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## ABSIRACT

The size, distribution, and abundance of juvenile chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) was measured in the upper 100 km of the Nechako River in 1997 as part of the ninth year of the Nechako Fisheries Conservation Program (NFCP).

Flows of the upper Nechako River in 1997 were the highest recorded over the last 10 years. Those high flows cooled the upper Nechako River-water temperatures were lower in spring and summer 1997 than have been recorded over the last 10 years.

Monthly electrofishing surveys showed two centers of distribution of resident $0+$ chinook. The upstream center moved upstream from April to June as the fish searched for rearing habitat. The downstream center remained stationary between 70 and 79.9 km from Kenney Dam. In November, resident $0+$ chinook redistributed themselves evenly along the length of the upper river in preparation for overwintering.

Maximum catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE, number per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ surveyed) of electrofished $0+$ chinook occurred in mid-May for day catches and mid-June for night catches. Thereafter until early November, CPUE decreased at a rate of $0.53 \% / \mathrm{d}$ for day catches and $0.41 \% / \mathrm{d}$ for night catches.

Maximum numbers of outmigrating $0+$ chinook captured by rotary screw traps at Diamond Island occurred in early May. Rotary screw trap catches of $0+$ chinook decreased from May 10 to July 13 at a rate of $3.87 \% / \mathrm{d}$ for day catches. No loss rate could be calculated for night catches. A total of $3,0060+$ chinook and $2161+$ chinook were captured by the rotary screw traps. Expansion of these numbers by the proportion of river volume sampled by the traps provided an index of downstream migration of 133,812 $0+$ chinook and 7,963 1+ chinook.

Comparison of seasonal trends in size-at-date of $0+$ chinook among the years 1989 to 1997 showed that low temperatures in spring and summer 1997 reduced rates of growth in weight and length, but not in condition. Comparison of seasonal trends in electrofishing CPUE and spatial distribution, and in the index of outmigration past Diamond Island, showed no obvious differences between 1997 and the years 1989 to 1996. However, the index of juvenile chinook outmigration for the years 1992 to 1997 was found to be significantly and positively correlated with the number of adults that spawned upstream of Diamond Island in the previous autumn, i.e. the autumns of 1991 to 1996.

That finding was used to standardise daily catch of $0+$ chinook at Diamond Island for the number of adult spawners. Comparison of standardised outmigrant numbers showed no clear relationship between flows and outmigrant numbers for 1992 to 1997. A similar finding was obtained after standardising electrofishing CPUE for spawner number.

## INIRODUCTION

This report describes juvenile chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) size, distribution and abundance in the upper 100 km of the Nechako River in 1997.

This study was part of the ninth year (1997-1998) of the Nechako Fisheries Conservation Program (NFCP). The primary objectives of the 1997 survey were to measure the growth and spatial distribution of juvenile chinook in the upper Nechako River, and to obtain an index of the number of juvenile chinook that migrated downstream of Diamond Island from March to July. The secondary objective was to compare the 1997 biological parameters with those measured over 1987 to 1996, thereby providing the raw material for an assessment of the juvenile outmigration project.

NFCP monitoring efforts are concentrated in the upper 100 km of the Nechako River because it is the part of the river most subject to changes in flow due to fluctuations in discharge from the Nechako Reservoir. Other parts of the river are buffered by flow from the Nautley and Stuart Rivers as well as from large tributaries. Thus, the upper Nechako is the best part of the river to concentrate monitoring efforts to determine effects of flow on juvenile chinook.

## MEIHODS

## Study Sites

The study area included the upper 100 km of the Nechako River from Kenney Dam to Fort Fraser (Figure 1). It was divided into four reaches with the following boundaries, as originally defined by Envirocon Ltd. (1984):

| Reach | Distance $(\mathrm{km})$ from Kenney Dam |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | $9.0-14.6$ |
| 2 | $14.6-43.0$ |
| 3 | $43.0-66.6$ |
| 4 | $66.6-100.6$ |

In this report, all longitudinal distances are in kilometres from Kenney Dam. However, the first 9 km are upstream of Cheslatta Falls within the Nechako River Canyon, which was dewatered by closing of Kenney Dam in October 1952. Thus, the first 10 km
from Kenney Dam has only 1 km of flowing water from Cheslatta Falls that provides significant fish habitat.

## Temperature and Flow

Mean daily water temperatures were measured by a datalogger installed at Bert Irvine's Lodge in Reach 2 of the river, 19 km below Kenney Dam. They are reported as preliminary data from Environment Canada.

Spot water temperatures were recorded by hand-held thermometers in Reaches 1 to 4 during the electrofishing surveys, and at Diamond Island during operation of the rotary screw traps. Both sets of temperatures are reported as data from Triton Environmental Consultants Ltd.

Daily water flows were recorded at Skins Lake Spillway (WSC station 08JA013) and at the Nechako River below Cheslatta Falls (WSC station 08JA017), and are reported as preliminary data from Water Survey of Canada (WSC).

## Electrofishing Surveys

Each year since 1990, NFCP has conducted electrofishing surveys of the upper Nechako River to measure the relative abundance and spatial distribution of juvenile chinook. The surveys began as a temporary replacement for inclined plane traps that were rendered inoperable in 1990 due to high river flows. Over the last eight years they have become one of the most important components of the chinook monitoring program, mainly because they show spatial variation in juvenile density during spring and summersomething no fixed gear can do-and because electrofishing can be done at high flow levels that would render some fixed gear inoperable.

In 1997, as in previous years, an index of juvenile chinook salmon abundance was obtained from sin-gle-pass electrofishing surveys of each of the four reaches. Surveys began in April and continued through May, June and early July. They were discontinued during late July and August because summer cooling flows were too high to allow safe and effective electrofishing. Large flows are released into the upper river during July and August to cool the river and thereby reduce prespawning mortality of sockeye


FIGURE 1. 1997 NECHAKO RIVER STUDY AREA AND TRAP LOCATIONS
salmon (Oncorhynchus nerka) migrating through the lower Nechako River to spawning grounds in the Stuart, Stellako and Nadina River systems. The program of releases is called the Summer Temperature Management Program or STMP. A final survey was conducted from October 31 to November 6. Surveys of Reaches 1 through 4 were completed in each of the months sampled. Electrofishing surveys were carried out at night as well as during the day. Night was defined as the time period between sunset and sunrise.

Surveys were conducted on prime habitat for juvenile chinook salmon, defined as depth greater than 0.5 m , velocity greater than $0.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ and a substrate of gravel and cobble (Envirocon Ltd. 1984). That habitat was found mainly along the margins of the river, so electrofishing surveys did not sample the portion of the population that may have resided in mid-channel. However, mid-channel residents are a minor component of the population of juvenile chinook. Electrofishing surveys conducted by the Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO) showed that mid-channel densities of chinook were 70 times lower than densities along river margins (Nechako River Project 1987). The same study also showed that $97 \%$ of juvenile chinook observed by snorkelling were found along river margins.

Fish were captured with a single pass of a Smith Root model 15A backpack electrofisher, identified to species, counted, and released live back into the river. Catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) of juvenile chinook was the number of fish caught at a site divided by the area that was electrofished. Area was expressed in units of $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ to avoid fractional CPUE. Age of juvenile chinook was recorded as $0+$ or $1+$, based on fork length. Juvenile chinook less than 90 mm long were classified as $0+$. Those over 90 mm in length in the spring and early summer were classified as $1+$, but those over 90 mm long in late summer were classified as $0+$ because by that time all $1+$ chinook had migrated out of the upper Nechako River. Rainbow trout were classified as juveniles if their length was $<200 \mathrm{~mm}$ and adults if their length was $>200 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Before release, 10 to 15 chinook were measured for body size. Fork length was measured to the nearest 1 mm with a measuring board, and wet weight was measured to the nearest 0.01 g with an electronic balance. Following the practice of previous years, Fulton's condition factor (Ricker 1975):
(1) $\mathrm{CF}=$ weight $(\mathrm{g}) \times 10^{5} /[\text { fork length }(\mathrm{mm})]^{3}$
was used to assess physical condition.
Mean daily length and weight of $0+$ and $1+$ chinook were calculated separately for day and night catches because fish could potentially avoid sampling gear more successfully during the day than during the night, and because the behaviour of juvenile chinook varies with time of day-resting near instream cover during the day and migrating during dusk and dawn.

It is important to note that electrofished areas were not blocked off with nets, which meant that some fish could avoid capture by leaving a sampling area during a pass or by diving into crevices in the substrate. That meant that electrofishing catch was an underestimate of the total number of fish in a survey area. Two-pass or three-pass sampling of blocked off survey areas would have been necessary to estimate total numbers. However, the Nechako River electrofishing survey was not designed to estimate absolute numbers-it was designed to provide an index of relative abundance which could be compared between years.

That sampling strategy is called "semi-quantitative", to use a term coined by Crozier and Kennedy (1995). It has two advantages over the fully quantitative method. First, it is the only electrofishing technique that can be used when it is impossible or impractical to enclose a survey area in blocking nets because the area is too large to be enclosed or flows through the area are too strong to allow nets to be installed. For example, almost all electrofishing conducted in lakes and reservoirs (DeVries et al. 1995; Van Den Ayle et al. 1995; Miranda et al. 1996), and in large rivers (R.L.\&L. Environmental Services Ltd. 1994), is semiquantitative. The upper Nechako River is too wide, deep and fast-moving to allow any part of the mainstem to be blocked off with nets.

Second, it is often necessary to use semi-quantitative methods when the region to be surveyed is large and contains many possible survey sites, but the time and resources available for sampling are limited (Crozier and Kennedy 1995). The upper Nechako River is too long for cost-effective quantitative sampling of its entire length several times a year.

There are two disadvantages of the semi-quantitative method. First, semi-quantitative electrofishing CPUE cannot be compared to fully quantitative CPUE unless the former are calibrated by the latter. That is,
unless total numbers are estimated for a subset of the same areas that are semi-quantitatively surveyed, and a calibration relationship is developed from a comparison of the two types of CPUE (e.g., Serns 1982; Hall 1986; Coble 1992; McInerny and Degan 1993; Edwards et al. 1987). At present, conversion of electrofishing CPUE to absolute CPUE is not an NFCP objective because the purpose of the electrofishing surveys is to search for among-year variation in relative abundance of juvenile chinook abundance and not to compare it with absolute abundances of other chinook streams.

Second, semi-quantitative sampling assumes that the efficiency of capture, the fraction of total number of fish in a survey area that are caught in a single electrofishing pass, is constant for all sites and species of fish. However, electrofishing catch efficiency is known to vary significantly with fish species, fish body size, type of habitat, time of day, water temperature, and the training and experience of personnel conducting the survey (Bohlin et al. 1989, 1990). The NFCP electrofishing project reduced error in estimation of CPUE by sampling only one type of habitat (prime juvenile chinook habitat), by focusing analysis on only one species (chinook), by analysing CPUE from night and day surveys separately, and by using the same experienced crew leaders each year. However, the study plan does not account for changes in catch efficiency due to seasonal changes in fish size and water temperature.

## Rotary Screw Traps

Rotary screw traps (RST) were used to estimate the number of juvenile chinook that migrated downstream past Diamond Island. RSTs were installed in early April and removed in mid-July to avoid high flows in July and August. The traps were not re-installed in September because too few chinook salmon had been caught in the fall of previous years to justify re-installation of traps in the fall of 1997.
An RST consisted of a floating platform on top of which was a rotating cone. In front of the cone was an A-frame with a winch that was used to set the vertical position of the mouth of the cone, half of which was always submerged. In the back of the cone was a live box where captured fish were kept alive until the trap was emptied. The cone was 1.43 m long and was
made of 3 mm thick aluminum sheet metal with multiple perforations to allow for draining of water. The diameter of the cone tapered from 1.55 m at the mouth to 0.3 m at the downstream end. Inside the cone was an auger or screw, the blades of which were painted black to reduce avoidance by fish. As the current of the river struck the blades of the screw, it forced the cone to rotate. Any fish that entered the cone were trapped in a temporary chamber formed by the screw blades. As the cone rotated, the chamber moved down the cone until its contents were deposited in the live box.

Three RSTs were installed off Diamond Island: RST 1 near the left bank, RST 2 in the middle of the river, and RST 3 near the right bank. RSTs were suspended from a cable strung across the river channel. The 1.5 m space between the right bank of the river and RST 3 was blocked with a wing made of wood beams with wire mesh. The 15 m long space between the left bank of the river and RST 1 was not blocked with a wing.

Each trap was emptied twice each day at about 0700 and 2000 hours. All fish were collected from the live trap and counted and identified to species. A subsample of chinook salmon was kept for length and weight measurement, after which all fish, including the subsampled fish, were released live back into the river. The lengths and weights of a subsample of 10 to 15 chinook salmon were measured using the same techniques described above for the electrofishing surveys.

An index of the number of juvenile chinook passing Diamond Island in a day was calculated by multiplying the total number of fish caught in an RST in a time period (day or night) by the ratio of the total flow of the river to the flow that passes through the RST:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{ij}}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{j}} / \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ij}}=$ number of juvenile salmon passing Diamond Island on the $j$ th date as estimated by the catches of the $i$ th trap, $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{ij}}=$ number of chinook salmon caught in the $i$ th trap on the $j$ th date, $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ij}}=$ water flow $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ through the $i$ th trap on the $j$ th date, and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{j}}=$ total water flow ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ) of the Nechako River past Diamond Island on the $j$ th date. All estimates of the rate at which the numbers of juvenile chinook changed with time were based on expanded numbers rather than on catches.
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{j}}$ was estimated from the height of the river surface at Diamond Island, as measured with a staff gauge, with a predictive regression between flow and the height of the staff gauge $(\mathrm{cm})\left(\mathrm{n}=125, \mathrm{r}^{2}=0.99\right.$, $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \log _{\mathrm{e}}\left({\text { Nechako flow, } \left.\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)=-3.373+}_{1.668 \log _{\mathrm{e}}(\text { staff height, } \mathrm{cm})}+\right. \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

The regression was calculated for steady flow conditions during April and May from the combined years of 1992 to 1997. Flows and staff gauge height were $\log _{e}$-transformed to linearize the exponential relationship between the two variables.

Water flow though a trap $\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)$ was the product of one half the cross-sectional area ( $1.61 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) of the mouth of the trap (the trap mouth was always half-submerged) and average water velocity in front of the trap. Average water velocity was measured with a Swoffler (model 2100) flow meter at three different places in the front of the mouth of the RST. The one exception to this rule was RST 3, where $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ was increased to include the water that flowed between it and the right bank of the river because the fish that would ordinarily have passed through this gap were diverted into RST 3 by the right wing.

Since there were three RSTs, there were three estimates of total number each day. The best estimate of the total index number of chinook salmon was the mean of the three estimates weighted by the flow that passed through each trap.

## RESULS AND DISCUSSION

## Temperature

Mean daily water temperature of the upper Nechako River at Bert Irvine's Lodge rose from a minimum of $0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on January 23 to a maximum of $16.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on Au gust 14 and then decreased to a second minimum of $1.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on December 31 (Figure 2).

Spot temperatures taken during daytime electrofishing surveys of Reaches 1 to 4 during spring and early summer were higher than mean temperatures recorded at Bert Irvine's. The difference,
weighted by the number of sites electrofished, was $1.0^{\circ}$ in mid-April, $1.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in mid-May and $0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in midJune. In contrast, spot temperatures taken during daytime electrofishing surveys in July and November were lower than mean temperatures recorded at Bert Irvine's. The weighted difference was $-0.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in July and $-0.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in November.

Those differences indicate that the Skins Lake Spillway released cool water during winter and spring that warmed as it passed down the upper Nechako River. By late autumn, the situation was reversed with warm water spilling from the Reservoir and cooling as it passed down the river.

Spot temperatures taken during night-time electrofishing surveys of Reaches 1 to 4 were always lower than spot temperatures taken during the day due to variation in solar heating. Average differences between mean day and night spot temperatures were $0.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in mid-April, $1.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in mid-May, $0.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in midJune, and $0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in mid-July and early November.

Daytime spot temperatures taken at Diamond Island from April 5 to June 13 were an average of $1.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ higher than mean temperatures recorded at Bert Irvine's Lodge due to heating of water as it passed down 70 km of river between the lodge and Diamond Island. Night-time sport temperatures at Diamond Island were an average of $1.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ lower than daytime temperatures.

## Flow

From January 1 to April 12, 1997, flow of the Nechako River was roughly constant at an average of $58 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ (Figure 3). A spike of very high flows from January 25 to 29 was due to a malfunction in the flow sensor.

After April 12, flows increased steadily to a maximum of $362 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ on July 5 and 6. Flows increased during the second half of April due to spring run-off from local tributaries. Increases from May 1 to early July were due to local run-off plus ramping up of flows from the Nechako Reservoir through the Skins Lake Spillway. Six consecutive ramping events occurred during that period as part of an extended forced spill that was required to lower the surface of the Nechako Reservoir. The magnitude and duration of the forced spill meant that it was not necessary to release any additional water to cool the river as part of the Sum-

Figure 2
Daily Temperatures of the Nechako River, 1997


Figure 3
Daily Flows of the Nechako River, 1997

mer Temperature Management Project. That project was designed to reduce summer river temperatures so as to assist the migration of sockeye salmon (Oncorhynchus nerka) through the lower Nechako River to their spawning sites in the Stuart River and Fraser/ Francois Lake systems.

From August 21 to September 2, 1997, flows below Cheslatta Falls fell from $326 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ to $83 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ in response to a decrease in flows from Skins Lake Spillway from $325 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ on August 20 to $42 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ on August 21. That decrease was done to assist sockeye and chinook spawners ascend the Nechako River during late August and September. Flows remained at an average of $86.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ over October and most of November, and then decreased to an average of $57.0 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ /s over December.

## Size and Growth of Chinook Salmon

## Electrofishing

## 0+ Chinook Salmon: Sources of Variation

To determine the factors responsible for changes in the size of $0+$ chinook salmon over time, standard twofactor analyses of variance (ANOVA) of length-at-date and weight-at-date were conducted with two factors: time of day (two classes: day and night) and date (five classes: April, May, June, July and October-November). (In this case, and in all subsequent ANOVAs of this study, the date classes were chosen so that there was a roughly equal distribution of data in each class). The ANOVAs showed that:
(1) there were highly significant variation with date in mean length $\left(\mathrm{F}_{4,4170}=8816.6, \mathrm{P}<0.001\right)$ and mean weight $\left(\mathrm{F}_{4,4141}=3427.8, \mathrm{P}<0.001\right)$. Figures 4 and 5 (and Appendix 1) show that the variation was due to growth;
(2) mean length ( $\mathrm{F}_{1,4170}=71.0, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) and mean weight $\left(\mathrm{F}_{1,4141}=50.6, \mathrm{P}<0.001\right)$ were highly significantly different between day and night catches within a month. Figures 4 and 5 show that $0+$ chinook tended to be smaller during the day than at night. The most likely reasons for the apparent day-night size differences are: (a) greater vulnerability of fish of all sizes to capture at night than during the day because fish cannot detect and avoid
electrofishing gear as well at night as during the day; and (b) a wider size range of fish are active along the river margins during the day because juvenile chinook tend to migrate more at night than during the day to avoid predators; and
(3) the interaction of date and time of day was highly significant for both length ( $\mathrm{F}_{4,4170}=$ 21.5, $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) and weight $\left(\mathrm{F}_{4,5079}=31.9\right.$, $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ). Figure 4 and 5 show that the interaction was due to seasonal variation in daynight size differences. That is, mean night sizes were almost identical to mean day sizes for April and May, but they were greater than mean day sizes for June, July and OctoberNovember. The most likely reasons are: (a) seasonal changes in size-selection of electrofishing gear due to an increase in avoidance ability of juvenile chinook as they grow in size and swimming ability; and (b) seasonal changes in the relative abundance and spatial distribution of fish of different sizes along the river margins.

