | 64 | - | 1 | 2.66 | - | 1 | 1.01 | - | 1 |
| 06-Jul | 188 | 63 | 0 | 2 | 2.71 | 0.06 | 2 | 1.08 | 0.03 | 2 |
| 08-Jul | 190 | 71 | 8 | 2 | 4.00 | 1.34 | 2 | 1.12 | 0.01 | 2 |

Appendix 2 (continued)
Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured in Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1996

|  |  | Length (mm) |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  | Condition (g/mm ${ }^{3}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | DOY | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n | mean | SD |  |

Chinook salmon 0+ (night)

| 12-Apr | 103 | 37 | 2 | 10 | 0.41 | 0.04 | 10 | 0.80 | 0.09 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13-Apr | 104 | 37 | 1 | 14 | 0.38 | 0.04 | 14 | 0.75 | 0.07 | 14 |
| 14-Apr | 105 | 37 | 1 | 21 | 0.38 | 0.05 | 21 | 0.74 | 0.07 | 21 |
| 15-Apr | 106 | 37 | 1 | 11 | 0.35 | 0.04 | 11 | 0.69 | 0.05 | 11 |
| 16-Apr | 107 | 37 | 2 | 39 | 0.36 | 0.05 | 39 | 0.70 | 0.05 | 39 |
| 17-Apr | 108 | 37 | 2 | 43 | 0.37 | 0.05 | 43 | 0.71 | 0.06 | 43 |
| 18-Apr | 109 | 37 | 2 | 52 | 0.37 | 0.05 | 52 | 0.72 | 0.07 | 52 |
| 19-Apr | 110 | 37 | 1 | 42 | 0.38 | 0.04 | 42 | 0.73 | 0.09 | 42 |
| 20-Apr | 111 | 37 | 1 | 57 | 0.36 | 0.04 | 57 | 0.73 | 0.06 | 57 |
| 21-Apr | 112 | 37 | 1 | 51 | 0.37 | 0.05 | 51 | 0.72 | 0.05 | 51 |
| 22-Apr | 113 | 36 | 1 | 52 | 0.34 | 0.04 | 52 | 0.72 | 0.05 | 52 |
| 23-Apr | 114 | 36 | 1 | 49 | 0.34 | 0.05 | 49 | 0.71 | 0.06 | 49 |
| 24-Apr | 115 | 37 | 2 | 52 | 0.36 | 0.05 | 52 | 0.69 | 0.07 | 52 |
| 25-Apr | 116 | 39 | 2 | 44 | 0.39 | 0.06 | 44 | 0.67 | 0.07 | 44 |
| 26-Apr | 117 | 37 | 1 | 52 | 0.38 | 0.05 | 52 | 0.75 | 0.07 | 52 |
| 27-Apr | 118 | 37 | 1 | 64 | 0.38 | 0.06 | 64 | 0.75 | 0.07 | 64 |
| 28-Apr | 119 | 37 | 2 | 42 | 0.37 | 0.05 | 42 | 0.74 | 0.06 | 42 |
| 29-Apr | 120 | 37 | 2 | 32 | 0.37 | 0.07 | 32 | 0.71 | 0.06 | 32 |
| 30-Apr | 121 | 38 | 2 | 44 | 0.39 | 0.08 | 44 | 0.72 | 0.08 | 44 |
| 01-May | 122 | 38 | 1 | 51 | 0.39 | 0.05 | 51 | 0.71 | 0.05 | 51 |
| 02-May | 123 | 38 | 2 | 53 | 0.37 | 0.05 | 53 | 0.69 | 0.06 | 53 |
| 03-May | 124 | 37 | 2 | 33 | 0.36 | 0.04 | 33 | 0.69 | 0.05 | 33 |
| 04-May | 125 | 37 | 2 | 70 | 0.36 | 0.05 | 70 | 0.69 | 0.05 | 70 |
| 05-May | 126 | 38 | 2 | 50 | 0.37 | 0.05 | 50 | 0.70 | 0.05 | 50 |
| 06-May | 127 | 37 | 2 | 60 | 0.36 | 0.05 | 60 | 0.70 | 0.05 | 60 |
| 07-May | 128 | 37 | 2 | 60 | 0.37 | 0.05 | 60 | 0.70 | 0.05 | 60 |
| 08-May | 129 | 38 | 2 | 60 | 0.37 | 0.05 | 60 | 0.69 | 0.07 | 60 |
| 09-May | 130 | 37 | 2 | 54 | 0.39 | 0.05 | 54 | 0.74 | 0.07 | 54 |
| 10-May | 131 | 37 | 1 | 55 | 0.38 | 0.05 | 55 | 0.75 | 0.07 | 55 |
| 11-May | 132 | 37 | 1 | 49 | 0.38 | 0.05 | 49 | 0.72 | 0.05 | 49 |
| 12-May | 133 | 37 | 2 | 58 | 0.40 | 0.07 | 58 | 0.76 | 0.07 | 58 |
| 13-May | 134 | 37 | 2 | 51 | 0.39 | 0.06 | 51 | 0.75 | 0.08 | 51 |
| 14-May | 135 | 37 | 1 | 55 | 0.38 | 0.06 | 55 | 0.73 | 0.06 | 55 |
| 15-May | 136 | 37 | 1 | 64 | 0.36 | 0.05 | 64 | 0.72 | 0.06 | 64 |
| 16-May | 137 | 37 | 2 | 59 | 0.38 | 0.08 | 59 | 0.75 | 0.06 | 59 |
| 17-May | 138 | 37 | 2 | 63 | 0.37 | 0.07 | 63 | 0.73 | 0.07 | 63 |
| 18-May | 139 | 36 | 2 | 60 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 60 | 0.75 | 0.07 | 60 |
| 19-May | 140 | 37 | 2 | 57 | 0.36 | 0.06 | 57 | 0.72 | 0.06 | 57 |
| 20-May | 141 | 37 | 2 | 49 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 49 | 0.74 | 0.07 | 49 |
| 21-May | 142 | 37 | 1 | 57 | 0.37 | 0.05 | 57 | 0.74 | 0.05 | 57 |
| 22-May | 143 | 37 | 2 | 55 | 0.40 | 0.07 | 55 | 0.79 | 0.10 | 55 |
| 23-May | 144 | 37 | 2 | 55 | 0.43 | 0.07 | 55 | 0.84 | 0.12 | 55 |
| 24-May | 145 | 36 | 2 | 55 | 0.42 | 0.11 | 55 | 0.84 | 0.16 | 55 |
| 25-May | 146 | 37 | 2 | 35 | 0.44 | 0.13 | 35 | 0.85 | 0.12 | 35 |