## 0+ Chinook Salmon: Growth

Growth of $0+$ chinook salmon electrofished along the river margins appeared to follow two separate growth stanzas (Ricker 1979). Growth was slow between April and May, but then it increased between May and November (Figures 4 and 5). The first stanza was due to continuous emergence of fry over a period of several weeks-the numbers of emergent fry were great enough to force mean size to stay close to the mean size of emergent fry. However, after emergence ceased, the second stanza began and the true growth rate of juvenile chinook became apparent. Based on the curvature of the mean length-at-date and weight-at-date plots shown in Figures 4 and 5, emergence appeared to have ceased in May.

Growth of $0+$ chinook salmon after emergence ceased was described with a one-cycle Gompertz growth curve (Zweifel and Lasker 1976), the standard growth model for the early life history stages of fish. A "cycle" is a period of constant growth pattern with the same meaning as a "growth stanza". The Gompertz model for length was:
(4)

$$
\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L}_{0} \exp \left[\left(\mathrm{~A}_{0} / \alpha\right)(1-\exp (-\alpha \mathrm{t}))\right]
$$

Figure 4
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Length-at-date of 0+ Chinook Salmon, Nechako River, 1997: Electrofishing


Figure 5
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Weight-at-date of 0+ Chinook Salmon, Nechako River, 1997: Electrofishing

where $L=$ length (mm) at age $t(d), L_{0}=$ length (mm) at emergence, $\mathrm{A}_{0}=$ instantaneous growth rate $\left(\mathrm{d}^{-1}\right)$ at emergence, and $\alpha=$ instantaneous rate $\left(\mathrm{d}^{-1}\right)$ at which $\mathrm{A}_{0}$ decayed with age. The one-cycle Gompertz model for weight was the same as equation (4) except that $\mathrm{W}_{0}$, the weight (g) at emergence, was substituted for $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ 。

The simplest way of estimating age from date was to modify equation (4) by inserting the parameter $\mathrm{DOY}_{0}$, the mean day of the year (DOY) on which emergence ceased and the second growth stanza began. Therefore, $\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{DOY}-\mathrm{DOY}_{0}$ and the modified Gompertz model for length was:
(5) $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L}_{0} \exp \left[\left(\mathrm{~A}_{0} / \alpha\right)\left(1-\exp \left(-\alpha\left(\mathrm{DOY}-\mathrm{DOY}_{0}\right)\right)\right)\right]$.
$\mathrm{L}_{0}$ was fixed at 38 mm and $\mathrm{W}_{0}$ was fixed at 0.38 g , the mean length and weight of emergent chinook fry electrofished in April. Values of $\mathrm{A}_{0}, \alpha$ and $\mathrm{DOY}_{0}$ were estimated from mean daily lengths and weights with the non-linear regression program NLR of the SPSS statistical library. Each daily mean was weighted by its sample size. Day and night data were pooled to produce a single growth curve. Mean length-at-date
and weight-at-date collected in April was excluded because it belonged to the first growth stanza.

The modified Gompertz curves provided good fits to lengths-at-date and weights-at-date, explaining between 96 and $99 \%$ of the variation in mean size (Figures 4 and 5). The average date at which emergence ceased was estimated to be between May 13 (DOY = 133) and May 14 (DOY = 134).

## 1+ Chinook Salmon: Growth

Growth of electrofished 1+ chinook was best described with simple linear regressions of mean length and weight on day of year, with mean size weighted by sample size (Figures 6 and 7). Both regressions were statistically significant. Mean length of 1+ chinook rose from 97 mm on April $5(\mathrm{DOY}=95)$ to 107 mm on June $16(\mathrm{DOY}=167)$ at a rate $( \pm 1 \mathrm{SE})$ of $0.139 \pm 0.015 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{d}$. Mean weight rose from 11.37 g on April 5 to 15.49 g on June 16 at a rate ( $\pm 1 \mathrm{SE}$ ) of $0.057 \pm 0.005 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{d}$.

Figure 6
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Length-at-date of 1+ Chinook Salmon, Nechako River, 1997: Electrofishing


Figure 7
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Weight-at-date of 1+ Chinook Salmon, Nechako River, 1997: Electrofishing


0+ and 1+ Chinook Salmon: Weight-Length Relationship
Following customary practice, a power function was used to model the relationship between weight and length of $0+$ and $1+$ chinook salmon:
(6a) $\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{aL}^{\mathrm{b}}$
where a was a coefficient with units of $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mm}$ and b was the length exponent. Equation (6a) was fit to individual weights and lengths after logarithmic transformation converted it to a linear regression:
(6b) $\quad \log _{e}(W)=\log _{e}(a)+\operatorname{blog}_{e}(\mathrm{~L})$.
Equation (6b) explained $98.7 \%$ of the variance in $\log _{e}(W)$ (Figure 8). However, it overestimated the weight of the largest fish, indicating that the weightlength relationship for juvenile chinook was not linear over the entire juvenile stage. Instead, there appeared to be one linear relationship for small $0+$ fish and a second linear relationship for large $0+$ fish plus all $1+$ fish. The approximate $\log _{e}(\mathrm{~L})$ at which the two groups diverged was 4.40 or a length of 81 mm . That average length was reached in September (see Figure 4).

## O+ and 1+ Chinook Salmon: Condition

Condition of $0+$ chinook increased from a mean of $0.81 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ in April to an asymptotic mean value of $1.14 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ in November (Figure 9). Condition of $1+$ chinook salmon was constant over April and June at a mean condition similar to that of $0+$ chinook captured in the fall of 1997 (Figure 10).

## Diamond Island Traps

## 0+ Chinook Salmon: Sources of Variation

To determine if there were day-night differences in the size of juvenile chinook salmon caught by all three types of traps at Diamond Island, standard two-factor ANOVAs of length-at-date and weight-at-date were conducted. The ANOVAs were similar in structure to those conducted on chinook caught by electrofishing, and they showed similar results:
(1) there was highly significant variation in mean length ( $\mathrm{F}_{3,2031}=1423.8, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) and in mean weight ( $\mathrm{F}_{3,2031}=1111.3, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) due to growth (Appendix 2 and Figures 11 and 12);

Figure 8
Regression of Weight on Length for Juvenile Chinook Salmon, Nechako River, 1997: Electrofishing


Figure 9
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Condition-at-date of 0+ Chinook Salmon, Nechako River, 1997: Electrofishing


Figure 10
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Condition-at-date of 1+ Chinook Salmon, Nechako River, 1997: Electrofishing


Figure 11
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Length-at-date of $0+$ Chinook Salmon Captured in Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1997


Figure 12
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Weight-at-date of 0+ Chinook Salmon Captured in Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1997

(2) mean length $\left(\mathrm{F}_{1,2031}=0.6, \mathrm{P}=0.452\right)$ and mean weight ( $\mathrm{F}_{1,2031}=3.1, \mathrm{P}=0.080$ ) of $0+$ chinook salmon were not significantly different in night catches than in day catches; and
(3) the interaction of date and time of day was significant for length ( $\mathrm{F}_{3,2031}=3.1, \mathrm{P}=0.027$ ), but not for weight ( $\mathrm{F}_{3,2031}=2.0, \mathrm{P}=0.113$ ). The length interaction was due to greater mean length at night than during the day for June and July but not for April and May.

## 0+ Chinook Salmon: Growth

Lengths and weights of $0+$ chinook captured at Diamond Island followed a similar trajectory with date as the electrofished $0+$ chinook (Figures 11 and 12). The first stanza of growth ran from mid-April to late May, at which time the rate of fry emergence had dropped to a level that allowed the true population growth curve to become apparent. To fit Gompertz growth curves to the size-at-age data, the second stanza was defined as starting between April 25 (DOY $=115)$ and May $20(\mathrm{DOY}=140)$, based on a visual assessment of the plots of size-at-date. Gompertz
curves were then fit to size-at-date for each of the 26 possible starting dates and the regression that explained the most variation in size, i.e. had the highest $r^{2}$, was chosen. Starting dates of May $5(\mathrm{DOY}=125)$ and April 29 (DOY = 119) were found to provide the highest $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ for length and weight, respectively (Figures 11 and 12). The average date at which emergence ceased was estimated to be May $7(\mathrm{DOY}=127)$ for both length and weight.

## 1+ Chinook Salmon: Growth

A total of $2131+$ chinook salmon were measured at Diamond Island in 1997 (Appendix 2). Two-way ANOVAs of size with time of day (i.e. day or night) and date showed that there were no significant changes in mean length with time of day ( $\mathrm{F}_{1,207}=0.093$, $\mathrm{P}=0.761$ ) or in mean length with date ( $\mathrm{F}_{2,207}=0.8$, $\mathrm{P}=0.451$ ) or in mean weight with time of day ( $\mathrm{F}_{1,207}=$ $0.003, \mathrm{P}=0.959$ ) or in mean weight with date ( $\mathrm{F}_{2,207}=$ $2.7, \mathrm{P}=0.068$ ), so no growth models were fit to the data (Figures 13 and 14).

Figure 13
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Length-at-date of $1+$ Chinook Salmon Captured in Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1997


Figure 14
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Weight-at-date of $1+$ Chinook Salmon Captured in Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1997


## O+ and 1+ Chinook Salmon: Weight-Length Relationship

A regression of weight on length for trap-caught juvenile chinook salmon at Diamond Island: $\log _{e}(W)=$ $-13.083+3.369 \log _{e}(\mathrm{~L})\left(\mathrm{n}=2,252, \mathrm{r}^{2}=0.98, \mathrm{P}<0.001\right)$, was almost identical to the regression for juvenile chinook salmon captured by electrofishing and so it is not shown as a figure in this report.

## 0+ and 1+ Chinook Salmon: Condition

The plot of mean condition-at-date of $0+$ chinook salmon was similar to that shown for electrofished fish-condition increased over April and May to an asymptote in June and July (Figure 15). The asymptote lay between 1.0 and $1.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$.

Condition of $1+$ chinook increased with date from 0.9 to $1.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ in April to 1.1 to $1.3 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ in June (Figure 16).

## Catches of Chinook Salmon

## Electrofishing/All Species

A total of 1,045 electrofishing sweeps were made along the margins of the upper Nechako River from April 5 to November 6, 1997. The average area covered by a sweep was $135 \mathrm{~m}^{2}(\mathrm{SD}=133)$. A total of 30,625 fish from 14 species or families were captured and then released (Table 1). Chinook salmon was the most common species ( $\mathrm{n}=9,436$ or $30.81 \%$ of the total number), followed by redsided shiner ( $\mathrm{n}=7,857$ or $25.66 \%$ ) and northern squawfish ( $\mathrm{n}=3,898$ or $12.73 \%$ ). Sockeye salmon was the least common species ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ or $0.01 \%$ ).

## Electroshocking/0+ Chinook

A total of 9,050 $0+$ chinook were captured by electrofishing (Table 2), of which $27.3 \%$ were taken during daylight and the rest were taken at night. Catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) of electrofishing catches of $0+$ chinook ranged from 0.00 to 273.33 fish $/ 100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. Variance of mean monthly CPUE increased directly with mean monthly CPUE, indicating that the $\log _{e}($ CPUE +1$)$ transformation was required to stabilise the variance (Sokal and Rohlf 1981).

## Temporal Distribution of CPUE

Maximum density of $0+$ chinook salmon occurred in mid-May for day catches and mid-June for night
catches (Table 2 and Figure 17). After the date of maximum density, $\log _{e}($ CPUE +1 ) decreased linearly with date through to November.

To calculate the average rate of loss of $0+$ chinook density with time, individual measurements of $\log _{e}(\mathrm{CPUE}+1)$ were regressed on day of year for day and night catches separately. Data collected in April were excluded because it fell on the ascending lefthand limb of the catch curves. The predictive regressions were highly significant $(\mathrm{P}<0.001)$. The percent of variance explained by the regressions did not exceed $16 \%$ because of the large variation in $\log _{e}$ (CPUE +1 ) due to non-uniform distribution of chinook along the river.

The night-time rate of loss of $\log _{e}(\mathrm{CPUE}+1)$ of $0.41 \% / \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{SE}=0.073)$ was slightly lower than the daytime rate of loss of $0.53 \% / \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{SE}=0.062)$ (Figure 17). However, the two rates were not statistically significant from one another ( $\mathrm{t}_{776}=1.237,0.4<\mathrm{P}<0.2$ ).

The intercept of the night regression of 2.820 ( $\mathrm{SE}=$ 0.158 ) was 1.5 times greater than the intercept of the day regression of 1.893 ( $\mathrm{SE}=0.134$ ), but the difference was not significantly different $\left(\mathrm{t}_{776}=1.414\right.$, $0.2<\mathrm{P}<0.1$ ). The main reason for the day-night difference in magnitude of $\log _{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{CPUE}+1)$ is that young chinook salmon are more vulnerable to capture at night than during day, either because they were less able to detect and avoid the gear at night than during the day or because their distribution across habitats was different between night and day. That is, fry may have sought refuge during the day in habitat that was difficult to sample, but they came out of refuge at night and were therefore caught in greater numbers.

The differences between the predicted $\log _{e}(\mathrm{CPUE}+1)$ of day and night catches at the beginning and end of the regression period provide a range of estimates of the day-night difference in electrofishing catchability of $0+$ chinook. In mid-May, the night-day difference was 1.082 (= 2.263 - 1.181), which means that night electrofishing caught an average of 3 times (= exp(1.082)) more 0+ chinook than day electrofishing. In early November, night electrofishing caught an average of 3.6 times $(=\exp (1.546-0.258)$ ) more $0+$ chinook than day electrofishing.

Figure 15
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Condition-at-date of $0+$ Chinook Salmon Captured in Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1997


Figure 16
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Condition-at-date of $1+$ Chinook Salmon Captured in Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1997


| Table 1 <br> Number of Fish Captured in the Upper Nechako River, 1997, by Electrofishing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Scientific Name | Adult |  |  |  | Juvenile |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
|  |  | Day | Night | Total | Percent | Day | Night | Total | Percent | Day | Night | Total | Percent |
| Chinook salmon | Oncorhynchus tshawytscha | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 2501 | 6935 | 9436 | 30.81 | 2501 | 6935 | 9436 | 30.81 |
| Redsided shiner | Richardsonius balteatus | 225 | 1012 | 1237 | 4.04 | 1867 | 4753 | 6620 | 21.62 | 2092 | 5765 | 7857 | 25.66 |
| Northern sqawfish | Ptychocheilus oregonensis | 22 | 12 | 34 | 0.11 | 303 | 3561 | 3864 | 12.62 | 325 | 3573 | 3898 | 12.73 |
| Leopard dace | Rhinichthys falcatus | 546 | 322 | 868 | 2.83 | 761 | 816 | 1577 | 5.15 | 1307 | 1138 | 2445 | 7.98 |
| Largescale sucker | Catostomus macrocheilus | 8 | 12 | 20 | 0.07 | 622 | 1658 | 2280 | 7.44 | 630 | 1670 | 2300 | 7.51 |
| Rocky mountain whitefish | Prosopium williamsoni | 31 | 63 | 94 | 0.31 | 25 | 1676 | 1701 | 5.55 | 56 | 1739 | 1795 | 5.86 |
| Sculpins (General) | Cottidae | 195 | 202 | 397 | 1.30 | 413 | 491 | 904 | 2.95 | 608 | 693 | 1301 | 4.25 |
| Longnose dace | Rhinichthys cataractae | 175 | 6 | 181 | 0.59 | 989 | 109 | 1098 | 3.59 | 1164 | 115 | 1279 | 4.18 |
| Rainbow trout | Oncorhynchus mykiss | 9 | 71 | 80 | 0.26 | 16 | 101 | 117 | 0.38 | 25 | 172 | 197 | 0.64 |
| Peamouth chub | Mylocheilus caurinus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 47 | 44 | 91 | 0.30 | 47 | 44 | 91 | 0.30 |
| Burbot | Lota lota | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0.01 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0.02 | 0 | 11 | 11 | 0.04 |
| Lake trout | Salvelinus namaycush | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 0.03 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 0.03 |
| Coho salmon | Oncorhynchus kisutch | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0.01 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0.01 |
| Sockeye salmon | Oncorhynchus nerka | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0.01 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0.01 |
| Total |  | 1211 | 1704 | 2915 | 9.52 | 7555 | 20155 | 27710 | 90.48 | 8766 | 21859 | 30625 | 100.00 |

Table 2
Mean Monthly Electrofishing Catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE)
of Juvenile Chinook Salmon in the Nechako River, 1997

| Date | Number |  | n | 0+ CPUE |  | 1+ CPUE |  | $0+\log _{e}(\mathrm{CPUE}+1)$ |  | $1+\log _{e}(\mathrm{CPUE}+1)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0+ | 1+ |  | mean | SD | mean | SD | mean | SD | mean | SD |
| Day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09-Apr | 342 | 27 | 136 | 2.119 | 3.881 | 0.145 | 0.554 | 0.7484 | 0.8031 | 0.0841 | 0.2675 |
| 13-May | 1773 | 4 | 135 | 10.986 | 30.127 | 0.020 | 0.117 | 1.4023 | 1.2869 | 0.0148 | 0.0873 |
| 12-Jun | 232 | 0 | 100 | 1.950 | 3.036 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.7541 | 0.7552 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 03-Jul | 23 | 0 | 23 | 0.812 | 1.722 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.3405 | 0.6348 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 02-Nov | 100 | 0 | 136 | 0.585 | 0.982 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.3240 | 0.4843 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Night |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09-Apr | 676 | 275 | 132 | 4.326 | 5.898 | 1.645 | 3.512 | 1.1506 | 1.0238 | 0.5652 | 0.7788 |
| 14-May | 2379 | 79 | 134 | 14.518 | 16.111 | 0.508 | 1.220 | 2.1737 | 1.1756 | 0.2597 | 0.4724 |
| 13-Jun | 2006 | 1 | 94 | 17.013 | 21.979 | 0.009 | 0.086 | 2.2664 | 1.2094 | 0.0064 | 0.0625 |
| 03-Jul | 638 | 0 | 22 | 11.558 | 9.491 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 2.1090 | 1.0901 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 02-Nov | 881 | 0 | 133 | 5.522 | 5.649 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.5349 | 0.8618 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |

Figure 17
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SE) Monthly Electrofishing Catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) of 0+ Chinook Salmon, Nechako River, 1997


## Spatial Distribution of CPUE

Figures 18 and 19 and Appendix 3 show the monthly distribution of mean $\log _{e}($ CPUE +1 ) of $0+$ chinook salmon over the upper 100 km of the Nechako River, aggregated into 10 km intervals.

In April, day sampling showed that the greatest CPUE of $0+$ chinook occurred in a single region between 20.0 and 59.9 km from Kenney Dam, while the lowest nonzero CPUE was measured 80.0-89.9 km from the Dam. No juvenile chinook were caught within 9.9 km of Kenney Dam. Night sampling in April showed a similar pattern.

In May, the distribution of CPUE shifted from a unimodal to a bimodal distribution in both day and night sampling. One mode occurred in the 20.029.9 km interval and a second in the $70.0-79.9 \mathrm{~km}$ interval. Both were roughly the same magnitude.

In June, the upstream mode had moved closer to Kenney Dam. The greatest densities were recorded in the $10.0-19.9 \mathrm{~km}$ interval in both day and night catches. The downstream peak remained in the 70.079.9 km interval. Both modes were roughly the same magnitude.

In July, only the upper 35 km of the river were sampled, so only the first mode was sampled. It remained in the $10.0-19.9 \mathrm{~km}$ interval.

By late October and early November, the $0+$ chinook remaining in the river had redistributed themselves roughly evenly along the length of the river, and no modes were visible. This pattern was the same in both day and night samples.

In summary, the electrofishing surveys of 1997 showed that $0+$ chinook salmon were initially concentrated in the middle of the upper river in midApril, but by May they had aggregated in two regions. The upstream aggregation indicated that some juveniles migrated upstream between April and July, presumably in search of rearing habitat. However, the upstream migration was limited in extent because few juveniles were found within 9.9 km of Kenney Dam. Finally, those juveniles remaining in the river by early November had redistributed themselves evenly over the upper river, presumably in search of overwintering habitat.

To quantify these observations, the monthly $x$-centroid, $x_{m}(k m)$, or weighted center of distribution of $0+$ chinook along the longitudinal ( $x$-axis) of the river, was calculated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}=\stackrel{\mathrm{i}}{\Sigma}\left(\mathrm{CPUE}_{\mathrm{i}} \cdot \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) / \stackrel{\mathrm{i}}{\Sigma} \mathrm{CPUE}_{\mathrm{i}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where CPUE $_{\mathrm{i}}=$ CPUE at site i , and $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ longitudinal distance (km) from Kenney Dam to site i. The centroids confirmed the upstream migration of juvenile chinook towards Kenney Dam between April and June followed by downstream movement in fall as resident fish searched for overwintering habitat (Table 3).

## Electrofishing/1+ Chinook

A total of $3861+$ chinook were captured by electrofishing (Table 2), of which $8.0 \%$ were taken during daylight and the rest were taken at night. CPUE of $1+$ chinook ranged from 0.00 to 21.11 fish/ $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, and decreased so rapidly with date that most, if not all, $1+$ fish had left the upper Nechako River by the end of June (Table 2 and Figure 20). Greater numbers of $1+$ fish were caught at night than during the day.

Average rates of loss of $1+$ chinook at night over April, May and June were calculated by regressing mean monthly $\log _{e}$ (CPUE +1 ) against the three dates with non-zero catches. The night rate was $0.88 \% / \mathrm{d}$ ( $\mathrm{SE}=0.112$ ) (Figure 20). The day rate could not be calculated using regression techniques due to a lack of day captures in June. Instead, a total instantaneous loss rate of night catches of $0.18 \% / \mathrm{d}$ over April and May was calculated as:
(8) loss rate $=-\left[100 /\left(t_{i+1}-t_{i}\right)\right]\left[\log _{e}(C P U E+1)_{i+1}-\right.$ $\left.\log _{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{CPUE}+1)_{\mathrm{i}}\right]$,
where $t_{i}=$ mid-date of month $i$, and $t_{i+1}=$ mid-date of the following month.

Electrofishing CPUE for $1+$ chinook showed that these fish were also concentrated in the upper river in April and May (Figure 21). The centroids of $1+$ chinook were all in reach 2 (Table 3).

## Diamond Island Rotary Screw Traps/0+ Chinook

A total of 3,222 juvenile chinook salmon were caught by rotary screw traps (RST) at Diamond Island in 1997 (Table 4). Over $93 \%$ of those juveniles were $0+$ fish.

Figure 18
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Monthly Catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) of 0+ Chinook Salmon, Nechako River, 1997: Electrofishing (day)





Figure 19
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SD) Monthly Catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) of 1+ Chinook Salmon, Nechako River, 1997: Electrofishing (night)


Table 3
Centroids of Juvenile Chinook Salmon Along the Longitudinal Axis of the Nechako River, 1997

|  | Centroid (km) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | $0+$ | $1+$ |
| Day |  |  |
| 09-Apr | 37.4 | 28.8 |
| 13-May | 29.3 | 33.9 |
| 12-Jun | 29.6 | - |
| 03-Jul | $(19.3)^{\text {a }}$ | - |
| 02-Nov | 42.1 | - |
| Night |  |  |
| 09-Apr | 37.4 | 47.3 |
| 14-May | 35.9 | 35.2 |
| 13-Jun | 25.8 | 55.8 |
| 03-Jul | $(17.3)^{\text {a }}$ | - |
| 02-Nov | 37.2 | - |
| a inaccurate due to incomplete |  |  |
| coverage of upper river. |  |  |

## Methods of Analysis

All analyses of RST catches were based on catches expanded by the ratio of river flow to trap flow according to equation (2).

The frequency distributions of catches of juvenile chinook salmon at Diamond Island required $\log _{\mathrm{e}}$-transformation before analysis. However, the $\log _{e}$ (number) transformation, rather than the $\log _{e}$ (number +1 ) transformation, was used for RST catches because the population expansion procedure effectively divided catches into two clusters of data: zero catches and non-zero catches. Non-zero catches were expanded by a factor of about 100 because most RSTs sampled about $1 \%$ of the daily flow of the river past Diamond Island, but zero catches were expanded to population estimates of zero-in effect they were not expanded at all. To avoid the problem of treating two separate clusters of data together, all zero catches of all Diamond Island traps were excluded from the analyses presented below.

## Temporal Variance of Estimated Number

To determine which factors were responsible for changes in volume-adjusted numbers of $0+$ chinook salmon caught in rotary screw traps, a standard three-

Figure 20
Mean ( $\pm 1$ SE) Monthly Electrofishing CPUE of 1+ Chinook Salmon, Nechako River, 1997


Figure 21
Spatial Distribution of 1+ Chinook Salmon in the Upper Nechako River, 1997: electrofishing




Table 4
Numbers of Juvenile Chinook Salmon Caught in Rotary Screw Traps at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1997

| Trap <br> number | $0+$ chinook |  |  |  |  | 1+ chinook |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | day | night | total |  | day | night | total |  | Total |
| 1 | 326 | 446 | 772 |  | 12 | 100 | 112 |  | 884 |
| 2 | 275 | 505 | 780 |  | 5 | 60 | 65 | 845 |  |
| 3 | 639 | 815 | 1454 |  | 2 | 37 | 39 | 1493 |  |
| total | 1240 | 1766 | 3006 |  | 19 | 197 | 216 | 3222 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

ral mortality, and changes in the catchability of the traps due to growth of juvenile chinook. Catches over late June and early July were constant or increased slightly with time. Night catches in particular increased at the end of June and the beginning of July.

To estimate the time rate of loss, a regression of $\log _{e}$ (weighted average number) on day of year (DOY) were fit to the declining right-hand limb of the catch curves for day and night separately. May $10(\mathrm{DOY}=130)$ was chosen as the beginning date of the regression period, based on the mid-date of the dome of the catch curves way ANOVA of $\log _{e}$ (number) on RST (three classes corresponding to the three traps), date (three classes: April, May and June-July), and time of day (two classes: day and night), was conducted. There were highly significant differences in $\log _{\mathrm{e}}$ (number) among dates $\left(\mathrm{F}_{2,381}=25.5, \mathrm{P}<0.001\right)$ and among traps ( $\mathrm{F}_{2,381}=29.3, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ), but not between day and night $\left(\mathrm{F}_{1,381}=0.6, \mathrm{P}=0.431\right)$. There were also significant interactions of date and trap number ( $\mathrm{F}_{2,381}=2.8$, $\mathrm{P}=0.024$ ) and date, trap number and time of day $\left(\mathrm{F}_{4,381}=3.1, \mathrm{P}=0.016\right)$.

The date effect was due to variation in catch rates over the April to July period caused by recruitment of juveniles to the traps over April and early May followed by loss of juveniles over late May, June to July due to a combination of downstream dispersal, natural mortality, and changes in the catchability of the traps as chinook fry grew in size and increased their ability to avoid capture (Figures 22 and 23).

The trap effect was due to consistently greater catch rates in trap number 3 than in traps 1 and 2 (Table 4 and Appendix 4). This indicates that 0+ chinook salmon tended to pass closer to the right bank of the river than to middle of the river or the left bank.

The catch curves for the weighted average volumeexpanded numbers measured during the day showed the typical three-part dome-shaped pattern observed in previous years. There was an initial period of increasing catches in April and early May as juveniles recruited to Diamond Island from upstream emergence sites. Catches reached a peak in early- to midMay, and then decreased over late May and early June due to a combination of downstream dispersal, natu-
shown in Figures 22 and 23 plus the estimated dates of the end of the fry emergence period from growth analyses (May 5 to 14 or DOY 125 to 134). The instantaneous rate of loss for day catches was $3.87 \% / \mathrm{d}$ (SE $=0.75)$, which was seven times greater than the loss rates estimated from day electrofishing catches. The regression for night catches was not significant ( $\mathrm{n}=$ $63, \mathrm{P}=0.36$ ).

A total of 3,006 $0+$ chinook salmon were caught at the rotary screw traps in 1997 (Appendix 4). Summing the volume-expanded number of $0+$ chinook that were estimated to have passed Diamond Island over the study period produced totals ranging from 94,020 for trap 2 to 242,252 for trap 3 (Appendix 4). The total index number of $0+$ chinook that passed Diamond Island, weighted by the average percent of river flow filtered by each trap, was 133,812.

## Diamond Island Rotary Screw Traps/1+ Chinook

There were no obvious temporal trends of $\log _{e}$ (number) with date (Figure 24), apart from a maxima of night numbers in the second half of May. Mean $\log _{\mathrm{e}}$ (number) was greater at night than during the day.

A total of $2161+$ chinook were captured in the rotary screw traps which, when expanded by the percentage of river flow sampled by the traps, was equivalent to an index total of 7,963 1+ chinook that passed Diamond Island in 1996 (Appendix 4).

## Diamond Island Rotary Screw Traps/Other Fishes

A total of 5,035 fish from 13 species or families were captured by the rotary screw traps in 1996 (Table 5).

Figure 22
Number of 0+ Chinook Salmon Passing Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1997, as Estimated by Rotary Screw Traps (day)


Figure 23
Number of 0+ Chinook Salmon Passing Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1997, as Estimated by Rotary Screw Traps (night)



Chinook salmon was the most common species, making up $63.99 \%$ of all fish. The three most common non-salmonid fishes were northern squawfish, largescale sucker and redsided shiner. The least common fish was coho salmon-only 1 juvenile was caught in 1997.

## Comparison with Previous Years

This section of the report compared the results of the 1997 investigations with results from the previous eight years of monitoring the upper Nechako River. The first step was to compare daily temperatures and flows among the years 1987 to 1997 so as to identify years of unusually high or low temperatures and flows. The next step was to determine if the biological features of $0+$ chinook salmon population of the upper Nechako River reflected among-year differences in temperature and flow. That is, did changes in the timing and magnitude of flows and temperatures among years result in clear and unambiguous changes in size-at-date, growth curves, electrofishing CPUE, spatial distribution within the upper river, and the timing and magnitude of juvenile chinook outmigration past Diamond Island?

Because the index number of outmigrants is directly proportional to the number of adults that spawned in the upper Nechako in the previous autumn, the index number of outmigrants were also compared among years after standardisation for the number of spawners. Similar standardisation was also carried out for mean monthly electrofishing CPUE.

## Temperature

Daily winter, spring and summer water temperatures recorded at Bert Irvine's Lodge in 1997 were among the lowest recorded since 1987 (Figure 25). In fact, during June and July, 1997, mean temperatures at Bert Irvine's were lower than the lowest daily mean recorded over the last 10 years. Those low temperatures were undoubtedly due in large part to the cooling effect of unusually high discharges into the Nechako River from the Nechako Reservoir during June and July 1997 (Figures 26 to 28).

After flows fell from their seasonal maximum in late summer of 1997, mean daily temperatures increased substantially and began to approach the 10-year mean. By the end of December 1997, mean daily tempera-

Number of Fish Captured at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1997, by Rotary Screw Traps

| Species | Scientific Name | Adult |  |  |  | Juvenile |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Day | Night | Total | Percent | Day | Night | Total | Percent | Day | Night | Total | Percent |
| Chinook salmon | Oncorhynchus tshawytscha | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 1259 | 1963 | 3222 | 63.99 | 1259 | 1963 | 3222 | 63.99 |
| Northern squawfish | Ptychocheilus oregonensis | 0 | 18 | 18 | 0.36 | 76 | 455 | 531 | 10.55 | 76 | 473 | 549 | 10.90 |
| Largescale sucker | Catostomus macrocheilus | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0.06 | 16 | 402 | 418 | 8.30 | 16 | 405 | 421 | 8.36 |
| Redsided shiner | Richardsonius balteatus | 12 | 66 | 78 | 1.55 | 38 | 186 | 224 | 4.45 | 50 | 252 | 302 | 6.00 |
| Peamouth chub | Mylocheilus caurinus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 134 | 111 | 245 | 4.87 | 134 | 111 | 245 | 4.87 |
| Leopard dace | Rhinichthys falcatus | 5 | 43 | 48 | 0.95 | 27 | 81 | 108 | 2.14 | 32 | 124 | 156 | 3.10 |
| Rainbow trout | Oncorhynchus mykiss | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.02 | 4 | 32 | 36 | 0.71 | 4 | 33 | 37 | 0.73 |
| Longnose dace | Rhinichthys cataractae | 2 | 10 | 12 | 0.24 | 1 | 22 | 23 | 0.46 | 3 | 32 | 35 | 0.70 |
| Sculpins (General) | Cottidae | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.02 | 0 | 18 | 18 | 0.36 | 1 | 18 | 19 | 0.38 |
| Rocky mountain whitefish | Prosopium williamsoni | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0.14 | 2 | 9 | 11 | 0.22 | 2 | 16 | 18 | 0.36 |
| Lake trout | Salvelinus namaycush | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 14 | 16 | 0.32 | 2 | 14 | 16 | 0.32 |
| Sockeye salmon | Oncorhynchus nerka | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 3 | 11 | 14 | 0.28 | 3 | 11 | 14 | 0.28 |
| Coho salmon | Oncorhynchus kisutch | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.02 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.02 |
| Total |  | 20 | 148 | 168 | 3.34 | 1562 | 3305 | 4867 | 96.66 | 1582 | 3453 | 5035 | 100.00 |

Figure 25
Mean, Minimum and Maximum Daily Water Temperatures of the Upper Nechako River at Bert Irvine's, 1987 to 1997


Figure 26
Mean, Minimum and Maximum Daily Flow of the Nechako River at Cheslatta Falls, 1987 to 1997


Figure 27
Cumulative Daily Flows of the Nechako River at Cheslatta Falls, 1987 to 1997

tures had begun to approach the maximum recorded over the 10 -year period.

## Flow

Flows of the upper Nechako River at Cheslatta Falls were unusually high throughout 1997 (Figure 26). In fact, flows from April to August, 1997, were the highest recorded over the last 10 years. The unusually high magnitude of the 1997 flows, and the unusual timing of those flows, can best be appreciated by a plot of cumulative daily flows for each year from 1987 to 1997 (Figure 27).
The typical flow pattern from 1987 to 1996 consisted of relatively low and constant flows from January to June, high cooling flows during July and August, followed by relatively low and constant flows from September to December. Brief periods of high discharge occurred in March-April, 1990, and in October-November, 1996. However, in 1997 flows exceeded the 10 -year maximum in almost every month except April, October and November.

In summary, 1997 was an unusual year for the temperature and flow regime of the Nechako River. Record amounts of water were released early in the year into the Nechako River. Those high flows caused record low water temperatures in the upper river during the first half of the year. The effect of those high flows and low temperatures on the growth, distribution and outmigration of juvenile chinook are examined below.

## Growth of 0+ Chinook Salmon

Plots of mean length-at-date and weight-at-date of $0+$ chinook salmon calculated from the electrofishing surveys (Figure 28), and from rotary screw catches at Diamond Island (Figure 29), showed that mean size-at-date of juveniles from April to July, 1997, was amongst the lowest, if not the lowest, of any of the previous 8 years. The only other year with similarly low mean size-at-date was 1996, which was also a year of relatively high flows and low temperatures in the upper Nechako River.

In contrast, mean condition-at-date of $0+$ chinook salmon in 1997 fell within the range of other 8 years.

Figure 28
Mean Size-at-date of 0+ Chinook Salmon, Upper Nechako River, 1989 to 1997 (electrofishing)




Figure 29
Mean Size-at-date of 0+ Chinook Salmon, Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1990 to 1997



Low length- and weight-at-date may have been due to delayed emergence of fry in the spring of 1997 or to low growth rates. To determine which possibility was correct, mean length-at-age and weight-at-age predicted by the growth curves for electrofished fish were compared (Table 6 and Figures 30 and 31). Those plots show that initial growth rates of $0+$ chinook were lower in 1997 than in any of the previous 6 years, although final length and weight by the end of the outmigration monitoring season on July 13 ended up within the range of previous years. Comparison of the values of the $\mathrm{DOY}_{0}$ parameter shows that chinook fry emerged later in 1997 than in most other years.

In summary, low water temperatures in the winter and spring of 1997 both delayed chinook fry emergence and reduced initial growth rates compared to previous years. However, because the rate at which the initial growth rate decreased with time (the $\alpha$ parameter of Table 6) was also lower in 1997 than in previous years, the final average size of juveniles in July, 1997, fell within the range observed in July for previous years.

## Spatial and Temporal Distribution of 0+ Chinook

Unlike growth data, the catch curves of monthly electrofishing CPUE in 1997 (Figure 32), and the seasonal pattern of change in the centroids of $0+$ chinook in 1997 (Figure 33), did not show any features that were clearly different from those of the previous 8 years.

The daily indices of $0+$ chinook outmigration measured at Diamond Island in 1997 also fell within the range observed in the previous 6 years (Figure 34).

Together, these findings show that the high flows and low temperatures of the upper Nechako River in 1997 were not reflected in the spatial and temporal distribution of $0+$ chinook fry in 1997.

## Correlation of Outmigrant Number and Spawner Number

One possible reason for the lack of an obvious relationship between flows and the distribution and abundance of juvenile chinook in the upper Nechako River is that a flow "signal" may have been obscured by among-year variation in the number of emergent fry which, in turn, was due to among-year variation in the number of spawners.

The total number of outmigrating $0+$ chinook that passed Diamond Island between April and July of each year from 1992 to 1997 was significantly correlated with the number of parents that spawned upstream of Diamond Island from 1991 to 1996 (Table 7 and Figure 35). A linear regression explained $70 \%$ of the variation in the total annual number of $0+$ outmigrants. This is the first year in which this relationship has achieved statistical significance.

The intercept of the regression is not statistically significant ( $\mathrm{P}>0.05$ ) from zero, a result that was expected because zero spawners should produce zero juvenile outmigrants. If the intercept is assumed to be zero, i.e. if the regression is forced through the origin, then the slope of the regression increases to 97.61, the SE of the slope falls from 24.97 to 8.09 , and the probability ( P ) that the slope is not significantly different from zero decreases from 0.023 to 0.017 .

## Spawner-Standardised Outmigrants and Electrofishing CPUE

The significant outmigrant-spawner relationship means that it is now possible to remove the variation in $\log _{e}$ (outmigrant number) that is caused by amongyear variation in spawner number. Each daily outmigrant estimate was divided by the total number of adults that spawned upstream of Diamond Island in the previous fall. Comparison of Figures 34 and 36 shows that standardisation for spawner number did indeed reduce among-year variation in daily outmigration index, although considerable variation remains. (Note that data for the year 1991 was not included in Figure 35 because it was not comparable with data from the years 1992 to 1997. See Table 7 for an explanation.)