Appendix 2 (continued)
Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured in Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1996

| Date | DOY | Length (mm) |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  | Condition (g/mm ${ }^{3}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n |
| 26-May | 147 | 37 | 2 | 53 | 0.38 | 0.09 | 53 | 0.76 | 0.11 | 53 |
| 27-May | 148 | 39 | 4 | 50 | 0.45 | 0.19 | 50 | 0.75 | 0.09 | 50 |
| 28-May | 149 | 39 | 5 | 49 | 0.52 | 0.31 | 49 | 0.78 | 0.11 | 49 |
| 29-May | 150 | 40 | 4 | 39 | 0.55 | 0.26 | 39 | 0.81 | 0.11 | 39 |
| 30-May | 151 | 39 | 3 | 36 | 0.48 | 0.20 | 36 | 0.80 | 0.11 | 36 |
| 31-May | 152 | 41 | 5 | 35 | 0.62 | 0.31 | 35 | 0.82 | 0.10 | 35 |
| 01-Jun | 153 | 39 | 4 | 29 | 0.55 | 0.25 | 29 | 0.85 | 0.10 | 29 |
| 02-Jun | 154 | 40 | 5 | 29 | 0.61 | 0.31 | 29 | 0.86 | 0.10 | 29 |
| 03-Jun | 155 | 43 | 5 | 23 | 0.75 | 0.33 | 23 | 0.92 | 0.09 | 23 |
| 04-Jun | 156 | 43 | 5 | 24 | 0.77 | 0.30 | 24 | 0.93 | 0.11 | 24 |
| 05-Jun | 157 | 46 | 6 | 30 | 0.93 | 0.39 | 30 | 0.90 | 0.10 | 30 |
| 07-Jun | 159 | 48 | 5 | 35 | 1.18 | 0.42 | 35 | 0.99 | 0.11 | 35 |
| 08-Jun | 160 | 50 | 6 | 25 | 1.27 | 0.54 | 25 | 0.97 | 0.15 | 25 |
| 09-Jun | 161 | 53 | 7 | 27 | 1.64 | 0.72 | 27 | 1.02 | 0.06 | 27 |
| 10-Jun | 162 | 52 | 6 | 29 | 1.55 | 0.62 | 29 | 1.04 | 0.09 | 29 |
| 11-Jun | 163 | 53 | 7 | 29 | 1.58 | 0.60 | 29 | 1.01 | 0.09 | 29 |
| 12-Jun | 164 | 53 | 8 | 31 | 1.64 | 0.71 | 31 | 1.00 | 0.12 | 31 |
| 13-Jun | 165 | 56 | 6 | 31 | 1.91 | 0.78 | 31 | 1.06 | 0.08 | 31 |
| 14-Jun | 166 | 53 | 6 | 29 | 1.47 | - | 1 | 1.05 | - | 1 |
| 15-Jun | 167 | 55 | 6 | 31 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 16-Jun | 168 | 57 | 6 | 24 | 2.04 | 0.68 | 24 | 1.08 | 0.17 | 24 |
| 17-Jun | 169 | 55 | 5 | 30 | 1.84 | 0.59 | 30 | 1.09 | 0.08 | 30 |
| 18-Jun | 170 | 53 | 8 | 36 | 1.65 | 0.72 | 36 | 1.00 | 0.09 | 36 |
| 19-Jun | 171 | 55 | 8 | 27 | 1.87 | 0.79 | 27 | 1.05 | 0.15 | 27 |
| 20-Jun | 172 | 53 | 8 | 33 | 1.58 | 0.69 | 33 | 1.00 | 0.10 | 33 |
| 21-Jun | 173 | 52 | 7 | 26 | 1.59 | 0.61 | 26 | 1.04 | 0.12 | 26 |
| 22-Jun | 174 | 51 | 8 | 21 | 1.52 | 0.76 | 21 | 1.02 | 0.10 | 21 |
| 23-Jun | 175 | 55 | 8 | 27 | 1.75 | 0.58 | 27 | 1.03 | 0.13 | 27 |
| 24-Jun | 176 | 51 | 10 | 30 | 1.44 | 0.77 | 30 | 0.95 | 0.15 | 30 |
| 25-Jun | 177 | 56 | 4 | 30 | 1.83 | 0.47 | 30 | 1.01 | 0.06 | 30 |
| 26-Jun | 178 | 56 | 7 | 30 | 2.03 | 0.74 | 30 | 1.09 | 0.14 | 30 |
| 27-Jun | 179 | 57 | 6 | 30 | 2.06 | 0.65 | 30 | 1.07 | 0.06 | 30 |
| 28-Jun | 180 | 58 | 5 | 30 | 2.16 | 0.60 | 30 | 1.07 | 0.10 | 30 |
| 29-Jun | 181 | 58 | 5 | 30 | 2.10 | 0.61 | 30 | 1.04 | 0.09 | 30 |
| 30-Jun | 182 | 60 | 8 | 30 | 2.39 | 0.92 | 30 | 1.06 | 0.06 | 30 |
| 01-Jul | 183 | 61 | 7 | 30 | 2.59 | 1.09 | 30 | 1.07 | 0.07 | 30 |
| 02-Jul | 184 | 62 | 9 | 27 | 2.81 | 1.39 | 27 | 1.08 | 0.06 | 27 |
| 03-Jul | 185 | 62 | 5 | 30 | 2.59 | 0.60 | 30 | 1.08 | 0.06 | 30 |
| 04-Jul | 186 | 62 | 1 | 2 | 2.44 | 0.01 | 2 | 1.03 | 0.08 | 2 |
| 05-Jul | 187 | 68 | 9 | 4 | 3.53 | 1.26 | 4 | 1.06 | 0.06 | 4 |
| 06-Jul | 188 | 66 | 8 | 4 | 3.10 | 1.13 | 4 | 1.05 | 0.06 | 4 |
| 08-Jul | 190 | 70 | - | 1 | 4.24 | - | 1 | 1.24 | - | 1 |
| 10-Jul | 192 | 61 | - | 1 | 2.41 | - | 1 | 1.06 | - | 1 |
| 13-Jul | 195 | 66 | - | 1 | 3.06 | - | 1 | 1.06 | - | 1 |
| 14-Jul | 196 | 73 | - | 1 | 4.38 | - | 1 | 1.13 | - | 1 |