A similar standardisation procedure was carried out for the monthly electrofishing CPUE data by dividing each monthly geometric mean CPUE +1 by the number of spawners counted in reaches 1 to 4 of the upper river in the previous autumn. This procedure assumes a significant correlation between total annual electrofishing CPUE and spawner number in the previous autumn. The existence of such a relationship is a reasonable assumption, but it is not confirmed. Comparison of Figures 32 and 37 shows that spawner standardisation resulted in a decrease in among-year variation of monthly CPUE, particularly for the

Table 6
Comparison of Growth of 0+ Chinook Salmon, Nechako River, 1991 to 1997

| Year | Length (mm) |  |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ | DOY ${ }_{0}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{0}$ | $\alpha$ | $\mathrm{W}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{DOY}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{0}$ | $\alpha$ |  |
| Electroshocking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 38.2 | 121.2 | 0.007677 | 0.005271 | 0.40 | 139.8 | 0.067570 | 0.020670 | day, 1st and 2nd stanza pooled |
| 1991 | 38.2 | 121.6 | 0.010650 | 0.009778 | 0.40 | 135.9 | 0.072750 | 0.022430 | night, 1st and 2nd stanza pooled |
| 1992 | 39.0 | 114.2 | 0.006313 | 0.003245 | 0.45 | 127.7 | 0.060320 | 0.019060 | day, 1st and 2nd stanza pooled |
| 1992 | 39.0 | 112.8 | 0.009206 | 0.008405 | 0.45 | 126.4 | 0.066320 | 0.021250 | night, 1st and 2nd stanza pooled |
| 1993 | 39.0 | 116.0 | 0.010600 | 0.009590 | 0.45 | 124.0 | 0.062600 | 0.018700 | day and night pooled, 1st and 2nd stanza pooled |
| 1994 | 38.5 | 111.1 | 0.011100 | 0.010300 | 0.41 | 128.2 | 0.081300 | 0.025200 | day and night pooled, 1st and 2nd stanza pooled |
| 1995 | 38.0 | 129.1 | 0.013710 | 0.013870 | 0.40 | 127.9 | 0.067060 | 0.020830 | day and night pooled, 2nd stanza only |
| 1996 | 38.0 | 139.6 | 0.011240 | 0.009557 | 0.38 | 140.5 | 0.061470 | 0.017020 | day and night pooled, 2nd stanza only |
| 1997 | 38.0 | 132.7 | 0.008400 | 0.006335 | 0.38 | 134.5 | 0.053110 | 0.015500 | day and night pooled, 2nd stanza only |
| Diamond Island traps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 38.2 | 123.3 | 0.009134 | 0.006193 | 0.40 | 124.1 | 0.045530 | 0.012100 | day, 1st and 2nd stanza pooled |
| 1991 | 38.2 | 121.3 | 0.008835 | 0.005634 | 0.40 | 124.7 | 0.047100 | 0.012400 | night, 1st and 2nd stanza pooled |
| 1992 | 39.0 | 102.1 | 0.005937 | 0.002211 | 0.45 | 114.4 | 0.039290 | 0.012210 | day, 1st and 2nd stanza pooled |
| 1992 | 39.0 | 102.3 | 0.007691 | 0.004576 | 0.45 | 114.6 | 0.043170 | 0.011780 | night, 1st and 2nd stanza pooled |
| 1993 | 39.0 | 120.7 | 0.009540 | 0.005340 | 0.45 | 127.1 | 0.061000 | 0.017200 | day and night pooled, 1st and 2nd stanza pooled |
| 1994 | 38.5 | 114.0 | 0.007220 | 0.009280 | 0.41 | 119.2 | 0.056900 | 0.012600 | day and night pooled, 1st and 2nd stanza pooled |
| 1995 | 38.0 | 134.8 | 0.021760 | 0.028320 | 0.40 | 134.2 | 0.110300 | 0.066370 | day and night pooled, 2nd stanza only |
| 1996 | 38.0 | 144.9 | 0.017430 | 0.021070 | 0.38 | 142.5 | 0.085980 | 0.033410 | day and night pooled, 2nd stanza only |
| 1997 | 36.0 | 127.2 | 0.008219 | -0.005405 | 0.38 | 126.5 | 0.036680 | 0.002020 | day and night pooled, 2nd stanza only |

Figure 30
Predicted Growth in Length of 0+ Chinook Sampled by Electrofishing


Figure 31
Predicted Growth in Weight of 0+ Chinook Sampled by Electrofishing ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~F} \quad$ in the Upper Nechako River, 1991 to 1997
(n)

Figure 32
Mean Monthly CPUE of 0+ Chinook, Upper Nechako River, 1989 to 1997



Figure 33
Monthly Centroids of 0+ Chinook, Upper Nechako River, 1991 to 1997


Figure 34
Daily Index of 0+ Chinook Outmigration, Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1991 to 1997


Table 7
Comparison of the Index Numbers of Juvenile Chinook Salmon Migrating Out of the Upper Nechako River With Numbers of the Parent Generation

| Year | Total number of spawners | Number of spawners upstream of Diamond Island | Index number of outmigrating $0+$ chinook the following year | Sampling period | Total index number of outmigrating $0+$ chinook the following year | Total sampling period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 2642 | 1686 | 104182 | Apr. 5 - July 31 | 105702 | Apr. 5 - Nov. 15 |
| 1991 | 2360 | 1306 | 116538 | Mar. 14 - July 17 | 119860 | Mar. 14 - Nov. 17 |
| 1992 | 2498 | 1074 | 143000 | Apr. 2 - July 19 | 146170 | Apr. 2 - Nov. 16 |
| 1993 | 664 | 347 | 47589 | Apr. 2 - July 17 | 47589 | Apr. 2 - July 17 |
| 1994 | 1144 | 659 | 45025 | Apr. 13 - July 13 | 45025 | Apr. 13 - July 11 |
| 1995 | 1689 | 1143 | 105576 | Apr. 12 - July 14 | 105576 | Apr. 12- July 14 |
| 1996 | 2040 | 1455 | 133812 | Apr. 5 - July 13 | 133812 | Apr. 5 - July 13 |

[^0]Figure 35
Regression of the Number of 0+ Chinook Salmon Outmigrants on the Number of Parent Spawners Above Diamond Island, Nechako River


Figure 36
Daily Index of 0+ Chinook Outmigration at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1992 to 1997, Standardised for the Number of Spawners Above Diamond Island in the Previous Autumn

months of May and June, as well as changes in the relative ranking of years for each month.

However, the consequence of standardising outmigrant numbers for the numbers of parent spawners was that the relative position and seasonal trend of the 1997 outmigrant estimates was rendered even more similar to those of the previous 5 years. In other words, the relatively high flows and low temperatures of the upper Nechako River in 1997 were not reflected in higher (or lower) spawner-standardised outmigrant estimates. A similar result was found for the seasonal pattern and magnitude of spawnerstandardised electrofishing CPUE for 1997-it did not appear substantially different from those of the previous 8 years.

To examine this issue in greater detail, an average rate of loss of spawner-standardised outmigrants for the years 1992 to 1997 was calculated from the descending right-hand limb of the catch curve in Figure 36. The beginning date of the right-hand limb was estimated by calculating a 5-day running average of the loge-transformed spawner-standardised outmigrant numbers. The highest value of those running averages occurred on May 8 (DOY $=128$ ). A linear regression of the loge-transformed spawner-standardised outmigrant numbers on DOY for all days after May 7 explained $70 \%$ of the variation in the dependent variable with a slope (or loss rate) of $2.65 \% /$ day ( $\mathrm{SE}=0.084$ ) (Figure 36).

One-way ANOVA of the residuals of the regression, i.e. the difference between observed and predicted, was highly significantly $\left(\mathrm{F}_{5,488}=9.470, \mathrm{P}<0.001\right)$ different among the 6 years. Tukey's Honestly Significant Different (HSD) range test showed that the reasons for the significant differences were that: (a) the residual $\log _{\mathrm{e}}$-transformed spawner-standardised outmigrant numbers for 1996 were significantly greater than those for 1992, 1994, 1995 and 1997, but not for 1993; and (b) the residual $\log _{\mathrm{e}}$-transformed spawner-standardised outmigrant numbers for 1993 were significantly greater than those for 1992.

Similarly, average rates of loss of spawner-standardised electrofishing CPUE +1 for the years 1989 to 1997 were calculated from the descending right-hand limb of the day and night catch curves in Figure 37. The
month of May was assumed to be the beginning date of the right-hand limbs of both catch curves. Linear regressions of $\log _{\mathrm{e}}$-transformed spawner-standardised electrofishing CPUE +1 on DOY for all months after April (assuming the DOY for the 15th of each month) explained between 40 and $58 \%$ of the variation in the dependent variable with slopes of 0.63 \%/day $(\mathrm{SE}=0.13)$ for day catches and $0.99 \% /$ day $(\mathrm{SE}=0.14)$ for night catches (Figure 37).

A one-way ANOVA of the residuals of the day regression was significantly $\left(\mathrm{F}_{8,42}=3.801, \mathrm{P}=0.003\right)$ different among the 9 years. Tukey's HSD range test showed that the reason for the significant difference was that 1994 had greater CPUE than 1990 and 1991.

A one-way ANOVA of the residuals of the night regression was highly significantly ( $\mathrm{F}_{8,36}=7.588$, $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) different among the 9 years. Tukey's HSD range test showed that the reason for the significant difference was that 1990 had lower CPUE than all other 8 years.

In summary, regression analysis of the right-hand limbs of the catch curves for spawner-standardised outmigrant numbers and electrofishing CPUE showed no clear relationship between flows and outmigrant numbers or flows and electrofishing CPUE. Although 1997 was a year of unusually high flows and low temperatures, the number of $0+$ chinook outmigrants counted past Diamond Island, as well as the CPUE of the monthly electrofishing surveys, was not unusually high or low compared to previous years, even after numbers and CPUE had been standardised for spawner numbers.

This conclusion suggests that dispersal and outmigration of $0+$ chinook in the upper Nechako River is essentially independent of flows over the range observed over the past 9 years. This makes sense from an evolutionary perspective because chinook salmon of the Nechako River lived for millennia under a regime of much greater flows than have experienced in the 40+ years since Kenney Dam was built. Presumably, juvenile chinook are able to adapt to variable flows by moving into low-velocity shallow water during periods of high flows and then moving out into high-velocity deeper water during periods of low flows.

Figure 37
Geometric Mean Monthly (CPUE +1 ) of $0+$ Chinook, Standardised for the Number of Spawners in Reaches 1-4 in the Previous Autumn, 1989 to 1997



$$
+1989-1990 \diamond 1991 \square 1992 \triangle 1993 \circ 1994 \times 1995 * 1996 \bullet 1997
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## APPENDIX 1

Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured by Eectrofishing in the Nechako River, 1997

APPENDIX 1
Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured by Electrofishing in the Nechako River, 1997

| Date | DOY | Length (mm) |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  | Condition (g/mm ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n |

Chinook salmon, $0+$ (day)

| 05-Apr | 95 | 37 | 2 | 29 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06-Apr | 96 | 34 | 1 | 4 | 0.33 | 0.04 | 4 | 0.81 | 0.11 | 4 |
| 08-Apr | 98 | 36 | 2 | 14 | 0.35 | 0.07 | 14 | 0.74 | 0.04 | 14 |
| 09-Apr | 99 | 36 | 2 | 89 | 0.39 | 0.08 | 89 | 0.80 | 0.10 | 89 |
| 10-Apr | 100 | 36 | 2 | 65 | 0.39 | 0.08 | 65 | 0.80 | 0.10 | 65 |
| 11-Apr | 101 | 36 | 2 | 42 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 42 | 0.76 | 0.07 | 42 |
| 14-Apr | 104 | 37 | 2 | 49 | 0.37 | 0.07 | 49 | 0.74 | 0.09 | 49 |
| 10-May | 130 | 39 | 1 | 10 | 0.48 | 0.08 | 10 | 0.84 | 0.12 | 10 |
| 11-May | 131 | 38 | 2 | 59 | 0.44 | 0.10 | 59 | 0.78 | 0.09 | 59 |
| 12-May | 132 | 38 | 2 | 198 | 0.44 | 0.11 | 198 | 0.79 | 0.10 | 198 |
| 13-May | 133 | 38 | 2 | 113 | 0.47 | 0.10 | 113 | 0.81 | 0.10 | 113 |
| 14-May | 134 | 39 | 3 | 54 | 0.50 | 0.16 | 54 | 0.83 | 0.11 | 54 |
| 15-May | 135 | 40 | 3 | 38 | 0.58 | 0.15 | 38 | 0.88 | 0.12 | 38 |
| 16-May | 136 | 39 | 2 | 84 | 0.54 | 0.15 | 84 | 0.87 | 0.12 | 84 |
| 17-May | 137 | 40 | 3 | 31 | 0.54 | 0.18 | 31 | 0.83 | 0.12 | 31 |
| 10-Jun | 161 | 44 | 4 | 75 | 0.93 | 0.30 | 75 | 1.04 | 0.22 | 75 |
| 11-Jun | 162 | 44 | 5 | 58 | 0.89 | 0.36 | 58 | 0.99 | 0.13 | 58 |
| 12-Jun | 163 | 44 | 4 | 22 | 0.87 | 0.27 | 22 | 1.00 | 0.10 | 22 |
| 13-Jun | 164 | 46 | 3 | 11 | 1.05 | 0.26 | 11 | 1.09 | 0.22 | 11 |
| 14-Jun | 165 | 42 | 4 | 4 | 0.74 | 0.18 | 4 | 0.96 | 0.04 | 4 |
| 15-Jun | 166 | 53 | 0 | 1 | 1.93 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.30 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 16-Jun | 167 | 47 | 6 | 44 | 1.14 | 0.49 | 44 | 1.05 | 0.10 | 44 |
| 03-Jul | 184 | 53 | 5 | 14 | 1.94 | 0.56 | 14 | 1.25 | 0.11 | 14 |
| 04-Jul | 185 | 49 | 0 | 1 | 1.23 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.05 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 31-Oct | 304 | 88 | 8 | 16 | 7.49 | 2.12 | 16 | 1.10 | 0.20 | 16 |
| 01-Nov | 305 | 88 | 7 | 15 | 7.87 | 1.73 | 15 | 1.13 | 0.14 | 15 |
| 02-Nov | 306 | 89 | 5 | 12 | 7.46 | 0.66 | 12 | 1.07 | 0.09 | 12 |
| 03-Nov | 307 | 93 | 6 | 10 | 9.57 | 1.74 | 10 | 1.20 | 0.18 | 10 |
| 04-Nov | 308 | 89 | 6 | 19 | 7.58 | 1.59 | 19 | 1.08 | 0.09 | 19 |
| 05-Nov | 309 | 88 | 4 | 19 | 7.64 | 1.04 | 19 | 1.10 | 0.09 | 19 |
| 06-Nov | 310 | 88 | 2 | 4 | 7.49 | 0.77 | 4 | 1.09 | 0.05 | 4 |

Chinook salmon, $0+$ (night)

| 05-Apr | 95 | 36 | 2 | 31 | 0.38 | 0.06 | 31 | 0.83 | 0.08 | 31 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06-Apr | 96 | 37 | 2 | 11 | 0.39 | 0.06 | 11 | 0.79 | 0.06 | 11 |
| 09-Apr | 99 | 37 | 2 | 157 | 0.41 | 0.08 | 157 | 0.82 | 0.11 | 157 |
| 10-Apr | 100 | 37 | 2 | 34 | 0.44 | 0.10 | 34 | 0.85 | 0.10 | 34 |
| 11-Apr | 101 | 37 | 2 | 72 | 0.42 | 0.08 | 72 | 0.83 | 0.08 | 72 |
| 12-Apr | 102 | 37 | 2 | 72 | 0.42 | 0.08 | 72 | 0.86 | 0.09 | 72 |
| 13-Apr | 103 | 38 | 1 | 6 | 0.45 | 0.05 | 6 | 0.84 | 0.05 | 6 |
| 14-Apr | 104 | 37 | 1 | 58 | 0.40 | 0.07 | 58 | 0.80 | 0.07 | 58 |
| 15-Apr | 105 | 36 | 2 | 66 | 0.40 | 0.07 | 66 | 0.85 | 0.10 | 66 |
| 10-May | 130 | 38 | 3 | 10 | 0.46 | 0.10 | 10 | 0.82 | 0.06 | 10 |
| 11-May | 131 | 38 | 2 | 63 | 0.47 | 0.10 | 63 | 0.84 | 0.12 | 63 |

APPENDIX 1 (continued)
Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured by Electrofishing in the Nechako River, 1997

| Date | DOY | Length (mm) |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  | Condition (g/mm ${ }^{3}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n |
| 12-May | 132 | 37 | 2 | 147 | 0.42 | 0.09 | 147 | 0.81 | 0.09 | 147 |
| 13-May | 133 | 39 | 3 | 224 | 0.51 | 0.16 | 224 | 0.86 | 0.11 | 224 |
| 14-May | 134 | 39 | 3 | 141 | 0.55 | 0.15 | 141 | 0.90 | 0.10 | 141 |
| 15-May | 135 | 39 | 2 | 70 | 0.54 | 0.14 | 70 | 0.88 | 0.11 | 70 |
| 16-May | 136 | 39 | 3 | 141 | 0.59 | 0.17 | 141 | 0.96 | 0.15 | 141 |
| 17-May | 137 | 40 | 3 | 121 | 0.60 | 0.19 | 121 | 0.94 | 0.12 | 121 |
| 18-May | 138 | 40 | 3 | 20 | 0.61 | 0.19 | 20 | 0.95 | 0.13 | 20 |
| 10-Jun | 161 | 44 | 4 | 26 | 0.88 | 0.24 | 26 | 1.02 | 0.09 | 26 |
| 11-Jun | 162 | 44 | 4 | 134 | 0.93 | 0.29 | 134 | 1.02 | 0.16 | 134 |
| 12-Jun | 163 | 46 | 5 | 154 | 1.06 | 0.39 | 154 | 1.03 | 0.11 | 154 |
| 13-Jun | 164 | 48 | 5 | 145 | 1.21 | 0.44 | 145 | 1.07 | 0.11 | 145 |
| 14-Jun | 165 | 50 | 7 | 75 | 1.45 | 0.70 | 75 | 1.06 | 0.17 | 75 |
| 15-Jun | 166 | 53 | 5 | 14 | 1.71 | 0.59 | 14 | 1.14 | 0.13 | 14 |
| 16-Jun | 167 | 52 | 5 | 49 | 1.67 | 0.56 | 49 | 1.17 | 0.10 | 49 |
| 17-Jun | 168 | 52 | 6 | 80 | 1.58 | 0.59 | 80 | 1.10 | 0.12 | 80 |
| 03-Jul | 184 | 58 | 5 | 44 | 2.27 | 0.60 | 44 | 1.16 | 0.11 | 44 |
| 04-Jul | 185 | 59 | 6 | 94 | 2.70 | 0.84 | 94 | 1.29 | 0.15 | 94 |
| 05-Jul | 186 | 60 | 7 | 18 | 3.01 | 1.22 | 18 | 1.31 | 0.11 | 18 |
| 31-Oct | 304 | 98 | 10 | 113 | 12.15 | 3.82 | 113 | 1.27 | 0.15 | 113 |
| 01-Nov | 305 | 92 | 8 | 108 | 9.30 | 2.25 | 108 | 1.17 | 0.12 | 108 |
| 02-Nov | 306 | 93 | 7 | 160 | 9.26 | 2.02 | 160 | 1.16 | 0.13 | 160 |
| 03-Nov | 307 | 94 | 8 | 74 | 9.49 | 2.18 | 74 | 1.14 | 0.12 | 74 |
| 04-Nov | 308 | 89 | 7 | 94 | 8.11 | 1.84 | 94 | 1.12 | 0.14 | 94 |
| 05-Nov | 309 | 90 | 8 | 91 | 8.27 | 2.06 | 91 | 1.12 | 0.11 | 91 |
| 06-Nov | 310 | 91 | 7 | 59 | 8.63 | 1.84 | 59 | 1.16 | 0.13 | 59 |

Chinook salmon, $1+$ (day)

| 05-Apr | 95 | 76 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06-Apr | 96 | 96 | 7 | 2 | 8.29 | 1.58 | 2 | 0.93 | 0.03 | 2 |
| 08-Apr | 98 | 99 | 9 | 15 | 12.25 | 2.70 | 15 | 1.25 | 0.14 | 15 |
| 09-Apr | 99 | 95 | 2 | 3 | 10.70 | 1.20 | 3 | 1.25 | 0.11 | 3 |
| 10-Apr | 100 | 105 | 15 | 5 | 14.22 | 5.31 | 5 | 1.22 | 0.20 | 5 |
| 14-Apr | 104 | 95 | 0 | 1 | 9.43 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.10 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 11-May | 131 | 99 | 0 | 1 | 11.44 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.18 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 13-May | 133 | 99 | 0 | 1 | 11.09 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.14 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 14-May | 134 | 102 | 0 | 1 | 15.11 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.42 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 16-May | 136 | 113 | 0 | 1 | 17.56 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.22 | 0.00 | 1 |

Chinook salmon, $1+$ (night)

| 05-Apr | 95 | 92 | 6 | 25 | 9.93 | 1.68 | 25 | 1.28 | 0.05 | 25 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06-Apr | 96 | 100 | 10 | 38 | 11.14 | 2.42 | 38 | 1.12 | 0.16 | 38 |
| 07-Apr | 97 | 99 | 8 | 6 | 12.29 | 3.29 | 6 | 1.23 | 0.08 | 6 |
| 08-Apr | 98 | 96 | 6 | 11 | 11.86 | 2.63 | 11 | 1.33 | 0.18 | 11 |
| 09-Apr | 99 | 98 | 8 | 86 | 12.03 | 2.97 | 86 | 1.25 | 0.12 | 86 |
| 10-Apr | 100 | 98 | 9 | 8 | 11.76 | 2.07 | 8 | 1.25 | 0.19 | 8 |

APPENDIX 1 (continued)
Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured by Electrofishing in the Nechako River, 1997

| Date | DOY | Length (mm) |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  | Condition (g/mm ${ }^{3}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n |
| 11-Apr | 101 | 98 | 6 | 22 | 12.33 | 2.25 | 22 | 1.30 | 0.09 | 22 |
| 12-Apr | 102 | 100 | 4 | 2 | 11.50 | 0.93 | 2 | 1.15 | 0.05 | 2 |
| 14-Apr | 104 | 91 | 6 | 5 | 9.50 | 2.12 | 5 | 1.27 | 0.20 | 5 |
| 15-Apr | 105 | 97 | 5 | 14 | 11.73 | 1.66 | 14 | 1.28 | 0.18 | 14 |
| 11-May | 131 | 93 | 8 | 3 | 10.70 | 3.74 | 3 | 1.29 | 0.10 | 3 |
| 12-May | 132 | 101 | 8 | 9 | 13.15 | 3.27 | 9 | 1.24 | 0.09 | 9 |
| 13-May | 133 | 105 | 7 | 41 | 14.18 | 3.09 | 41 | 1.22 | 0.19 | 41 |
| 14-May | 134 | 105 | 6 | 8 | 15.31 | 2.34 | 8 | 1.31 | 0.12 | 8 |
| 15-May | 135 | 79 | 1 | 2 | 6.71 | 0.32 | 2 | 1.36 | 0.01 | 2 |
| 16-May | 136 | 90 | 13 | 2 | 10.23 | 3.55 | 2 | 1.41 | 0.14 | 2 |
| 17-May | 137 | 97 | 14 | 7 | 12.54 | 4.98 | 7 | 1.31 | 0.10 | 7 |
| 18-May | 138 | 91 | 10 | 2 | 10.73 | 3.25 | 2 | 1.40 | 0.03 | 2 |
| 16-Jun | 167 | 126 | 0 | 1 | 24.52 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.23 | 0.00 | 1 |

Burbot, adult (night)

| 15-Apr | 105 | 220 | 0 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 12-Jun | 163 | 300 | 0 | 1 |
| 01-Nov | 305 | 260 | 0 | 1 |
| 04-Nov | 308 | 280 | 0 | 1 |

Burbot, juvenile (night)

| 06-Apr | 96 | 102 | 0 | 1 | 9.21 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.87 | 0.00 | 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 09-Apr | 99 | 139 | 16 | 2 | 18.93 | 6.69 | 2 | 0.69 | 0.02 | 2 |
| 15-Apr | 105 | 155 | 0 | 1 | 31.26 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.84 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 13-May | 133 | 160 | 0 | 1 | 30.19 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.74 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 05-Nov | 309 | 170 | 0 | 1 | 30.62 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.62 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 06-Nov | 310 | 222 | 0 | 1 | 70.84 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 1 |

Lake trout, 1+ (day)

| 08-Apr | 98 | 74 | 0 | 1 | 2.85 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.70 | 0.00 | 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 09-Apr | 99 | 70 | 0 | 1 | 3.26 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 10-Apr | 100 | 62 | 0 | 1 | 1.97 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.83 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 13-May | 133 | 84 | 0 | 1 | 4.37 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.74 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 11-Jun | 162 | 80 | 0 | 1 | 3.05 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.60 | 0.00 | 1 |

Lake trout, 1+ (night)

| 09-Apr | 99 | 58 | 0 | 1 | 1.57 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.80 | 0.00 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Rainbow trout, adult (day)

| 13-May | 133 | 225 | 35 | 2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-May | 135 | 200 | 0 | 2 |
| 01-Nov | 305 | 200 | 0 | 1 |

APPENDIX 1 (continued)
Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured by Electrofishing in the Nechako River, 1997

| Date | DOY | Length (mm) |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  | Condition (g/mm ${ }^{3}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n |

Rainbow trout, adult (night)

| 05-Apr | 95 | 250 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06-Apr | 96 | 250 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10-May | 130 | 300 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11-May | 131 | 200 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12-May | 132 | 250 | 71 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10-Jun | 161 | 200 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-Jun | 167 | 300 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17-Jun | 168 | 300 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31-Oct | 304 | 241 | 44 | 11 |  |  |  | 1.19 | 0.00 |
| 01-Nov | 305 | 256 | 36 | 13 | 98.08 | 0.00 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 02-Nov | 306 | 235 | 33 | 6 | 99.00 | 0.00 | 2 | 1.01 | 0.09 |
| 03-Nov | 307 | 262 | 33 | 13 | 93.39 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.95 | \#DIV/0! |
| 03-Nov | 308 | 227 | 21 | 4 | 93.83 | 3.67 | 2 | 1.03 | 0.13 |
| 04-Nov | 309 | 300 | 50 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |

Rainbow trout, juvenile (day)

| 05-Apr | 95 | 92 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08-Apr | 98 | 100 | 21 | 6 | 11.30 | 6.85 | 6 | 1.07 | 0.11 | 6 |
| 09-Apr | 99 | 87 | 0 | 1 | 8.50 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.29 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 14-Apr | 104 | 106 | 62 | 2 | 6.02 | 0.00 | 1 | 2.53 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 15-May | 135 | 103 | 0 | 1 | 12.85 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.18 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 10-Jun | 161 | 94 | 0 | 1 | 7.20 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.87 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 31-Oct | 304 | 76 | 0 | 1 | 5.55 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.26 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 05-Nov | 309 | 86 | 7 | 3 | 6.52 | 1.30 | 3 | 1.02 | 0.12 | 3 |

Rainbow trout, juvenile (night)

| 08-Apr | 98 | 110 | 39 | 11 | 16.88 | 19.42 | 11 | 1.06 | 0.26 | 11 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 09-Apr | 99 | 93 | 18 | 14 | 9.84 | 5.07 | 14 | 1.23 | 0.39 | 14 |
| 11-Apr | 101 | 110 | 1 | 2 | 15.19 | 0.36 | 2 | 1.16 | 0.01 | 2 |
| 14-Apr | 104 | 134 | 14 | 4 | 27.50 | 7.14 | 4 | 1.13 | 0.08 | 4 |
| 15-Apr | 105 | 49 | 0 | 1 | 1.30 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.13 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 10-May | 130 | 104 | 20 | 3 | 14.61 | 10.49 | 3 | 1.17 | 0.19 | 3 |
| 11-May | 131 | 96 | 6 | 6 | 11.03 | 2.13 | 6 | 1.22 | 0.04 | 6 |
| 12-May | 132 | 96 | 17 | 6 | 10.09 | 7.85 | 6 | 1.02 | 0.18 | 6 |
| 13-May | 133 | 129 | 40 | 3 | 38.65 | 26.46 | 3 | 1.57 | 0.55 | 3 |
| 14-May | 134 | 141 | 41 | 2 | 36.13 | 27.37 | 2 | 1.16 | 0.05 | 2 |
| 15-May | 135 | 103 | 33 | 2 | 13.79 | 11.34 | 2 | 1.11 | 0.03 | 2 |
| 16-May | 136 | 122 | 31 | 2 | 23.81 | 14.91 | 2 | 1.24 | 0.12 | 2 |
| 10-Jun | 161 | 134 | 0 | 1 | 27.30 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.14 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 11-Jun | 162 | 116 | 22 | 5 | 19.83 | 13.28 | 5 | 1.16 | 0.12 | 5 |
| 12-Jun | 163 | 117 | 1 | 2 | 15.37 | 2.05 | 2 | 0.96 | 0.09 | 2 |
| 13-Jun | 164 | 86 | 0 | 1 | 6.50 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.03 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 14-Jun | 165 | 128 | 1 | 2 | 21.74 | 6.18 | 2 | 1.04 | 0.33 | 2 |
| 16-Jun | 167 | 135 | 0 | 1 | 32.10 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.31 | 0.00 | 1 |

APPENDIX 1 (continued)
Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured by Electrofishing in the Nechako River, 1997

| Date | DOY | Length (mm) |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  | Condition (g/mm ${ }^{3}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n |
| 17-Jun | 168 | 139 | 0 | 1 | 32.00 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.19 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 03-Jul | 184 | 117 | 33 | 2 | 21.67 | 17.83 | 2 | 1.19 | 0.09 | 2 |
| 04-Jul | 185 | 145 | 0 | 1 | 30.40 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 31-Oct | 304 | 115 | 39 | 6 | 22.16 | 22.32 | 6 | 1.16 | 0.15 | 6 |
| 01-Nov | 305 | 127 | 53 | 3 | 27.99 | 27.81 | 3 | 1.11 | 0.20 | 3 |
| 02-Nov | 306 | 141 | 26 | 2 | 28.33 | 15.76 | 2 | 0.96 | 0.03 | 2 |
| 03-Nov | 307 | 79 | 1 | 2 | 5.34 | 0.91 | 2 | 1.10 | 0.16 | 2 |
| 04-Nov | 308 | 149 | 60 | 6 | 55.95 | 40.66 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 6 |
| 05-Nov | 309 | 79 | 1 | 2 | 5.94 | 0.14 | 2 | 1.23 | 0.06 | 2 |
| 06-Nov | 310 | 153 | 19 | 2 | 60.09 | 28.69 | 2 | 1.62 | 0.19 | 2 |
| Sockeye salmon, 0+ (day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13-Jun | 164 | 33 | - | 1 | 0.25 | - | 1 | 0.70 | - | 1 |
| Sockeye salmon, 0+ (night) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14-May | 134 | 28 | - | 1 | 0.17 | - | 1 | 0.77 | - | 1 |
| 03-Jul | 184 | 52 | - | 1 | 1.26 | - | 1 | 0.90 | - | 1 |

## Appendix 2 <br> Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured by Rotary Screw Taps, Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1997

Appendix 2
Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured by Rotary Screw Traps, Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1997

| Date | DOY | Length (mm) |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  | Condition (g/mm ${ }^{3}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n |
| Chinook salmon 0+ (day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05-Apr | 95 | 34 | 1 | 3 | 0.30 | 0.03 | 3 | 0.74 | 0.01 | 3 |
| 06-Apr | 96 | 37 | 1 | 2 | 0.38 | 0.12 | 2 | 0.73 | 0.15 | 2 |
| 07-Apr | 97 | 36 | 1 | 2 | 0.35 | 0.05 | 2 | 0.74 | 0.02 | 2 |
| 08-Apr | 98 | 34 | 0 | 1 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.61 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 10-Apr | 100 | 37 | 2 | 2 | 0.34 | 0.06 | 2 | 0.68 | 0.01 | 2 |
| 11-Apr | 101 | 36 | 2 | 6 | 0.32 | 0.04 | 6 | 0.69 | 0.10 | 6 |
| 14-Apr | 104 | 36 | 1 | 7 | 0.36 | 0.05 | 7 | 0.77 | 0.08 | 7 |
| 15-Apr | 105 | 35 | 1 | 7 | 0.32 | 0.04 | 7 | 0.74 | 0.04 | 7 |
| 16-Apr | 106 | 36 | 2 | 10 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 10 | 0.76 | 0.04 | 10 |
| 17-Apr | 107 | 35 | 2 | 11 | 0.37 | 0.04 | 11 | 0.84 | 0.09 | 11 |
| 18-Apr | 108 | 36 | 2 | 18 | 0.37 | 0.07 | 18 | 0.77 | 0.06 | 18 |
| 19-Apr | 109 | 37 | 2 | 20 | 0.38 | 0.08 | 20 | 0.74 | 0.08 | 20 |
| 20-Apr | 110 | 36 | 2 | 17 | 0.35 | 0.06 | 17 | 0.74 | 0.05 | 17 |
| 21-Apr | 111 | 37 | 2 | 28 | 0.36 | 0.05 | 28 | 0.71 | 0.04 | 28 |
| 22-Apr | 112 | 37 | 1 | 11 | 0.38 | 0.06 | 11 | 0.75 | 0.06 | 11 |
| 23-Apr | 113 | 36 | 2 | 12 | 0.36 | 0.06 | 12 | 0.75 | 0.05 | 12 |
| 24-Apr | 114 | 37 | 1 | 23 | 0.36 | 0.05 | 23 | 0.72 | 0.06 | 23 |
| $25-\mathrm{Apr}$ | 115 | 36 | 1 | 21 | 0.35 | 0.04 | 21 | 0.75 | 0.07 | 21 |
| 26-Apr | 116 | 37 | 2 | 19 | 0.39 | 0.06 | 19 | 0.75 | 0.05 | 19 |
| 27-Apr | 117 | 37 | 1 | 19 | 0.37 | 0.04 | 19 | 0.72 | 0.04 | 19 |
| 28-Apr | 118 | 37 | 1 | 25 | 0.38 | 0.06 | 25 | 0.74 | 0.06 | 25 |
| 29-Apr | 119 | 36 | 2 | 30 | 0.35 | 0.05 | 30 | 0.77 | 0.06 | 30 |
| 30-Apr | 120 | 36 | 2 | 24 | 0.36 | 0.06 | 24 | 0.77 | 0.09 | 24 |
| 01-May | 121 | 37 | 2 | 25 | 0.37 | 0.06 | 25 | 0.73 | 0.05 | 25 |
| 02-May | 122 | 36 | 2 | 22 | 0.36 | 0.06 | 22 | 0.74 | 0.05 | 22 |
| 03-May | 123 | 37 | 2 | 25 | 0.41 | 0.08 | 25 | 0.78 | 0.08 | 25 |
| 04-May | 124 | 36 | 2 | 27 | 0.38 | 0.07 | 27 | 0.78 | 0.06 | 27 |
| 05-May | 125 | 36 | 2 | 25 | 0.39 | 0.08 | 25 | 0.83 | 0.09 | 25 |
| 06-May | 126 | 37 | 1 | 25 | 0.39 | 0.05 | 25 | 0.79 | 0.06 | 25 |
| 07-May | 127 | 37 | 2 | 30 | 0.38 | 0.06 | 30 | 0.78 | 0.06 | 30 |
| 08-May | 128 | 36 | 2 | 24 | 0.37 | 0.06 | 24 | 0.77 | 0.06 | 24 |
| 09-May | 129 | 37 | 3 | 25 | 0.40 | 0.12 | 25 | 0.77 | 0.08 | 25 |
| 10-May | 130 | 37 | 2 | 25 | 0.40 | 0.08 | 25 | 0.77 | 0.06 | 25 |
| 11-May | 131 | 36 | 2 | 27 | 0.37 | 0.06 | 27 | 0.77 | 0.06 | 27 |
| 12-May | 132 | 37 | 2 | 25 | 0.38 | 0.07 | 25 | 0.74 | 0.07 | 25 |
| 13-May | 133 | 37 | 3 | 26 | 0.39 | 0.13 | 26 | 0.78 | 0.07 | 26 |
| 14-May | 134 | 37 | 3 | 29 | 0.42 | 0.13 | 29 | 0.81 | 0.08 | 29 |
| 15-May | 135 | 39 | 2 | 21 | 0.53 | 0.13 | 21 | 0.90 | 0.11 | 21 |
| 16-May | 136 | 40 | 3 | 28 | 0.57 | 0.15 | 28 | 0.88 | 0.09 | 28 |
| 17-May | 137 | 39 | 3 | 30 | 0.53 | 0.15 | 30 | 0.88 | 0.10 | 30 |
| 18-May | 138 | 40 | 3 | 28 | 0.60 | 0.16 | 28 | 0.92 | 0.10 | 28 |
| 19-May | 139 | 40 | 4 | 16 | 0.61 | 0.21 | 16 | 0.94 | 0.10 | 16 |
| 20-May | 140 | 41 | 3 | 20 | 0.62 | 0.17 | 20 | 0.90 | 0.11 | 20 |