Appendix 2 (continued)
Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured in Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1996

|  |  |  | th (m |  |  | ight ( |  | Cond | ( g |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | DOY | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n |
| Chinook salmon 1+ (day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11-Apr | 102 | 92 | - | 1 | 6.85 | - | 1 | 0.88 | - | 1 |
| 12-Apr | 103 | 87 | 1 | 3 | 7.22 | 0.42 | 3 | 1.08 | 0.04 | 3 |
| 15-Apr | 106 | 108 | - | 1 | 12.86 | - | 1 | 1.02 | - | 1 |
| 18-Apr | 109 | 102 | 12 | 2 | 10.72 | 4.45 | 2 | 0.99 | 0.07 | 2 |
| 19-Apr | 110 | 97 | - | 1 | 10.07 | - | 1 | 1.10 | - | 1 |
| $25-\mathrm{Apr}$ | 116 | 90 | 16 | 2 | 8.08 | 4.41 | 2 | 1.07 | 0.03 | 2 |
| 26-Apr | 117 | 97 | - | 1 | 10.30 | - | 1 | 1.13 | - | 1 |
| 27-Apr | 118 | 100 | 6 | 2 | 9.20 | 1.44 | 2 | 0.92 | 0.01 | 2 |
| 29-Apr | 120 | 95 | - | 1 | 8.12 | - | 1 | 0.95 | - | 1 |
| 03-May | 124 | 81 | - | 1 | 5.21 | - | 1 | 0.98 | - | 1 |
| 08-May | 129 | 90 | - | 1 | 6.68 | - | 1 | 0.92 | - | 1 |
| 15-May | 136 | 93 | - | 1 | 8.38 | - | 1 | 1.04 | - | 1 |
| 20-May | 141 | 96 | - | 1 | 9.53 | - | 1 | 1.08 | - | 1 |
| 22-May | 143 | 100 | - | 1 | 11.29 | - | 1 | 1.13 | - | 1 |
| 27-May | 148 | 86 | - | 1 | 6.79 | - | 1 | 1.07 | - | 1 |
| 28-May | 149 | 100 | - | 1 | 11.60 | - | 1 | 1.16 | - | 1 |
| 05-Jun | 157 | 104 | - | 1 | 10.87 | - | 1 | 0.97 | - | 1 |

Chinook salmon 1+ (night)

| 12-Apr | 103 | 103 | - | 1 | 12.16 | - | 1 | 1.11 | - | 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13-Apr | 104 | 94 | 7 | 6 | 8.97 | 2.47 | 6 | 1.05 | 0.07 | 6 |
| 14-Apr | 105 | 93 | 11 | 3 | 9.30 | 3.14 | 3 | 1.13 | 0.02 | 3 |
| 15-Apr | 106 | 107 | 3 | 3 | 12.36 | 0.91 | 3 | 1.00 | 0.04 | 3 |
| 16-Apr | 107 | 99 | 10 | 3 | 10.27 | 3.05 | 3 | 1.05 | 0.06 | 3 |
| 17-Apr | 108 | 94 | 13 | 5 | 8.91 | 3.96 | 5 | 1.01 | 0.12 | 5 |
| 18-Apr | 109 | 96 | - | 1 | 9.16 | - | 1 | 1.04 | - | 1 |
| 20-Apr | 111 | 94 | 6 | 2 | 8.96 | 2.47 | 2 | 1.08 | 0.08 | 2 |
| 21-Apr | 112 | 98 | 15 | 4 | 10.59 | 3.95 | 4 | 1.07 | 0.02 | 4 |
| 22-Apr | 113 | 100 | 7 | 3 | 11.59 | 3.38 | 3 | 1.14 | 0.10 | 3 |
| 23-Apr | 114 | 90 | 8 | 2 | 7.85 | 1.10 | 2 | 1.08 | 0.15 | 2 |
| 24-Apr | 115 | 115 | - | 1 | 12.14 | - | 1 | 0.80 | - | 1 |
| 26-Apr | 117 | 97 | 3 | 2 | 10.71 | 1.06 | 2 | 1.17 | 0.01 | 2 |
| 28-Apr | 119 | 108 | - | 1 | 15.10 | - | 1 | 1.20 | - | 1 |
| 29-Apr | 120 | 87 | 8 | 3 | 7.07 | 2.09 | 3 | 1.04 | 0.09 | 3 |
| 29-Apr | 120 | 92 | 5 | 2 | 8.24 | 0.69 | 2 | 1.08 | 0.08 | 2 |
| 01-May | 122 | 111 | - | 1 | 14.16 | - | 1 | 1.04 | - | 1 |
| 06-May | 127 | 103 | - | 1 | 13.32 | - | 1 | 1.22 | - | 1 |
| 07-May | 128 | 88 | 7 | 5 | 7.35 | 1.19 | 5 | 1.09 | 0.20 | 5 |
| 08-May | 129 | 95 | 14 | 7 | 10.25 | 5.99 | 7 | 1.08 | 0.13 | 7 |
| 09-May | 130 | 92 | 6 | 7 | 7.49 | 1.19 | 7 | 0.94 | 0.06 | 7 |
| 10-May | 131 | 94 | 9 | 9 | 8.29 | 2.38 | 9 | 0.97 | 0.07 | 9 |
| 11-May | 132 | 103 | 11 | 7 | 10.37 | 3.62 | 7 | 0.94 | 0.12 | 7 |
| 12-May | 133 | 104 | 10 | 4 | 11.66 | 3.61 | 4 | 1.02 | 0.17 | 4 |
| 13-May | 134 | 96 | 26 | 5 | 9.96 | 7.10 | 5 | 0.90 | 0.15 | 5 |

Appendix 2 (continued)
Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured in Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1996

| Date | DOY | Length (mm) |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  | Condition (g/mm ${ }^{3}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n |
| 14-May | 135 | 95 | 6 | 2 | 10.29 | 2.06 | 2 | 1.21 | 0.00 | 2 |
| 15-May | 136 | 100 | 14 | 5 | 11.08 | 4.87 | 5 | 1.04 | 0.08 | 5 |
| 16-May | 137 | 101 | 20 | 5 | 12.42 | 5.70 | 5 | 1.07 | 0.15 | 5 |
| 17-May | 138 | 98 | 3 | 3 | 9.98 | 0.34 | 3 | 1.08 | 0.10 | 3 |
| 18-May | 139 | 103 | 11 | 6 | 11.64 | 3.56 | 6 | 1.03 | 0.12 | 6 |
| 19-May | 140 | 106 | 15 | 3 | 12.58 | 6.01 | 3 | 0.99 | 0.05 | 3 |
| 20-May | 141 | 101 | 2 | 3 | 8.74 | 1.06 | 3 | 0.85 | 0.07 | 3 |
| 21-May | 142 | 106 | 7 | 4 | 12.16 | 4.77 | 4 | 0.97 | 0.20 | 4 |
| 22-May | 143 | 103 | 11 | 7 | 11.83 | 3.62 | 7 | 1.07 | 0.09 | 7 |
| 23-May | 144 | 91 | 25 | 4 | 9.98 | 5.86 | 4 | 1.10 | 0.14 | 4 |
| 24-May | 145 | 94 | 9 | 3 | 8.56 | 2.22 | 3 | 1.00 | 0.02 | 3 |
| 26-May | 147 | 108 | 16 | 9 | 13.51 | 6.34 | 9 | 1.00 | 0.07 | 9 |
| 28-May | 149 | 97 | 10 | 5 | 10.31 | 4.25 | 5 | 1.07 | 0.08 | 5 |
| 29-May | 150 | 101 | 3 | 4 | 12.09 | 0.97 | 4 | 1.16 | 0.06 | 4 |
| 30-May | 151 | 113 | 14 | 8 | 16.55 | 7.54 | 8 | 1.10 | 0.07 | 8 |
| 31-May | 152 | 101 | 9 | 16 | 11.85 | 2.79 | 16 | 1.14 | 0.13 | 16 |
| 01-Jun | 153 | 105 | 9 | 2 | 12.99 | 3.22 | 2 | 1.13 | 0.02 | 2 |
| 02-Jun | 154 | 93 | 12 | 3 | 8.86 | 3.96 | 3 | 1.07 | 0.05 | 3 |
| 03-Jun | 155 | 100 | 4 | 4 | 11.30 | 0.97 | 4 | 1.14 | 0.07 | 4 |
| 04-Jun | 156 | 99 | 15 | 4 | 11.40 | 5.48 | 4 | 1.12 | 0.10 | 4 |
| 05-Jun | 157 | 100 | 11 | 16 | 10.47 | 4.98 | 16 | 1.02 | 0.23 | 16 |
| 06-Jun | 158 | 100 | 14 | 8 | 11.54 | 4.21 | 8 | 1.13 | 0.25 | 8 |
| 07-Jun | 159 | 99 | 13 | 8 | 10.63 | 4.16 | 8 | 1.08 | 0.21 | 8 |
| 08-Jun | 160 | 92 | 2 | 6 | 10.20 | 1.80 | 6 | 1.31 | 0.20 | 6 |
| 09-Jun | 161 | 100 | 7 | 10 | 11.29 | 2.59 | 10 | 1.10 | 0.07 | 10 |
| 10-Jun | 162 | 101 | - | 1 | 10.79 | - | 1 | 1.05 | - | 1 |
| 11-Jun | 163 | 105 | 9 | 6 | 11.20 | 1.66 | 6 | 0.99 | 0.13 | 6 |
| 12-Jun | 164 | 94 | 7 | 4 | 8.85 | 2.32 | 4 | 1.04 | 0.10 | 4 |
| 13-Jun | 165 | 100 | 3 | 2 | 10.75 | 1.47 | 2 | 1.07 | 0.06 | 2 |
| 14-Jun | 166 | 92 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 15-Jun | 167 | 97 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 16-Jun | 168 | 102 | 3 | 3 | 11.49 | 1.00 | 3 | 1.09 | 0.02 | 3 |
| 19-Jun | 171 | 105 | - | 1 | 12.40 | - | 1 | 1.07 | - | 1 |
| 21-Jun | 173 | 102 | - | 1 | 12.13 | - | 1 | 1.14 | - | 1 |
| 25-Jun | 177 | 85 | - | 1 | 6.63 | - | 1 | 1.08 | - | 1 |
| 06-Jul | 188 | 90 | - | 1 | 7.74 | - | 1 | 1.06 | - | 1 |

Coho salmon 0+ (night)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}\text { 17-Jun } & 169 & 40 & - & 1 & 0.57 & - & 1 & 0.89 & - & 1\end{array}$
Rainbow trout, adult (night)
16-Jun $\begin{array}{lllll}168 & 300 & - & 1\end{array}$