Appendix 2 (continued)
Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured by Rotary Screw Traps,
Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1997

| Date | DOY | Length (mm) |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  | Condition ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n |
| 21-May | 141 | 41 | 2 | 5 | 0.62 | 0.07 | 5 | 0.93 | 0.09 | 5 |
| 22-May | 142 | 43 | 6 | 3 | 0.90 | 0.28 | 3 | 1.14 | 0.09 | 3 |
| 23-May | 143 | 38 | 1 | 4 | 0.52 | 0.04 | 4 | 0.94 | 0.13 | 4 |
| 24-May | 144 | 40 | 5 | 4 | 0.75 | 0.36 | 4 | 1.07 | 0.17 | 4 |
| 25-May | 145 | 42 | 0 | 1 | 0.64 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.86 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 26-May | 146 | 43 | 3 | 5 | 0.87 | 0.20 | 5 | 1.07 | 0.05 | 5 |
| 27-May | 147 | 42 | 0 | 1 | 0.68 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.92 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 28-May | 148 | 45 | 5 | 4 | 0.97 | 0.29 | 4 | 1.06 | 0.06 | 4 |
| 29-May | 149 | 45 | 3 | 8 | 0.93 | 0.21 | 8 | 0.99 | 0.05 | 8 |
| 30-May | 150 | 44 | 3 | 8 | 0.93 | 0.25 | 8 | 1.04 | 0.10 | 8 |
| 31-May | 151 | 45 | 5 | 3 | 1.00 | 0.31 | 3 | 1.09 | 0.02 | 3 |
| 01-Jun | 152 | 46 | 2 | 6 | 1.08 | 0.11 | 6 | 1.13 | 0.06 | 6 |
| 02-Jun | 153 | 47 | 0 | 1 | 1.29 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.24 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 04-Jun | 155 | 49 | 0 | 1 | 1.32 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.12 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 05-Jun | 156 | 49 | 0 | 1 | 1.33 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.13 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 06-Jun | 157 | 46 | 0 | 2 | 1.14 | 0.09 | 2 | 1.17 | 0.09 | 2 |
| 07-Jun | 158 | 49 | 5 | 4 | 1.29 | 0.33 | 4 | 1.09 | 0.08 | 4 |
| 09-Jun | 160 | 48 | 5 | 3 | 1.29 | 0.41 | 3 | 1.16 | 0.06 | 3 |
| 12-Jun | 163 | 55 | 0 | 1 | 1.73 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.04 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 14-Jun | 165 | 53 | 1 | 3 | 1.64 | 0.20 | 3 | 1.12 | 0.06 | 3 |
| 15-Jun | 166 | 59 | 1 | 2 | 2.58 | 0.06 | 2 | 1.29 | 0.01 | 2 |
| 16-Jun | 167 | 52 | 4 | 2 | 1.54 | 0.31 | 2 | 1.12 | 0.00 | 2 |
| 17-Jun | 168 | 55 | 6 | 4 | 2.04 | 0.83 | 4 | 1.18 | 0.14 | 4 |
| 18-Jun | 169 | 56 | 4 | 7 | 2.05 | 0.40 | 7 | 1.15 | 0.05 | 7 |
| 19-Jun | 170 | 54 | 6 | 4 | 1.83 | 0.67 | 4 | 1.12 | 0.08 | 4 |
| 20-Jun | 171 | 52 | 0 | 1 | 1.45 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.03 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 21-Jun | 172 | 55 | 11 | 2 | 2.01 | 1.33 | 2 | 1.13 | 0.15 | 2 |
| 23-Jun | 174 | 57 | 0 | 1 | 2.16 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.17 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 24-Jun | 175 | 44 | 0 | 1 | 0.85 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 25-Jun | 176 | 55 | 0 | 1 | 1.99 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.20 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 03-Jul | 184 | 60 | 0 | 1 | 2.33 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.08 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 05-Jul | 186 | 74 | 0 | 1 | 4.66 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.15 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 06-Jul | 187 | 53 | 0 | 1 | 1.71 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.15 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 08-Jul | 189 | 69 | 2 | 2 | 3.34 | 0.30 | 2 | 1.04 | 0.00 | 2 |
| 09-Jul | 190 | 65 | 0 | 1 | 2.61 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 11-Jul | 192 | 65 | 0 | 1 | 2.92 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.06 | 0.00 | 1 |

Chinook salmon 0+ (night)

| 05-Apr | 95 | 35 | 1 | 9 | 0.33 | 0.04 | 9 | 0.77 | 0.07 | 9 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $06-\mathrm{Apr}$ | 96 | 36 | 2 | 5 | 0.37 | 0.07 | 5 | 0.79 | 0.05 | 5 |
| $07-\mathrm{Apr}$ | 97 | 36 | 2 | 10 | 0.34 | 0.05 | 10 | 0.72 | 0.04 | 10 |
| 08-Apr | 98 | 37 | 2 | 11 | 0.34 | 0.06 | 11 | 0.66 | 0.04 | 11 |
| 09-Apr | 99 | 37 | 2 | 12 | 0.34 | 0.05 | 12 | 0.68 | 0.06 | 12 |
| 10-Apr | 100 | 37 | 1 | 7 | 0.35 | 0.03 | 7 | 0.71 | 0.08 | 7 |

Appendix 2 (continued)
Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured by Rotary Screw Traps, Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1997

| Date | DOY | Length (mm) |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  | Condition (g/mm ${ }^{3}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n |
| 11-Apr | 101 | 36 | 1 | 10 | 0.34 | 0.03 | 10 | 0.71 | 0.04 | 10 |
| 12-Apr | 102 | 37 | 2 | 11 | 0.36 | 0.04 | 11 | 0.72 | 0.05 | 11 |
| 13-Apr | 103 | 36 | 2 | 14 | 0.32 | 0.06 | 14 | 0.66 | 0.05 | 14 |
| 14-Apr | 104 | 36 | 2 | 19 | 0.34 | 0.05 | 19 | 0.74 | 0.07 | 19 |
| 15-Apr | 105 | 36 | 1 | 20 | 0.35 | 0.05 | 20 | 0.73 | 0.07 | 20 |
| 16-Apr | 106 | 37 | 2 | 13 | 0.38 | 0.05 | 13 | 0.77 | 0.06 | 13 |
| 17-Apr | 107 | 35 | 2 | 22 | 0.34 | 0.05 | 22 | 0.76 | 0.07 | 22 |
| 18-Apr | 108 | 35 | 1 | 11 | 0.32 | 0.04 | 11 | 0.73 | 0.05 | 11 |
| 19-Apr | 109 | 37 | 1 | 27 | 0.38 | 0.05 | 27 | 0.77 | 0.08 | 27 |
| 20-Apr | 110 | 37 | 2 | 30 | 0.37 | 0.05 | 30 | 0.74 | 0.07 | 30 |
| 21-Apr | 111 | 37 | 1 | 27 | 0.33 | 0.05 | 27 | 0.68 | 0.05 | 27 |
| 22-Apr | 112 | 37 | 1 | 30 | 0.36 | 0.05 | 30 | 0.73 | 0.05 | 30 |
| 23-Apr | 113 | 38 | 2 | 14 | 0.39 | 0.05 | 14 | 0.73 | 0.05 | 14 |
| 24-Apr | 114 | 36 | 1 | 16 | 0.36 | 0.04 | 16 | 0.75 | 0.05 | 16 |
| 25-Apr | 115 | 37 | 1 | 30 | 0.35 | 0.05 | 30 | 0.70 | 0.05 | 30 |
| 26-Apr | 116 | 36 | 2 | 22 | 0.35 | 0.07 | 22 | 0.74 | 0.08 | 22 |
| 27-Apr | 117 | 37 | 2 | 19 | 0.37 | 0.06 | 19 | 0.74 | 0.06 | 19 |
| 28-Apr | 118 | 36 | 2 | 20 | 0.38 | 0.08 | 20 | 0.77 | 0.06 | 20 |
| 29-Apr | 119 | 37 | 1 | 15 | 0.37 | 0.07 | 15 | 0.73 | 0.05 | 15 |
| 30-Apr | 120 | 35 | 2 | 22 | 0.34 | 0.07 | 22 | 0.77 | 0.08 | 22 |
| 01-May | 121 | 39 | 2 | 28 | 0.40 | 0.07 | 28 | 0.76 | 0.08 | 28 |
| 02-May | 122 | 38 | 2 | 29 | 0.40 | 0.06 | 29 | 0.73 | 0.08 | 29 |
| 03-May | 123 | 37 | 2 | 23 | 0.37 | 0.06 | 23 | 0.74 | 0.06 | 23 |
| 04-May | 124 | 37 | 2 | 30 | 0.40 | 0.08 | 30 | 0.80 | 0.06 | 30 |
| 05-May | 125 | 36 | 1 | 22 | 0.40 | 0.05 | 22 | 0.83 | 0.09 | 22 |
| 06-May | 126 | 36 | 2 | 28 | 0.41 | 0.08 | 28 | 0.87 | 0.15 | 28 |
| 07-May | 127 | 37 | 2 | 30 | 0.38 | 0.07 | 30 | 0.75 | 0.06 | 30 |
| 08-May | 128 | 36 | 2 | 15 | 0.39 | 0.10 | 15 | 0.79 | 0.09 | 15 |
| 09-May | 129 | 36 | 2 | 20 | 0.39 | 0.07 | 20 | 0.80 | 0.07 | 20 |
| 10-May | 130 | 37 | 2 | 30 | 0.40 | 0.09 | 30 | 0.79 | 0.09 | 30 |
| 11-May | 131 | 36 | 2 | 12 | 0.36 | 0.08 | 12 | 0.74 | 0.07 | 12 |
| 12-May | 132 | 38 | 3 | 17 | 0.42 | 0.11 | 17 | 0.78 | 0.08 | 17 |
| 13-May | 133 | 36 | 1 | 22 | 0.38 | 0.06 | 22 | 0.77 | 0.08 | 22 |
| 14-May | 134 | 37 | 2 | 21 | 0.41 | 0.10 | 21 | 0.78 | 0.07 | 21 |
| 15-May | 135 | 40 | 3 | 9 | 0.51 | 0.14 | 9 | 0.81 | 0.07 | 9 |
| 16-May | 136 | 39 | 3 | 11 | 0.48 | 0.17 | 11 | 0.80 | 0.13 | 11 |
| 17-May | 137 | 41 | 3 | 14 | 0.60 | 0.13 | 14 | 0.88 | 0.08 | 14 |
| 18-May | 138 | 40 | 3 | 18 | 0.60 | 0.17 | 18 | 0.89 | 0.08 | 18 |
| 19-May | 139 | 40 | 6 | 8 | 0.63 | 0.33 | 8 | 0.90 | 0.11 | 8 |
| 20-May | 140 | 37 | 4 | 14 | 0.48 | 0.17 | 14 | 0.94 | 0.07 | 14 |
| 21-May | 141 | 38 | 5 | 4 | 0.50 | 0.29 | 4 | 0.84 | 0.16 | 4 |
| 22-May | 142 | 43 | 1 | 2 | 0.74 | 0.06 | 2 | 0.96 | 0.03 | 2 |
| 23-May | 143 | 39 | 3 | 4 | 0.54 | 0.13 | 4 | 0.90 | 0.07 | 4 |

Appendix 2 (continued)
Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured by Rotary Screw Traps,
Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1997

| Date | DOY | Length (mm) |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  | Condition (g/mm ${ }^{3}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n |
| 24-May | 144 | 40 | 5 | 2 | 0.72 | 0.33 | 2 | 1.11 | 0.12 | 2 |
| 25-May | 145 | 37 | 3 | 6 | 0.50 | 0.12 | 6 | 0.98 | 0.06 | 6 |
| 26-May | 146 | 38 | 3 | 9 | 0.46 | 0.19 | 9 | 0.83 | 0.12 | 9 |
| 27-May | 147 | 37 | 1 | 4 | 0.44 | 0.08 | 4 | 0.86 | 0.06 | 4 |
| 28-May | 148 | 42 | 4 | 9 | 0.70 | 0.21 | 9 | 0.94 | 0.08 | 9 |
| 29-May | 149 | 41 | 6 | 3 | 0.66 | 0.51 | 3 | 0.85 | 0.24 | 3 |
| 30-May | 150 | 42 | 7 | 6 | 0.70 | 0.37 | 6 | 0.85 | 0.13 | 6 |
| 31-May | 151 | 43 | 6 | 3 | 0.83 | 0.38 | 3 | 0.96 | 0.08 | 3 |
| 01-Jun | 152 | 45 | 2 | 3 | 0.98 | 0.15 | 3 | 1.09 | 0.06 | 3 |
| 02-Jun | 153 | 48 | 1 | 2 | 1.19 | 0.09 | 2 | 1.10 | 0.04 | 2 |
| 03-Jun | 154 | 46 | 1 | 3 | 1.07 | 0.11 | 3 | 1.07 | 0.06 | 3 |
| 04-Jun | 155 | 46 | 4 | 5 | 1.00 | 0.31 | 5 | 1.02 | 0.05 | 5 |
| 06-Jun | 157 | 53 | 2 | 3 | 1.45 | 0.25 | 3 | 0.96 | 0.12 | 3 |
| 06-Jun | 157 | 55 | 1 | 2 | 1.52 | 0.32 | 2 | 0.93 | 0.16 | 2 |
| 07-Jun | 158 | 48 | 5 | 8 | 1.23 | 0.40 | 8 | 1.05 | 0.08 | 8 |
| 09-Jun | 160 | 51 | 2 | 4 | 1.43 | 0.26 | 4 | 1.06 | 0.04 | 4 |
| 11-Jun | 162 | 47 | 0 | 1 | 0.97 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.93 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 12-Jun | 163 | 51 | 5 | 4 | 1.43 | 0.41 | 4 | 1.04 | 0.08 | 4 |
| 13-Jun | 164 | 53 | 5 | 5 | 1.64 | 0.43 | 5 | 1.06 | 0.06 | 5 |
| 14-Jun | 165 | 53 | 1 | 3 | 1.90 | 0.29 | 3 | 1.28 | 0.27 | 3 |
| 15-Jun | 166 | 50 | 2 | 3 | 1.35 | 0.34 | 3 | 1.06 | 0.15 | 3 |
| 16-Jun | 167 | 50 | 6 | 8 | 1.37 | 0.41 | 8 | 1.06 | 0.08 | 8 |
| 17-Jun | 168 | 46 | 0 | 1 | 0.99 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.02 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 18-Jun | 169 | 52 | 4 | 13 | 1.49 | 0.38 | 13 | 1.05 | 0.05 | 13 |
| 19-Jun | 170 | 56 | 5 | 8 | 1.91 | 0.58 | 8 | 1.07 | 0.06 | 8 |
| 20-Jun | 171 | 53 | 6 | 5 | 1.62 | 0.55 | 5 | 1.04 | 0.03 | 5 |
| 21-Jun | 172 | 57 | 4 | 4 | 1.96 | 0.40 | 4 | 1.06 | 0.08 | 4 |
| 22-Jun | 173 | 59 | 3 | 4 | 2.18 | 0.54 | 4 | 1.07 | 0.12 | 4 |
| 23-Jun | 174 | 50 | 7 | 3 | 1.37 | 0.57 | 3 | 1.07 | 0.02 | 3 |
| 23-Jun | 174 | 46 | 4 | 2 | 1.07 | 0.32 | 2 | 1.08 | 0.03 | 2 |
| $24-J u n$ | 175 | 56 | 6 | 4 | 1.73 | 0.69 | 4 | 0.98 | 0.21 | 4 |
| $25-J u n$ | 176 | 58 | 10 | 6 | 2.46 | 1.32 | 6 | 1.14 | 0.08 | 6 |
| 26-Jun | 177 | 58 | 7 | 6 | 2.25 | 0.88 | 6 | 1.10 | 0.07 | 6 |
| 27-Jun | 178 | 56 | 1 | 2 | 1.90 | 0.09 | 2 | 1.11 | 0.01 | 2 |
| 29-Jun | 180 | 64 | 5 | 6 | 3.10 | 0.82 | 6 | 1.15 | 0.06 | 6 |
| 30-Jun | 181 | 58 | 9 | 3 | 2.31 | 1.04 | 3 | 1.13 | 0.08 | 3 |
| 01-Jul | 182 | 59 | 5 | 4 | 2.38 | 0.52 | 4 | 1.16 | 0.04 | 4 |
| 02-Jul | 183 | 60 | 5 | 7 | 2.55 | 0.65 | 7 | 1.15 | 0.04 | 7 |
| 03-Jul | 184 | 63 | 6 | 12 | 3.20 | 1.15 | 12 | 1.22 | 0.19 | 12 |
| 04-Jul | 185 | 64 | 4 | 9 | 2.99 | 0.55 | 9 | 1.12 | 0.04 | 9 |
| 05-Jul | 186 | 55 | 0 | 1 | 1.80 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.08 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 06-Jul | 187 | 63 | 8 | 13 | 2.92 | 0.99 | 13 | 1.12 | 0.04 | 13 |
| 07-Jul | 188 | 65 | 5 | 10 | 3.17 | 0.84 | 10 | 1.13 | 0.06 | 10 |
| 08-Jul | 189 | 67 | 6 | 7 | 3.50 | 1.03 | 7 | 1.15 | 0.06 | 7 |

Appendix 2 (continued)
Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured by Rotary Screw Traps, Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1997

| Date | DOY | Length (mm) |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  | Condition (g/mm ${ }^{3}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n |
| 09-Jul | 190 | 65 | 5 | 4 | 3.06 | 0.70 | 4 | 1.11 | 0.07 | 4 |
| 10-Jul | 191 | 64 | 8 | 10 | 3.08 | 1.33 | 10 | 1.12 | 0.07 | 10 |
| 11-Jul | 192 | 66 | 6 | 4 | 3.24 | 0.72 | 4 | 1.12 | 0.06 | 4 |
| 12-Jul | 193 | 71 | 6 | 12 | 3.99 | 1.10 | 12 | 1.12 | 0.17 | 12 |
| 13-Jul | 194 | 70 | 7 | 9 | 4.04 | 1.15 | 9 | 1.13 | 0.03 | 9 |

Chinook salmon $1+$ (day)

| 22-Apr | 112 | 97 | 0 | 1 | 8.75 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23-Apr | 113 | 90 | 0 | 1 | 7.91 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.09 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 01-May | 121 | 108 | 0 | 1 | 12.95 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.03 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 09-May | 129 | 82 | 0 | 1 | 5.62 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.02 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 14-May | 134 | 112 | 0 | 1 | 14.78 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.05 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 15-May | 135 | 121 | 2 | 2 | 16.93 | 0.74 | 2 | 0.97 | 0.01 | 2 |
| 16-May | 136 | 96 | 13 | 6 | 10.13 | 5.36 | 6 | 1.08 | 0.10 | 6 |
| 19-May | 139 | 86 | 0 | 1 | 6.37 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 28-May | 148 | 88 | 0 | 1 | 7.29 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.07 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 03-Jun | 154 | 92 | 0 | 1 | 9.36 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.20 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 07-Jun | 158 | 115 | 6 | 2 | 18.08 | 1.65 | 2 | 1.19 | 0.07 | 2 |

Chinook salmon 1+ (night)

| 05-Apr | 95 | 105 | 3 | 3 | 12.19 | 1.00 | 3 | 1.04 | 0.04 | 3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 06-Apr | 96 | 96 | 12 | 5 | 8.76 | 2.96 | 5 | 0.97 | 0.06 | 5 |
| 07-Apr | 97 | 107 | 3 | 2 | 11.80 | 0.32 | 2 | 0.96 | 0.05 | 2 |
| 08-Apr | 98 | 106 | 12 | 4 | 10.64 | 3.49 | 4 | 0.88 | 0.07 | 4 |
| 10-Apr | 100 | 112 | 8 | 4 | 13.54 | 3.17 | 4 | 0.95 | 0.05 | 4 |
| 11-Apr | 101 | 107 | 12 | 8 | 11.32 | 3.44 | 8 | 0.92 | 0.15 | 8 |
| 12-Apr | 102 | 107 | 7 | 7 | 12.41 | 2.47 | 7 | 1.00 | 0.05 | 7 |
| 13-Apr | 103 | 106 | 13 | 3 | 10.83 | 3.44 | 3 | 0.89 | 0.10 | 3 |
| 14-Apr | 104 | 95 | 9 | 3 | 9.37 | 2.30 | 3 | 1.08 | 0.03 | 3 |
| 15-Apr | 105 | 115 | 9 | 3 | 14.79 | 3.43 | 3 | 0.96 | 0.02 | 3 |
| 16-Apr | 106 | 97 | 7 | 7 | 9.08 | 2.34 | 7 | 0.97 | 0.07 | 7 |
| 17-Apr | 107 | 100 | 6 | 5 | 9.82 | 1.69 | 5 | 0.98 | 0.03 | 5 |
| 18-Apr | 108 | 89 | 7 | 4 | 7.44 | 1.86 | 4 | 1.05 | 0.04 | 4 |
| 19-Apr | 109 | 99 | 7 | 9 | 9.98 | 2.20 | 9 | 1.01 | 0.04 | 9 |
| 20-Apr | 110 | 99 | 12 | 6 | 10.36 | 3.62 | 6 | 1.02 | 0.08 | 6 |
| 22-Apr | 112 | 103 | 13 | 6 | 11.11 | 3.49 | 6 | 0.99 | 0.05 | 6 |
| 23-Apr | 113 | 95 | 10 | 8 | 8.72 | 2.25 | 8 | 1.00 | 0.09 | 8 |
| 24-Apr | 114 | 95 | 9 | 6 | 9.30 | 2.54 | 6 | 1.05 | 0.04 | 6 |
| 25-Apr | 115 | 91 | 18 | 2 | 8.12 | 5.50 | 2 | 0.98 | 0.12 | 2 |
| 26-Apr | 116 | 110 | 11 | 6 | 14.18 | 4.85 | 6 | 1.05 | 0.03 | 6 |
| 27-Apr | 117 | 101 | 4 | 7 | 10.33 | 1.29 | 7 | 1.01 | 0.07 | 7 |
| 29-Apr | 119 | 98 | 7 | 2 | 9.80 | 2.44 | 2 | 1.03 | 0.04 | 2 |
| 30-Apr | 120 | 105 | 1 | 2 | 12.03 | 0.08 | 2 | 1.05 | 0.03 | 2 |