| Appendix 2 (continued) <br> Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured in Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | DOY | Length (mm) |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  | Condition ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ) |  |  |
|  |  | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n |
| Rainbow trout, juvenile (day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25-Apr | 116 | 131 | - | 1 | 23.03 | - | 1 | 1.02 | - | 1 |
| 26-Apr | 117 | 124 | - | 1 | 16.81 | - | 1 | 0.88 | - | 1 |
| 16-May | 137 | 55 | - | 1 | 1.59 | - | 1 | 0.96 | - | 1 |
| 23-May | 144 | 107 | - | 1 | 10.45 | - | 1 | 0.85 | - | 1 |
| 26-May | 147 | 69 | - | 1 | 3.06 | - | 1 | 0.93 | - | 1 |
| 08-Jun | 160 | 133 | - | 1 | 23.14 | - | 1 | 0.98 | - | 1 |
| 30-Jun | 182 | 150 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Rainbow trout, juvenile (night) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-Apr | 109 | 124 | - | 1 | 18.45 | - | 1 | 0.97 | - | 1 |
| 20-Apr | 111 | 138 | - | 1 | 28.18 | - | 1 | 1.07 | - | 1 |
| 21-Apr | 112 | 120 | - | 1 | 17.12 | - | 1 | 0.99 | - | 1 |
| 22-Apr | 113 | 106 | 7 | 2 | 13.60 | 2.19 | 2 | 1.14 | 0.04 | 2 |
| 27-Apr | 118 | 99 | - | 1 | 9.47 | - | 1 | 0.98 | - | 1 |
| 28-Apr | 119 | 114 | - | 1 | 15.26 | - | 1 | 1.03 | - | 1 |
| 29-Apr | 120 | 66 | - | 1 | 2.63 | - | 1 | 0.91 | - | 1 |
| 30-Apr | 121 | 101 | - | 1 | 12.86 | - | 1 | 1.25 | - | 1 |
| 03-May | 124 | 102 | - | 1 | 10.50 | - | 1 | 0.99 | - | 1 |
| 06-May | 127 | 113 | 12 | 3 | 14.58 | 6.04 | 3 | 0.97 | 0.07 | 3 |
| 06-May | 127 | 115 | 17 | 2 | 15.80 | 8.00 | 2 | 0.99 | 0.08 | 2 |
| 07-May | 128 | 109 | 5 | 2 | 13.48 | 2.98 | 2 | 1.05 | 0.09 | 2 |
| 08-May | 129 | 170 | - | 1 | 47.90 | - | 1 | 0.97 | - | 1 |
| 09-May | 130 | 159 | 16 | 2 | 26.65 | 17.97 | 2 | 0.62 | 0.26 | 2 |
| 10-May | 131 | 115 | - | 1 | 12.37 | - | 1 | 0.81 | - | 1 |
| 12-May | 133 | 117 | - | 1 | 15.88 | - | 1 | 0.99 | - | 1 |
| 14-May | 135 | 118 | - | 1 | 16.19 | - | 1 | 0.99 | - | 1 |
| 15-May | 136 | 129 | - | 1 | 19.84 | - | 1 | 0.92 | - | 1 |
| 16-May | 137 | 122 | - | 1 | 19.13 | - | 1 | 1.05 | - | 1 |
| 17-May | 138 | 96 | 45 | 2 | 12.14 | 13.53 | 2 | 1.02 | 0.06 | 2 |
| 18-May | 139 | 99 | 30 | 2 | 10.67 | 8.05 | 2 | 1.02 | 0.10 | 2 |
| 19-May | 140 | 77 | 17 | 4 | 4.80 | 3.26 | 4 | 0.92 | 0.07 | 4 |
| 23-May | 144 | 95 | 42 | 2 | 12.70 | 13.96 | 2 | 1.11 | 0.11 | 2 |
| 24-May | 145 | 95 | - | 1 | 9.10 | - | 1 | 1.06 | - | 1 |
| 25-May | 146 | 115 | - | 1 | 17.50 | - | 1 | 1.15 | - | 1 |
| 26-May | 147 | 105 | 11 | 2 | 11.45 | 1.75 | 2 | 1.01 | 0.15 | 2 |
| 27-May | 148 | 136 | 23 | 4 | 26.04 | 11.96 | 4 | 0.98 | 0.04 | 4 |
| 28-May | 149 | 116 | - | 1 | 17.65 | - | 1 | 1.13 | - | 1 |
| 30-May | 151 | 122 | - | 1 | 17.71 | - | 1 | 0.98 | - | 1 |
| 31-May | 152 | 67 | - | 1 | 2.86 | - | 1 | 0.95 | - | 1 |
| 01-Jun | 153 | 81 | - | 1 | 4.78 | - | 1 | 0.90 | - | 1 |
| 02-Jun | 154 | 146 | - | 1 | 31.39 | - | 1 | 1.01 | - | 1 |
| 04-Jun | 156 | 68 | - | 1 | 3.19 | - | 1 | 1.01 | - | , |
| 05-Jun | 157 | 70 | - | 1 | 3.01 | - | 1 | 0.88 | - | 1 |
| 09-Jun | 161 | 115 | 13 | 2 | 15.26 | 6.48 | 2 | 0.98 | 0.08 | 2 |

Appendix 2 (continued)
Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured in Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1996

| Date | DOY | Length (mm) |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  | Condition (g/mm ${ }^{3}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n |
| 10-Jun | 162 | 186 | - | 1 | 40.34 | - | 1 | 0.63 | - | 1 |
| 13-Jun | 165 | 88 | 14 | 2 | 7.28 | 5.98 | 2 | 0.93 | 0.41 | 2 |
| 14-Jun | 166 | 120 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 18-Jun | 170 | 107 | - | 1 | 13.64 | - | 1 | 1.11 | - | 1 |
| 26-Jun | 178 | 90 | - | 1 | 6.82 | - | 1 | 0.94 | - | 1 |
| 01-Jul | 183 | 131 | 34 | 2 | 27.55 | 20.14 | 2 | 1.10 | 0.03 | 2 |
| 02-Jul | 184 | 128 | - | 1 | 22.37 | - | 1 | 1.07 | - | 1 |
| 13-Jul | 195 | 175 | - | 1 | 79.87 | - | 1 | 1.49 | - | 1 |

Sockeye salmon, juvenile (day)

| 11-May | 132 | 34 | - | 1 | 0.24 | - | 1 | 0.61 | - | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 16-May | 137 | 27 | - | 1 | 0.12 | - | 1 | 0.61 | - | 1 |
| 20-May | 141 | 26 | - | 1 | 0.12 | - | 1 | 0.68 | - | 1 |
| 22-May | 143 | 29 | - | 1 | 0.15 | - | 1 | 0.62 | - | 1 |
| 24-May | 145 | 26 | - | 1 | 0.11 | - | 1 | 0.63 | - | 1 |
| 26-May | 147 | 27 | - | 1 | 0.13 | - | 1 | 0.66 | - | 1 |
| 28-May | 149 | 31 | - | 1 | 0.19 | - | 1 | 0.64 | - | 1 |
| 08-Jun | 160 | 27 | - | 1 | 0.12 | - | 1 | 0.61 | - | 1 |
| 09-Jun | 161 | 30 | - | 1 | 0.17 | - | 1 | 0.63 | - | 1 |
| 16-Jun | 168 | 33 | 3 | 2 | 0.24 | 0.04 | 2 | 0.66 | 0.07 | 2 |
| 17-Jun | 169 | 30 | 2 | 2 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 2 | 0.75 | 0.22 | 2 |
| 21-Jun | 173 | 32 | 2 | 2 | 0.24 | 0.07 | 2 | 0.76 | 0.07 | 2 |
| 22-Jun | 174 | 34 | - | 1 | 0.31 | - | 1 | 0.79 | - | 1 |
| 24-Jun | 176 | 35 | - | 1 | 0.36 | - | 1 | 0.84 | - | 1 |
| 25-Jun | 177 | 37 | 3 | 5 | 0.42 | 0.07 | 5 | 0.83 | 0.14 | 5 |
| 26-Jun | 178 | 33 | - | 1 | 0.31 | - | 1 | 0.86 | - | 1 |
| 27-Jun | 179 | 38 | 2 | 6 | 0.43 | 0.07 | 6 | 0.78 | 0.06 | 6 |
| 28-Jun | 180 | 40 | - | 1 | 0.47 | - | 1 | 0.73 | - | 1 |
| 29-Jun | 181 | 38 | - | 1 | 0.44 | - | 1 | 0.80 | - | 1 |
| 01-Jul | 183 | 42 | - | 1 | 0.56 | - | 1 | 0.76 | - | 1 |
| 03-Jul | 185 | 45 | - | 1 | 0.74 | - | 1 | 0.81 | - | 1 |
| 05-Jul | 187 | 49 | - | 1 | 1.04 | - | 1 | 0.88 | - | 1 |
| 06-Jul | 188 | 44 | - | 1 | 0.69 | - | 1 | 0.81 | - | 1 |
| 08-Jul | 190 | 43 | 2 | 2 | 0.67 | 0.11 | 2 | 0.87 | 0.02 | 2 |