Appendix 2 (continued)
Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured by Rotary Screw Traps,
Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1997

| Date | DOY | Length (mm) |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  | Condition ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n |
| 01-May | 121 | 92 | 0 | 1 | 9.20 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.18 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 02-May | 122 | 97 | 0 | 1 | 9.16 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 05-May | 125 | 109 | 1 | 2 | 14.10 | 0.02 | 2 | 1.09 | 0.04 | 2 |
| 06-May | 126 | 100 | 0 | 1 | 9.95 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 07-May | 127 | 108 | 17 | 3 | 14.60 | 7.32 | 3 | 1.10 | 0.06 | 3 |
| 08-May | 128 | 104 | 25 | 2 | 14.58 | 10.44 | 2 | 1.17 | 0.06 | 2 |
| 09-May | 129 | 109 | 0 | 1 | 13.91 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.07 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 10-May | 130 | 95 | 0 | 1 | 10.30 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.20 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 11-May | 131 | 105 | 8 | 4 | 12.31 | 2.76 | 4 | 1.07 | 0.09 | 4 |
| 13-May | 133 | 106 | 8 | 4 | 13.16 | 3.10 | 4 | 1.09 | 0.08 | 4 |
| 14-May | 134 | 95 | 12 | 6 | 10.08 | 4.54 | 6 | 1.11 | 0.07 | 6 |
| 15-May | 135 | 101 | 8 | 10 | 11.46 | 3.24 | 10 | 1.08 | 0.06 | 10 |
| 16-May | 136 | 106 | 13 | 8 | 13.78 | 5.03 | 8 | 1.13 | 0.07 | 8 |
| 17-May | 137 | 101 | 10 | 3 | 10.88 | 2.01 | 3 | 1.05 | 0.13 | 3 |
| 18-May | 138 | 104 | 6 | 5 | 11.54 | 1.77 | 5 | 1.02 | 0.08 | 5 |
| 19-May | 139 | 97 | 8 | 6 | 11.03 | 2.17 | 6 | 1.19 | 0.08 | 6 |
| 20-May | 140 | 92 | 0 | 1 | 9.46 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.21 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 22-May | 142 | 84 | 0 | 1 | 6.07 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.02 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 23-May | 143 | 85 | 0 | 1 | 6.31 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.03 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 24-May | 144 | 97 | 8 | 2 | 9.90 | 2.02 | 2 | 1.08 | 0.06 | 2 |
| 25-May | 145 | 100 | 11 | 2 | 11.23 | 3.58 | 2 | 1.10 | 0.02 | 2 |
| 26-May | 146 | 97 | 0 | 1 | 11.53 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.26 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 27-May | 147 | 99 | 0 | 1 | 11.61 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.20 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 30-May | 150 | 110 | 0 | 1 | 14.70 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.10 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 31-May | 151 | 110 | 0 | 1 | 14.72 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.11 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 01-Jun | 152 | 90 | 6 | 2 | 8.48 | 1.66 | 2 | 1.18 | 0.02 | 2 |
| 02-Jun | 153 | 102 | 16 | 4 | 10.83 | 4.77 | 4 | 0.99 | 0.12 | 4 |
| 03-Jun | 154 | 110 | 19 | 2 | 15.52 | 7.91 | 2 | 1.13 | 0.01 | 2 |
| 04-Jun | 155 | 94 | 6 | 2 | 10.38 | 1.75 | 2 | 1.24 | 0.01 | 2 |
| 06-Jun | 157 | 114 | 0 | 1 | 17.30 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.17 | 0.00 | 1 |

Coho salmon 0+ (night)

| 29-Jun | 180 | 57 | 0 | 1 | 2.05 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.11 | 0.00 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Lake trout 0+ (day)

| 05-Apr | 95 | 35 | 0 | 1 | 0.32 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.75 | 0.00 | 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14-May | 134 | 69 | 0 | 1 | 2.10 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.64 | 0.00 | 1 |

Lake trout 0+ (night)

| 06-Apr | 96 | 71 | 0 | 1 | 2.32 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07-Apr | 97 | 71 | 0 | 1 | 2.46 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.69 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 23-Apr | 113 | 76 | 0 | 1 | 2.80 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.64 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 03-May | 123 | 71 | 6 | 2 | 2.55 | 0.59 | 2 | 0.72 | 0.03 | 2 |
| 06-May | 126 | 116 | 0 | 1 | 12.89 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.83 | 0.00 | 1 |

$$
\text { Appendix } 2 \text { (continued) }
$$

Mean Size and Condition of Fish Captured by Rotary Screw Traps, Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1997

| Date | DOY | Length (mm) |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  | Condition (g/mm ${ }^{3}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n |
| 08-May | 128 | 91 | 26 | 3 | 6.14 | 5.85 | 3 | 0.66 | 0.06 | 3 |
| 09-May | 129 | 81 | 0 | 1 | 3.93 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.74 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 11-May | 131 | 73 | 0 | 2 | 2.41 | 0.00 | 2 | 0.62 | 0.00 | 2 |
| 14-May | 134 | 84 | 0 | 1 | 4.26 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.72 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 29-May | 149 | 80 | 0 | 1 | 3.63 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 07-Jun | 158 | 71 | 0 | 1 | 2.54 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 1 |

Rainbow trout, adult (night)

| 09-Apr | 99 | 210 | 0 | 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29-Apr | 119 | 252 | 0 | 1 |

Rainbow trout, juvenile (day)

| 15-May | 135 | 72 | 0 | 1 | 16.08 | 0.00 | 1 | 4.31 | 0.00 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 21-May | 141 | 85 | 0 | 1 | 8.75 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.42 | 0.00 | 1 |

Rainbow trout, juvenile (night)

| 11-Apr | 101 | 130 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27-Apr | 117 | 121 | 0 | 1 | 16.08 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.91 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 30-Apr | 120 | 128 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24-May | 144 | 84 | 0 | 1 | 6.54 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.10 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 25-May | 145 | 98 | 18 | 3 | 10.27 | 5.45 | 3 | 1.01 | 0.01 | 3 |
| 27-May | 147 | 94 | 15 | 3 | 9.16 | 3.83 | 3 | 1.06 | 0.05 | 3 |
| 28-May | 148 | 101 | 12 | 3 | 11.22 | 4.25 | 3 | 1.04 | 0.09 | 3 |
| 29-May | 149 | 105 | 18 | 6 | 12.78 | 6.04 | 6 | 1.04 | 0.02 | 6 |
| 31-May | 151 | 120 | 12 | 2 | 17.77 | 5.06 | 2 | 1.03 | 0.01 | 2 |
| 01-Jun | 152 | 110 | 0 | 1 | 13.42 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.01 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 02-Jun | 153 | 102 | 16 | 3 | 9.18 | 1.38 | 3 | 0.90 | 0.25 | 3 |
| 03-Jun | 154 | 121 | 1 | 2 | 15.22 | 6.63 | 2 | 0.87 | 0.36 | 2 |
| 06-Jun | 157 | 180 | 0 | 1 | 45.00 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.77 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 08-Jun | 159 | 118 | 0 | 1 | 17.63 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.07 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 14-Jun | 165 | 120 | 0 | 1 | 17.31 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1 |

Sockeye salmon 0+ (day)

| 14-May | 134 | 22 | 0 | 1 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.13 | 0.00 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 15-May | 135 | 33 | 0 | 1 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.00 | 1 |

Sockeye salmon 0+ (night)

| 08-May | 128 | 34 | 0 | 1 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 26-May | 146 | 30 | 1 | 2 | 0.15 | 0.03 | 2 | 0.58 | 0.07 | 2 |
| 30-May | 150 | 32 | 0 | 2 | 0.25 | 0.01 | 2 | 0.75 | 0.02 | 2 |
| 31-May | 151 | 35 | 0 | 1 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.82 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 14-Jun | 165 | 38 | 0 | 1 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.80 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 22-Jun | 173 | 45 | 0 | 1 | 0.74 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.81 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 23-Jun | 174 | 47 | 0 | 1 | 0.94 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.91 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 25-Jun | 176 | 36 | 0 | 1 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.73 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 06-Jul | 187 | 39 | 0 | 1 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.81 | 0.00 | 1 |
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Mean Monthly Eectrofishing Catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) of J uvenile Chinook Salmon by 10 km Intervals of the Nechako River, 1997

Appendix 3
Mean Monthly Electrofishing Catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) of Juvenile Chinook Salmon by 10 km Intervals of the Nechako River, 1997

| Date | Distance (km) from Kenney Dam | $0+\log _{e}($ CPUE +1$)$ |  |  | $1+\log _{e}(\mathrm{CPUE}+1)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n |
| Day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| April | 0.0-9.9 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 4 | 0.2452 | 0.4904 | 4 |
|  | 10.0-19.9 | 0.3934 | 0.6375 | 26 | 0.1618 | 0.4136 | 26 |
|  | 20.0-29.9 | 1.2111 | 0.7833 | 38 | 0.0968 | 0.2693 | 38 |
|  | 30.0-39.9 | 1.0117 | 0.5866 | 16 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 16 |
|  | 50.0-59.9 | 0.9440 | 0.7992 | 19 | 0.0393 | 0.1714 | 19 |
|  | 70.0-79.9 | 0.5997 | 0.9493 | 16 | 0.0379 | 0.1515 | 16 |
|  | 80.0-89.9 | 0.1070 | 0.2382 | 17 | 0.0713 | 0.2013 | 17 |
| May | 0.0-9.9 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 4 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 4 |
|  | 10.0-19.9 | 1.5729 | 1.3799 | 26 | 0.0233 | 0.1189 | 26 |
|  | 20.0-29.9 | 2.1202 | 1.3556 | 38 | 0.0160 | 0.0983 | 38 |
|  | 30.0-39.9 | 1.1728 | 1.1530 | 16 | 0.0276 | 0.1105 | 16 |
|  | 50.0-59.9 | 0.7736 | 0.7422 | 19 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 19 |
|  | 70.0-79.9 | 1.6242 | 1.1706 | 16 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 16 |
|  | 80.0-89.9 | 0.5246 | 0.6437 | 16 | 0.0218 | 0.0871 | 16 |
| June | 0.0-9.9 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 3 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 3 |
|  | 10.0-19.9 | 1.3046 | 0.7542 | 26 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 26 |
|  | 20.0-29.9 | 0.6817 | 0.6982 | 33 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 33 |
|  | 30.0-39.9 | 0.0954 | 0.2102 | 10 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 10 |
|  | 50.0-59.9 | 0.0606 | 0.1917 | 10 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 10 |
|  | 70.0-79.9 | 1.0815 | 0.5844 | 15 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 15 |
|  | 80.0-89.9 | 0.4041 | 0.3500 | 3 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 3 |
| July | 0.0-9.9 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1 |
|  | 10.0-19.9 | 0.3449 | 0.6901 | 13 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 13 |
|  | 20.0-29.9 | 0.4184 | 0.6404 | 8 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 8 |
|  | 30.0-39.9 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1 |
| Oct./Nov. | 0.0-9.9 | 0.4904 | 0.5663 | 4 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 4 |
|  | 10.0-19.9 | 0.3413 | 0.4710 | 26 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 26 |
|  | 20.0-29.9 | 0.2609 | 0.4402 | 38 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 38 |
|  | 30.0-39.9 | 0.2664 | 0.4413 | 16 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 16 |
|  | 50.0-59.9 | 0.4711 | 0.5799 | 19 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 19 |
|  | 70.0-79.9 | 0.4475 | 0.5931 | 16 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 16 |
|  | 80.0-89.9 | 0.1731 | 0.3854 | 17 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 17 |

Appendix 3 (continued)
Mean Monthly Electrofishing Catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) of Juvenile Chinook Salmon by 10 km Intervals of the Nechako River, 1997

| Date | Distance (km) from Kenney Dam | $0+\log _{e}($ CPUE +1$)$ |  |  | $1+\log _{e}($ CPUE +1$)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n |
| Night |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| April | 0.0-9.9 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 4 | 0.4185 | 0.4118 | 4 |
|  | 10.0-19.9 | 0.3453 | 0.7280 | 26 | 0.4404 | 0.6384 | 26 |
|  | 20.0-29.9 | 1.7890 | 0.7528 | 38 | 0.7079 | 0.8855 | 38 |
|  | 30.0-39.9 | 1.6004 | 0.9420 | 15 | 0.0498 | 0.1929 | 15 |
|  | 50.0-59.9 | 2.0386 | 0.7470 | 17 | 0.4351 | 0.8564 | 17 |
|  | 70.0-79.9 | 0.7237 | 0.8079 | 16 | 0.6390 | 0.7367 | 16 |
|  | 80.0-89.9 | 0.2922 | 0.4587 | 16 | 1.0132 | 0.8369 | 16 |
| May | 0.0-9.9 | 0.2452 | 0.4904 | 4 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 4 |
|  | 10.0-19.9 | 2.7845 | 0.9436 | 26 | 0.2294 | 0.4497 | 26 |
|  | 20.0-29.9 | 2.5776 | 0.9837 | 38 | 0.5079 | 0.5369 | 38 |
|  | 30.0-39.9 | 1.4201 | 1.0746 | 16 | 0.0467 | 0.1868 | 16 |
|  | 50.0-59.9 | 1.2477 | 0.9889 | 19 | 0.2097 | 0.5890 | 19 |
|  | 70.0-79.9 | 2.8813 | 0.6619 | 16 | 0.0379 | 0.1515 | 16 |
|  | 80.0-89.9 | 1.8278 | 1.1200 | 15 | 0.2795 | 0.4353 | 15 |
| June | 0.0-9.9 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 2 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 2 |
|  | 10.0-19.9 | 3.2201 | 0.8893 | 24 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 24 |
|  | 20.0-29.9 | 2.5883 | 0.7705 | 30 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 30 |
|  | 30.0-39.9 | 1.3243 | 1.1930 | 10 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 10 |
|  | 50.0-59.9 | 1.0252 | 0.6602 | 11 | 0.0551 | 0.1828 | 11 |
|  | 70.0-79.9 | 2.3993 | 0.7211 | 14 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 14 |
|  | 80.0-89.9 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 3 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 3 |
| July | 0.0-9.9 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1 |
|  | 10.0-19.9 | 2.6960 | 0.6553 | 12 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 12 |
|  | 20.0-29.9 | 1.7557 | 0.9413 | 8 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 8 |
|  | 30.0-39.9 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1 |
| Oct./Nov. | 0.0-9.9 | 1.3248 | 0.9005 | 4 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 4 |
|  | 10.0-19.9 | 1.5458 | 0.9973 | 26 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 26 |
|  | 20.0-29.9 | 1.6857 | 0.8842 | 38 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 38 |
|  | 30.0-39.9 | 1.3297 | 0.6549 | 16 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 16 |
|  | 50.0-59.9 | 1.7816 | 0.7739 | 17 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 17 |
|  | 70.0-79.9 | 1.3217 | 0.7709 | 16 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 16 |
|  | 80.0-89.9 | 1.3682 | 0.9290 | 16 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 16 |

## Appendix 4

Daily Catches of J uvenile Chinook Salmon by Rotary Screw Traps, and Index of Outmigrants, at Diamond Island Nechako River, 1997
Appendix 4. Daily catch of juvenile chinook salmon by rotary screw traps, and index of outmigrants at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1997.

| Date | RST RST No. 1: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | RST No. 2: |  |  |  |  |  | RST No. 3: |  |  |  |  |  | Total: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { staff } \\ \text { gage } \end{gathered}$ | River <br> flow | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trap } \\ & \text { flow } \end{aligned}$ | Percent flow | Catch |  | Population estimate: |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Trap } \\ & \text { flow } \\ & \left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Percent flow sampled | Catch: |  | Population estimate: |  | Trap flow ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ) | Percent flow sampled | Catch: |  | Population <br> estimate: |  | Catch: |  | Population estimate: |  |
|  | (cm) | $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ | $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ | sampled | 1+ | 0+ | 1+ | 0+ |  |  | 1+ | 0+ | $1+$ | 0+ |  |  | 1+ | 0+ | 1+ | 0+ | 1+ | 0+ | $1+$ | 0+ |
| Day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05-Apr | 91.0 | 63.4 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 58 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 264 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 87 |
| 06-Apr | 91.0 | 63.4 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 58 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 58 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 43 |
| 07-Apr | 91.0 | 63.4 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 152 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 41 |
| 08-Apr | 91.0 | 63.4 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 21 |
| 09-Apr | 91.5 | 64.0 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10-Apr | 92.0 | 64.6 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 170 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 43 |
| 11-Apr | 92.5 | 65.1 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 112 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 347 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 124 |
| 12-Apr | 95.5 | 68.7 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13-Apr | 96.0 | 69.3 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14-Apr | 98.0 | 71.7 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 477 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 114 |
| 15-Apr | 101.0 | 75.4 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 703 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 168 |
| 16-Apr | 103.0 | 77.9 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 63 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 670 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 217 |
| 17-Apr | 107.0 | 83.1 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 67 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 1031 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 323 |
| 18-Apr | 110.0 | 87.0 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 259 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 280 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 1167 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 552 |
| 19-Apr | 111.5 | 89.0 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 397 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 286 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 1194 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 612 |
| 20-Apr | 114.0 | 92.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 277 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 212 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 1655 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 678 |
| 21-Apr | 117.0 | 96.4 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 797 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 590 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 3127 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 1442 |
| 22-Apr | 119.5 | 99.9 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 76 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 329 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1 | 21 | 108 | 2276 | 1 | 26 | 29 | 754 |
| 23-Apr | 123.0 | 104.8 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1 | 1 | 80 | 80 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 259 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 2730 | 1 | 28 | 30 | 852 |
| 24-Apr | 126.0 | 109.1 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 334 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 539 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 1539 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 729 |
| 25-Apr | 130.5 | 115.7 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 442 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 477 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 2511 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 1008 |
| 26-Apr | 139.0 | 128.5 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 393 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 727 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 905 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 663 |
| 27-Apr | 146.0 | 139.5 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 533 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 451 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1228 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 720 |
| 28-Apr | 150.0 | 145.9 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 920 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1080 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 2333 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 1452 |
| 29-Apr | 151.0 | 147.5 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1461 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 1774 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 2228 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 1823 |
| 30-Apr | 150.5 | 146.7 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 1571 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 494 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 3138 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 1538 |
| 01-May | 150.0 | 145.9 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 1339 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 615 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1 | 25 | 195 | 4876 | 1 | 42 | 45 | 1890 |
| 02 -May | 150.0 | 145.9 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 436 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 962 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 2852 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 1284 |
| 03-May | 153.0 | 150.8 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 1579 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 621 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 4499 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 2055 |
| 04-May | 155.5 | 154.9 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 1622 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 894 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 3347 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 1847 |
| 05-May | 159.0 | 160.8 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 1260 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 577 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 2099 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 1195 |
| 06-May | 164.0 | 169.3 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 663 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1094 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 3416 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 1438 |
| 07-May | 165.5 | 171.9 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 1796 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 1481 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 4897 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 2373 |
| 08 -May | 166.5 | 173.6 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 680 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 997 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 4328 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 1613 |
| 09-May | 168.0 | 176.2 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 1 | 5 | 115 | 575 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 1898 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 3556 | 1 | 37 | 47 | 1731 |
| 10-May | 168.0 | 176.2 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 806 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1012 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 3766 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 1544 |
| 11-May | 168.0 | 176.2 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 971 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1496 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 2550 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 1568 |
| 12-May | 168.5 | 177.1 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 854 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1203 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 2380 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 1378 |
| 13-May | 171.5 | 182.4 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1379 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 822 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 2427 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 1491 |
| 14-May | 174.5 | 187.8 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 1 | 9 | 129 | 1161 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 1692 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 2165 | 1 | 34 | 48 | 1631 |
| 15-May | 174.5 | 187.8 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 1 | 6 | 129 | 774 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 2 | 7 | 282 | 987 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1332 | 3 | 21 | 144 | 1007 |

Appendix 4. Daily catch of juvenile chinook salmon by rotary screw traps, and index of outmigrants at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1997.