Sockeye salmon, juvenile (night)

| 28-Apr | 119 | 27 | - | 1 | 0.11 | - | 1 | 0.56 | - | 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03-May | 124 | 28 | - | 1 | 0.13 | - | 1 | 0.59 | - | 1 |
| 04-May | 125 | 28 | - | 1 | 0.14 | - | 1 | 0.64 | - | 1 |
| 06-May | 127 | 28 | - | 1 | 0.12 | - | 1 | 0.55 | - | 1 |
| 08-May | 129 | 28 | 1 | 5 | 0.13 | 0.02 | 5 | 0.56 | 0.07 | 5 |
| 14-May | 135 | 27 | - | 1 | 0.10 | - | 1 | 0.51 | - | 1 |
| 17-May | 138 | 28 | 1 | 10 | 0.13 | 0.03 | 10 | 0.59 | 0.09 | 10 |
| 18-May | 139 | 27 | 1 | 17 | 0.12 | 0.03 | 17 | 0.61 | 0.09 | 17 |
| 19-May | 140 | 31 | - | 1 | 0.20 | - | 1 | 0.67 | - | 1 |

Appendix 2 (continued)
Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured in Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1996

| Date | DOY | Length (mm) |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  | Condition ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n |
| 20-May | 141 | 27 | 1 | 15 | 0.12 | 0.02 | 15 | 0.58 | 0.06 | 15 |
| 21-May | 142 | 28 | 1 | 8 | 0.12 | 0.02 | 8 | 0.54 | 0.06 | 8 |
| 22-May | 143 | 26 | 1 | 5 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 5 | 0.89 | 0.34 | 5 |
| 23-May | 144 | 27 | 1 | 4 | 0.16 | 0.03 | 4 | 0.84 | 0.09 | 4 |
| 24-May | 145 | 27 | 2 | 4 | 0.20 | 0.07 | 4 | 0.97 | 0.28 | 4 |
| 25-May | 146 | 26 | 0 | 2 | 0.12 | 0.01 | 2 | 0.65 | 0.04 | 2 |
| 26-May | 147 | 28 | 1 | 3 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 3 | 0.65 | 0.01 | 3 |
| 27-May | 148 | 29 | 1 | 3 | 0.15 | 0.04 | 3 | 0.65 | 0.19 | 3 |
| 28-May | 149 | 28 | 4 | 4 | 0.16 | 0.01 | 4 | 0.88 | 0.50 | 4 |
| 29-May | 150 | 27 | 2 | 3 | 0.14 | 0.04 | 3 | 0.67 | 0.04 | 3 |
| 30-May | 151 | 30 | 1 | 2 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 2 | 0.56 | 0.01 | 2 |
| 31-May | 152 | 27 | 1 | 2 | 0.12 | 0.02 | 2 | 0.58 | 0.02 | 2 |
| 02-Jun | 154 | 28 | 1 | 4 | 0.14 | 0.02 | 4 | 0.60 | 0.04 | 4 |
| 03-Jun | 155 | 28 | - | 1 | 0.15 | - | 1 | 0.68 | - | 1 |
| 04-Jun | 156 | 31 | 0 | 2 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 2 | 0.64 | 0.00 | 2 |
| 05-Jun | 157 | 30 | 2 | 2 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 2 | 0.59 | 0.07 | 2 |
| 06-Jun | 158 | 34 | 5 | 2 | 0.21 | 0.09 | 2 | 0.53 | 0.01 | 2 |
| 07-Jun | 159 | 34 | 1 | 4 | 0.29 | 0.04 | 4 | 0.72 | 0.04 | 4 |
| 09-Jun | 161 | 33 | 5 | 3 | 0.28 | 0.16 | 3 | 0.75 | 0.14 | 3 |
| 10-Jun | 162 | 29 | 2 | 11 | 0.18 | 0.07 | 11 | 0.70 | 0.14 | 11 |
| 11-Jun | 163 | 29 | 4 | 4 | 0.18 | 0.08 | 4 | 0.75 | 0.11 | 4 |
| 14-Jun | 166 | 29 | 1 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 17-Jun | 169 | 33 | - | 1 | 0.28 | - | 1 | 0.78 | - | 1 |
| 18-Jun | 170 | 36 | - | 1 | 0.12 | - | 1 | 0.26 | - | 1 |
| 19-Jun | 171 | 31 | 2 | 2 | 0.20 | 0.08 | 2 | 0.67 | 0.13 | 2 |
| 21-Jun | 173 | 30 | - | 1 | 0.21 | - | 1 | 0.78 | - | 1 |
| 22-Jun | 174 | 30 | - | 1 | 0.24 | - | 1 | 0.89 | - | 1 |
| 23-Jun | 175 | 31 | 4 | 2 | 0.22 | 0.08 | 2 | 0.74 | 0.02 | 2 |
| 24-Jun | 176 | 35 | 4 | 12 | 0.37 | 0.15 | 12 | 0.81 | 0.05 | 12 |
| 25-Jun | 177 | 34 | 2 | 10 | 0.31 | 0.08 | 10 | 0.80 | 0.07 | 10 |
| 26-Jun | 178 | 36 | 3 | 9 | 0.35 | 0.10 | 9 | 0.74 | 0.06 | 9 |
| 27-Jun | 179 | 37 | 3 | 15 | 0.40 | 0.09 | 15 | 0.79 | 0.03 | 15 |
| 28-Jun | 180 | 38 | 4 | 11 | 0.46 | 0.17 | 11 | 0.79 | 0.05 | 11 |
| 29-Jun | 181 | 38 | 2 | 11 | 0.43 | 0.08 | 11 | 0.77 | 0.04 | 11 |
| 30-Jun | 182 | 38 | 1 | 9 | 0.42 | 0.05 | 9 | 0.75 | 0.05 | 9 |
| 01-Jul | 183 | 42 | 3 | 3 | 0.62 | 0.18 | 3 | 0.82 | 0.12 | 3 |
| 02-Jul | 184 | 41 | 1 | 3 | 0.53 | 0.06 | 3 | 0.78 | 0.03 | 3 |
| 03-Jul | 185 | 41 | - | 1 | 0.84 | - | 1 | 1.22 | - | 1 |
| 05-Jul | 187 | 40 | 8 | 3 | 0.60 | 0.35 | 3 | 0.83 | 0.10 | 3 |
| 07-Jul | 189 | 44 | 1 | 2 | 0.75 | 0.11 | 2 | 0.92 | 0.18 | 2 |
| 09-Jul | 191 | 44 | - | 1 | 0.96 | - | 1 | 1.13 | - | 1 |

Appendix 3
Mean Monthly Electrofishing Catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) of Juvenile Chinook Salmon by 10 km Intervals of the Nechako River, 1996
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Mean Monthly Electrofishing Catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) of Juvenile Chinook Salmon by 10 km Intervals of the Nechako River, 1996

| Date | Distance (km) from Kenney Dam | $0+\log _{e}(\mathrm{CPUE}+1)$ |  |  | $1+\log _{e}(\mathrm{CPUE}+1)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n |
| Day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| April | 0.0-9.9 | 0.5584 | 0.6543 | 4 | 0.1014 | 0.2027 | 4 |
|  | 10.0-19.9 | 0.5744 | 0.5493 | 26 | 0.0610 | 0.2220 | 26 |
|  | 20.0-29.9 | 1.4038 | 0.8922 | 38 | 0.1009 | 0.3291 | 38 |
|  | 30.0-39.9 | 1.0007 | 0.8016 | 16 | 0.0276 | 0.1105 | 16 |
|  | 50.0-59.9 | 1.1693 | 0.7393 | 19 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 19 |
|  | 70.0-79.9 | 1.3215 | 0.9962 | 16 | 0.0379 | 0.1515 | 16 |
|  | 80.0-89.9 | 0.2878 | 0.4390 | 17 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 17 |
| May | 0.0-9.9 | 1.0311 | 1.3618 | 4 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 4 |
|  | 10.0-19.9 | 1.8601 | 0.9944 | 27 | 0.0375 | 0.1378 | 27 |
|  | 20.0-29.9 | 1.9741 | 0.9552 | 38 | 0.0872 | 0.2718 | 38 |
|  | 30.0-39.9 | 1.2615 | 0.8753 | 16 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 16 |
|  | 50.0-59.9 | 1.0735 | 0.8528 | 19 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 19 |
|  | 70.0-79.9 | 1.3914 | 0.9236 | 16 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 16 |
|  | 80.0-89.9 | 1.2055 | 0.8418 | 17 | 0.0357 | 0.1470 | 17 |
| June | 0.0-9.9 | 1.4655 | 1.2231 | 4 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 4 |
|  | 10.0-19.9 | 2.2846 | 1.1594 | 27 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 27 |
|  | 20.0-29.9 | 1.5720 | 1.1331 | 38 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 38 |
|  | 30.0-39.9 | 1.0487 | 0.8051 | 16 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 16 |
|  | 50.0-59.9 | 0.6972 | 0.7789 | 19 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 19 |
|  | 70.0-79.9 | 0.6174 | 0.7207 | 16 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 16 |
|  | 80.0-89.9 | 0.1934 | 0.3298 | 17 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 17 |
| July | 0.0-9.9 |  | 0.6936 | 2 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 2 |
|  | 10.0-19.9 | $0.6000$ | 0.8369 | 26 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 26 |
|  | 20.0-29.9 | 0.2445 | 0.4806 | 37 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 37 |
|  | 30.0-39.9 | 0.1577 | 0.3627 | 14 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 14 |
|  | 50.0-59.9 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 17 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 17 |
|  | 70.0-79.9 | 0.0404 | 0.1565 | 15 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 15 |
|  | 80.0-89.9 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 10 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 10 |
| November | 0.0-9.9 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 2 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 2 |
|  | 10.0-19.9 | 0.0233 | 0.1189 | 26 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 26 |
|  | 20.0-29.9 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 32 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 32 |
|  | 30.0-39.9 | 0.0606 | 0.1917 | 10 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 10 |
|  | 50.0-59.9 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 14 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 14 |
|  | 70.0-79.9 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 14 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 14 |
|  | 80.0-89.9 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 7 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 7 |

Appendix 3 (continued)
Mean Monthly Electrofishing Catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) of Juvenile Chinook Salmon by 10 km Intervals of the Nechako River, 1996

| Date | Distance (km) from Kenney Dam | $0+\log _{e}(\mathrm{CPUE}+1)$ |  |  | $1+\log _{e}(\mathrm{CPUE}+1)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n |
| Night |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| April | 0.0-9.9 | 0.4904 | 0.5663 | 4 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 4 |
|  | 10.0-19.9 | 0.7141 | 0.8660 | 26 | 0.3856 | 0.6063 | 26 |
|  | 20.0-29.9 | 1.6677 | 1.0271 | 38 | 0.8755 | 0.9540 | 38 |
|  | 30.0-39.9 | 0.9562 | 0.7433 | 16 | 0.1295 | 0.3872 | 16 |
|  | 50.0-59.9 | 0.7593 | 0.9916 | 19 | 0.3738 | 0.7668 | 19 |
|  | 70.0-79.9 | 1.2145 | 0.9102 | 16 | 0.4087 | 0.7007 | 16 |
|  | 80.0-89.9 | 0.1622 | 0.3751 | 17 | 0.3366 | 0.5207 | 17 |
| May | 0.0-9.9 | 0.5584 | 1.1168 | 4 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 4 |
|  | 10.0-19.9 | 3.1371 | 1.3477 | 27 | 0.1520 | 0.3255 | 27 |
|  | 20.0-29.9 | 3.1388 | 1.3294 | 38 | 0.1253 | 0.3028 | 38 |
|  | 30.0-39.9 | 1.8865 | 1.2581 | 16 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 16 |
|  | 50.0-59.9 | 1.9718 | 1.2588 | 19 | 0.1165 | 0.3690 | 19 |
|  | 70.0-79.9 | 2.7612 | 0.8597 | 16 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 16 |
|  | 80.0-89.9 | 2.1775 | 0.8159 | 17 | 0.1769 | 0.2876 | 17 |
| June | 0.0-9.9 | 1.1087 | 1.2887 | 4 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 4 |
|  | 10.0-19.9 | 3.5646 | 1.2233 | 27 | 0.0525 | 0.1912 | 27 |
|  | 20.0-29.9 | 2.8013 | 1.0222 | 38 | 0.0160 | 0.0983 | 38 |
|  | 30.0-39.9 | 2.5417 | 1.0057 | 16 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 16 |
|  | 50.0-59.9 | 2.2516 | 1.0984 | 19 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 19 |
|  | 70.0-79.9 | 2.7240 | 0.5538 | 16 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 16 |
|  | 80.0-89.9 | 2.5685 | 0.8913 | 17 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 17 |
| July | 0.0-9.9 | 4.3686 | 1.3218 | 2 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 2 |
|  | 10.0-19.9 | 3.0640 | 1.0436 | 26 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 26 |
|  | 20.0-29.9 | 1.4709 | 1.0461 | 35 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 35 |
|  | 30.0-39.9 | 1.3596 | 0.6479 | 10 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 10 |
|  | 50.0-59.9 | 1.0402 | 0.7969 | 15 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 15 |
|  | 70.0-79.9 | 0.7285 | 0.7046 | 14 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 14 |
|  | 80.0-89.9 | 0.7161 | 0.6773 | 8 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 8 |
| November | 0.0-9.9 | 0.3031 | 0.4286 | 2 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 2 |
|  | 10.0-19.9 | 0.4344 | 0.5105 | 25 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 25 |
|  | 20.0-29.9 | 0.4964 | 0.4926 | 32 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 32 |
|  | 30.0-39.9 | 0.2537 | 0.4629 | 7 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 7 |
|  | 50.0-59.9 | 0.0551 | 0.1828 | 11 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 11 |
|  | 70.0-79.9 | 0.2267 | 0.3862 | 14 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 14 |
|  | 80.0-89.9 | 0.0000 | - | 1 | 0.0000 | - | 1 |

## Appendix 4

Catches of Juvenile Chinook Salmon by Rotary Screw Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1996
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|  | RST No. 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trap <br> flow <br> $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ | Percent <br> flow <br> sampled | Catch <br> $1++$ |  | $0+$ | Population <br> estimate <br> $1+$ |  | $0+$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.904 | 0.5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 420 |  |  |
| 0.763 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 0.763 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 0.763 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 0.763 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 0.763 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
|  |  | 8 | 624 | 512 | 39173 |  |  |
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| Date | RST <br> staff <br> gage <br> (cm) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { River } \\ & \text { flow } \\ & \left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) \end{aligned}$ | RST No. 1 |  |  |  |  |  | RST No. 2 |  |  |  |  |  | RST No. 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trap } \\ & \text { flow } \\ & \left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Percent flow sampled | Catch |  | Population estimate |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trap } \\ & \text { flow } \\ & \left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { flow } \\ & \text { sampled } \end{aligned}$ | Catch |  | Population estimate |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trap } \\ & \text { flow } \\ & \left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ \text { flow } \\ \text { sampled } \end{gathered}$ | Catch |  | Population estimate |  | Total Catch |  | Weighted average catch |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{1+}$ | ${ }^{0+}$ | ${ }^{1+}$ | ${ }^{0+}$ |  |  | ${ }^{1+}$ | ${ }^{0+}$ | ${ }^{1+}$ | ${ }^{0+}$ |  |  | ${ }^{1+}$ | ${ }^{0+}$ | ${ }^{1+}$ | ${ }^{0+}$ | ${ }^{1+}$ | ${ }^{0+}$ | $1+$ | ${ }^{0+}$ |
| 30-Jun | 96.5 | 68.5 | 1.140 | 1.7 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 1742 | 1.231 | 1.8 |  | 30 | 0 | 1669 | 1.084 | 1.6 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 1263 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 1566 |
| 01--Jul | 106.0 | 82.0 | 1.140 | 1.4 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 4102 | 1.231 | 1.5 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 3398 | 1.084 | 1.3 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 756 | 0 | 118 | 0 | 2801 |
| 02-Jul | 116.0 | 97.5 | 1.140 | 1.2 | 0 | 138 | 0 | 11807 | 1.231 | 1.3 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 5386 | 1.084 | 1.1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 630 | 0 | 213 | 0 | 6012 |
| 03-Jul | 125.0 | 112.6 | 1.140 | 1.0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 2370 | 1.231 | 1.1 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 2286 | 1.084 | 1.0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 2077 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 2248 |
| 04-Jul | 134.0 | 128.6 | 1.358 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.019 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.617 | 1.3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 398 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 161 |
| 05-Jul | 137.3 | 134.7 | 1.358 | 1.0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 298 | 1.019 | 0.8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 132 | 1.617 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 135 |
| 06-Jul | 145.8 | 151.3 | 1.358 | 0.9 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 334 | 1.096 | 0.7 | 1 | 1 | 138 | 138 | 0.904 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 45 | 180 |
| 07-Jul | 152.3 | 164.4 | 1.358 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.096 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.904 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08 -Jul | 158.7 | 178.0 | 1.358 | 0.8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 131 | 1.096 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.904 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 53 |
| 09-Jul | 165.1 | 192.1 | 1.084 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.963 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.763 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10-Jul | 170.5 | 2043 | 1.084 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.963 | 0.5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 212 | 0.763 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 73 |
| 11-Jul | 173.7 | 211.7 | 1.084 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.963 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.763 | 0.4 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12-Jul | 175.8 | 216.8 | 1.084 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.963 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.763 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 |
| 13-Jul | 179.1 | 224.5 | 1.084 | 0.5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 207 | 0.963 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.763 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | , | 0 | 80 |
| 14-Jul | 182.3 | 2323 | 1.084 | 0.5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 214 | 0.963 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.763 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 83 |
| Total Night: |  |  |  |  | 141 | 883 | 8983 | 58758 |  |  | 101 | 1670 | 5762 | 97094 |  |  | 24 | 1516 | 1421 | 97491 | 266 | 4069 | 5349 | 85149 |
| Total: |  |  |  |  | 146 | 1020 | 9256 | 67753 |  |  | 109 | 1914 | 6213 | 111227 |  |  | 32 | 2140 | 1932 | 136664 | 287 | 5074 | 5766 | 105576 |


[^0]:    