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { RST } \\ & \text { staff } \\ & \text { gage } \\ & (\mathrm{cm}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | RST No. 1: |  |  |  |  |  |  | RST No. 2: |  |  |  |  |  | RST No. 3: |  |  |  |  |  | Total: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | River flow | Trap flow | Percent <br> flow | Catch |  | Population estimate: |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Trap } \\ & \text { flow } \\ & \left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Percent flow sampled | Catch: |  | Population estimate: |  | Trapflow$\left(\mathbf{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ | Percent flow sampled | Catch: |  | Population estimate: |  | Catch: |  | Population estimate: |  |
|  |  | $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ | ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ) | sampled | 1+ | 0+ | 1+ | 0+ |  |  | 1+ | 0+ | 1+ | 0+ |  |  | 1+ | 0+ | 1+ | 0+ | 1+ | 0+ | 1+ | 0+ |
| 16-May | 173.5 | 186.0 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 3 | 13 | 406 | 1758 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 3 | 8 | 430 | 1145 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 5744 | 6 | 51 | 306 | 2602 |
| 17-May | 172.5 | 184.2 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 3080 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 1843 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 2655 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 2526 |
| 18-May | 173.0 | 185.1 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1076 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 2422 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 2096 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 1828 |
| 19-May | 173.0 | 185.1 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 1 | 8 | 127 | 1016 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 712 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1096 | 1 | 16 | 57 | 907 |
| 20-May | 172.0 | 183.3 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 754 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1411 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1447 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 1123 |
| 21-May | 172.0 | 183.3 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 264 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 415 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 289 |
| 22-May | 169.0 | 178.0 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 269 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 388 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 168 |
| 23-May | 168.0 | 176.2 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 132 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 133 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 724 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 224 |
| 24-May | 168.0 | 176.2 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1447 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 224 |
| 25-May | 166.5 | 173.6 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 123 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 52 |
| 26-May | 165.5 | 171.9 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 488 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 136 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 259 |
| 27-May | 166.0 | 172.8 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 123 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 52 |
| 28-May | 166.0 | 172.8 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 1 | 1 | 123 | 123 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 273 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 266 | 1 | 4 | 52 | 208 |
| 29-May | 170.5 | 180.6 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 572 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1543 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 500 |
| 30-May | 173.5 | 186.0 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 442 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1985 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 514 |
| 31-May | 175.5 | 189.6 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 240 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 334 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 164 |
| 01-Jun | 178.5 | 195.0 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 370 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1032 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 338 |
| 02-Jun | 179.5 | 196.8 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 135 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 61 |
| 03-Jun | 180.5 | 198.6 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 1 | 0 | 136 | 0 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 61 | 0 |
| 04-Jun | 182.5 | 202.3 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 131 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 58 |
| 05-Jun | 185.5 | 207.9 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 452 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 60 |
| 06-Jun | 189.5 | 215.4 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 147 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 491 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 132 |
| 07-Jun | 193.5 | 223.1 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 2 | 1 | 304 | 152 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1525 | 2 | 4 | 136 | 273 |
| 08-Jun | 197.5 | 230.8 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $09-$-un | 200.5 | 236.7 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 309 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 181 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 207 |
| 10-Jun | 202.5 | 240.6 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11-Jun | 206.5 | 248.6 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12-Jun | 211.0 | 257.7 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 167 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 80 |
| 13-Jun | 213.0 | 261.8 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14-Jun | 215.0 | 265.9 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 347 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 218 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 250 |
| 15-Jun | 218.0 | 272.1 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 445 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 171 |
| 16-Jun | 219.0 | 274.2 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 179 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 224 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 172 |
| 17-Jun | 220.0 | 276.3 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 540 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 643 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 347 |
| 18-Jun | 222.0 | 280.5 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1203 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 225 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 642 |
| 19-Jun | 223.0 | 282.6 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 404 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 454 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 370 |
| 20-Jun | 223.5 | 283.7 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 185 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 90 |
| 21-Jun | 225.5 | 287.9 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 375 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 182 |
| 22-Jun | 230.0 | 297.6 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23-Jun | 233.0 | 304.1 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 216 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 110 |
| 24-Jun | 236.0 | 310.6 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25-Jun | 238.0 | 315.0 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 228 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 108 |
| 26-Jun | 240.0 | 319.5 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 27-Jun | 241.0 | 321.7 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Appendix 4. Daily catch of juvenile chinook salmon by rotary screw traps, and index of outmigrants at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1997.

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { RST } \\ & \text { staff } \\ & \text { gage } \\ & (\mathrm{cm}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | RST No. 1: |  |  |  |  |  |  | RST No. 2: |  |  |  |  |  | RST No. 3: |  |  |  |  |  | Total: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | River <br> flow | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Trap } \\ & \text { flow } \end{aligned}$ | Percent <br> flow | Catch |  | Population estimate: |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Trap } \\ & \text { flow } \\ & \left(\mathbf{m}^{3} / s\right) \end{aligned}$ | Percent flow sampled | Catch: |  | Population estimate: |  | Trapflow$\left(\mathbf{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ | Percent <br> flow <br> sampled | Catch: |  | Population estimate: |  | Catch: |  | Population estimate: |  |
|  |  | $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ | $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ | sampled | 1+ | 0+ | $1+$ | 0+ |  |  | $1+$ | 0+ | $1+$ | 0+ |  |  | 1+ | 0+ | $1+$ | 0+ | 1+ | 0+ | 1+ | 0+ |
| 28-Jun | 242.0 | 323.9 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 29 -Jun | 243.0 | 326.1 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30-Jun | 244.0 | 328.4 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 01-Jul | 244.0 | 328.4 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 02 -Jul | 245.0 | 330.6 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 03-Jul | 245.0 | 330.6 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 317 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 118 |
| 04-Jul | 244.5 | 329.5 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 05 -Jul | 245.5 | 331.8 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 241 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 118 |
| 06-Jul | 245.0 | 330.6 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 217 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 107 |
| 07-Jul | 245.0 | 330.6 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08 -Jul | 244.5 | 329.5 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 432 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 213 |
| 09-Jul | 245.0 | 330.6 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1039 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 118 |
| 10-Jul | 243.0 | 326.1 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11-Jul | 242.0 | 323.9 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 232 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 108 |
| 12-Jul | 241.0 | 321.7 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13-Jul | 241.0 | 321.7 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Da |  |  |  |  | 12 | 326 | 1548 | 39892 |  |  | 5 | 275 | 712 | 35030 |  |  | 2 | 639 | 303 | 103321 | 19 | 1240 | 956 | 54126 |
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Appendix 4. Daily catch of juvenile chinook salmon by rotary screw traps, and index of outmigrants at Diamond Island, Nechako River, 1997.

| Date | RST <br> staff <br> gage <br> (cm) | RST No. 1: |  |  |  |  |  |  | RST No. 2: |  |  |  |  |  | RST No. 3: |  |  |  |  |  | Total: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | River flow | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trap } \\ & \text { flow } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ \text { flow } \end{gathered}$ | Catch |  | Population estimate: |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Trap } \\ & \text { flow } \\ & \left(\mathbf{m}^{3} / \mathbf{s}\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Percent } \\ & \text { flow } \\ & \text { sampled } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Catch: |  | Population estimate: |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Trap } \\ \text { flow } \\ \left(\mathbf{m}^{3} / \mathbf{s}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Percent flow sampled | Catch: |  | Population estimate: |  | Catch: |  | Population estimate: |  |
|  |  | ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ) | ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ) | sampled | 1+ | 0+ | 1+ | 0+ |  |  | 1+ | 0+ | 1+ | 0+ |  |  | 1+ | 0+ | 1+ | 0+ | 1+ | 0+ | 1+ | 0+ |
| 27-Apr | 133.5 | 120.1 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 4 | 4 | 367 | 367 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1 | 10 | 97 | 971 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 2 | 5 | 212 | 529 | 7 | 19 | 228 | 620 |
| 28-Apr | 143.0 | 134.7 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1335 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1 | 8 | 125 | 997 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 2 | 2 | 239 | 239 | 3 | 21 | 122 | 853 |
| 29-Apr | 148.0 | 142.7 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1 | 1 | 128 | 128 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1320 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1 | 5 | 127 | 634 | 2 | 16 | 86 | 688 |
| 30-Apr | 150.5 | 146.7 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1 | 6 | 112 | 673 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1 | 12 | 124 | 1483 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1177 | 2 | 24 | 90 | 1086 |
| 01-May | 151.0 | 147.5 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 2030 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1 | 8 | 124 | 994 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1 | 43 | 197 | 8481 | 2 | 69 | 91 | 3139 |
| 02-May | 150.5 | 146.7 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 1536 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1 | 9 | 121 | 1088 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 3774 | 1 | 48 | 42 | 1999 |
| 03-May | 150.0 | 145.9 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 327 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 1683 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 5254 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 2154 |
| 04-May | 150.5 | 146.7 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 1975 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 3022 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 5736 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 3373 |
| 05-May | 154.5 | 153.3 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1 | 4 | 100 | 400 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 1 | 8 | 110 | 880 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 4912 | 2 | 39 | 81 | 1587 |
| 06-May | 157.0 | 157.4 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1 | 8 | 103 | 822 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 3051 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 17191 | 1 | 127 | 42 | 5307 |
| 07-May | 161.5 | 165.0 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 1939 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 2 | 11 | 237 | 1303 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 1 | 90 | 196 | 17629 | 3 | 119 | 131 | 5213 |
| 08 -May | 165.0 | 171.0 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 2 | 0 | 223 | 0 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 614 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 6699 | 2 | 38 | 91 | 1725 |
| 09-May | 166.0 | 172.8 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 1 | 7 | 113 | 790 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 372 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 3076 | 1 | 25 | 46 | 1146 |
| 10-May | 167.0 | 174.5 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1253 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 3257 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 1 | 22 | 207 | 4557 | 1 | 59 | 46 | 2733 |
| 11-May | 168.0 | 176.2 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 1 | 0 | 121 | 0 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 2 | 5 | 299 | 748 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1 | 7 | 182 | 1275 | 4 | 12 | 196 | 588 |
| 12-May | 168.0 | 176.2 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 485 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 449 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 4372 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 1519 |
| 13-May | 168.0 | 176.2 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 2 | 2 | 242 | 242 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 2 | 11 | 265 | 1456 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 5002 | 4 | 45 | 180 | 2026 |
| 14-May | 169.0 | 178.0 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 2 | 8 | 245 | 979 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 3 | 4 | 401 | 535 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 1 | 9 | 158 | 1421 | 6 | 21 | 273 | 955 |
| 15-May | 172.5 | 184.2 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 5 | 1 | 633 | 127 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 5 | 3 | 692 | 415 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 817 | 10 | 9 | 471 | 424 |
| 16-May | 174.5 | 187.8 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 4 | 4 | 546 | 546 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 3 | 0 | 434 | 0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1353 | 7 | 11 | 361 | 567 |
| 17-May | 174.0 | 186.9 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 3 | 1 | 408 | 136 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 432 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 2501 | 3 | 17 | 154 | 871 |
| 18-May | 172.5 | 184.2 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 1 | 8 | 134 | 1071 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 4 | 5 | 567 | 709 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 948 | 5 | 18 | 253 | 909 |
| 19-May | 172.5 | 184.2 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 3 | 2 | 379 | 253 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 3 | 2 | 425 | 284 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1454 | 6 | 8 | 339 | 452 |
| 20-May | 173.0 | 185.1 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 635 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 1 | 5 | 142 | 712 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1461 | 1 | 14 | 57 | 794 |
| 21-May | 172.0 | 183.3 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 277 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 799 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 231 |
| 22-May | 172.0 | 183.3 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 1 | 0 | 138 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 799 | 1 | 2 | 58 | 115 |
| 23-May | 170.0 | 179.7 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 1 | 2 | 135 | 270 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 271 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 57 | 228 |
| 24-May | 168.5 | 177.1 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 1 | 1 | 133 | 133 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 1 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 364 | 2 | 2 | 113 | 113 |
| 25-May | 168.0 | 176.2 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 1 | 1 | 125 | 125 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 418 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1 | 2 | 272 | 543 | 2 | 6 | 106 | 318 |
| 26-May | 168.0 | 176.2 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 1 | 1 | 125 | 125 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 418 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1358 | 1 | 9 | 53 | 478 |
| 27-May | 167.0 | 174.5 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 1 | 0 | 124 | 0 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 552 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1 | 3 | 269 | 807 | 2 | 7 | 105 | 368 |
| 28 -May | 165.0 | 171.0 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 121 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 406 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1317 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 464 |
| 29-May | 165.0 | 171.0 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 135 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 730 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 177 |
| 30-May | 168.5 | 177.1 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 1 | 1 | 140 | 140 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 153 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1513 | 1 | 6 | 61 | 367 |
| 31-May | 171.5 | 182.4 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 115 | 115 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 278 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 53 | 158 |
| 01-Jun | 174.5 | 187.8 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 2 | 1 | 237 | 119 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 662 | 2 | 3 | 109 | 163 |
| 02-Jun | 175.5 | 189.6 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 2 | 1 | 260 | 130 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 1 | 0 | 147 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 1 | 1 | 390 | 390 | 4 | 2 | 234 | 117 |
| 03-Jun | 178.5 | 195.0 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 1 | 0 | 134 | 0 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 1 | 2 | 151 | 302 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 401 | 2 | 3 | 121 | 181 |
| 04-Jun | 179.5 | 196.8 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 2 | 0 | 255 | 0 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 403 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 857 | 2 | 5 | 113 | 284 |
| 05-Jun | 181.5 | 200.5 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 137 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 58 |
| 06-Jun | 183.5 | 204.2 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 1 | 0 | 139 | 0 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1396 | 1 | 3 | 62 | 187 |
| 07-Jun | 187.5 | 211.7 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 576 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 310 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 965 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 517 |
| 08-Jun | 191.5 | 219.2 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 143 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 374 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 128 |


| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { RST } \\ & \text { staff } \\ & \text { gage } \\ & (\mathrm{cm}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { River } \\ & \text { flow } \\ & \left(\mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{~s}\right) \end{aligned}$ | RST No. 1: |  |  |  |  |  | RST No. 2: |  |  |  |  |  | RST No. 3: |  |  |  |  |  | Total: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Trap } \\ & \text { flow } \end{aligned}$ | Percent flow | Catch |  | Population estimate: |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Trap } \\ & \text { flow } \\ & \left(\mathbf{m}^{3} / \mathbf{s}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Percent flow sampled | Catch: |  | Population estimate: |  | Trap flow ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ) | Percent flow sampled | Catch: |  | Population estimate: |  | Catch: |  | Population estimate: |  |
|  |  |  | $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ | sampled | 1+ | 0+ | 1+ | 0+ |  |  | 1+ | 0+ | 1+ | 0+ |  |  | 1+ | 0+ | 1+ | 0+ | 1+ | 0+ | 1+ | 0+ |
| 09-Jun | 196.5 | 228.9 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 299 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 350 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 267 |
| $10-\mathrm{Jun}$ | 199.5 | 234.7 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11-Jun | 201.0 | 237.7 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 406 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 69 |
| 12-Jun | 203.5 | 242.6 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 315 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 377 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 302 |
| 13-Jun | 209.0 | 253.7 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 659 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 197 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 394 |
| 14-Jun | 211.0 | 257.7 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 168 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 211 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 599 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 243 |
| 15 -Jun | 214.0 | 263.9 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 344 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 216 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 248 |
| 16-Jun | 215.5 | 266.9 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 696 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 874 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 670 |
| 17-Jun | 218.0 | 272.1 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 177 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 85 |
| 18 -Jun | 219.0 | 274.2 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1764 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 880 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 1166 |
| 19-Jun | 220.5 | 277.4 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 991 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 668 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 726 |
| 20-Jun | 223.0 | 282.6 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 919 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 448 |
| 21-Jun | 223.0 | 282.6 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 184 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 443 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 820 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 358 |
| 22 -Jun | 223.5 | 283.7 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 404 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 490 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 412 |
| 23 -Jun | 228.0 | 293.3 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 626 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 319 |
| 24-Jun | 231.0 | 299.7 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 433 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 758 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 516 |
| 25 -Jun | 234.5 | 307.3 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 666 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 777 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 635 |
| 26-Jun | 237.0 | 312.8 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 226 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 791 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1880 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 646 |
| 27 -Jun | 238.5 | 316.1 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 228 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 950 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 218 |
| 28 -Jun | 240.0 | 319.5 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 29-Jun | 241.0 | 321.7 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1346 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 627 |
| 30-Jun | 241.0 | 321.7 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 437 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 282 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 326 |
| 01-Jul | 243.0 | 326.1 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 711 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 313 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 465 |
| 02 -Jul | 244.0 | 328.4 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1671 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 820 |
| 03 -Jul | 244.0 | 328.4 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 2149 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 945 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 1405 |
| 04-Jul | 244.5 | 329.5 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1437 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 948 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1058 |
| $05-\mathrm{Jul}$ | 244.5 | 329.5 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 240 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 118 |
| 06 -Jul | 245.5 | 331.8 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 2609 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 596 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 1499 |
| 07-Jul | 245.5 | 331.8 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1740 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 596 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1071 |
| 08-Jul | 244.5 | 329.5 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1296 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 296 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 744 |
| 09-Jul | 244.5 | 329.5 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 476 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 598 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 470 |
| 10-Jul | 244.5 | 329.5 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 2142 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 299 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1175 |
| 11-Jul | 244.5 | 329.5 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 236 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 881 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 441 |
| 12-Jul | 242.0 | 323.9 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 2552 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 577 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 1410 |
| 13-Jul | 241.5 | 322.8 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1387 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 863 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 973 |
| Total Ni |  |  |  |  | 100 | 446 | 9024 | 58624 |  |  | 60 | 505 | 6399 | 58990 |  |  | 37 | 815 | 4498 | 138930 | 197 | 1766 | 7008 | 79686 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 112 | 772 | 10572 | 98516 |  |  | 65 | 780 | 7110 | 94020 |  |  | 39 | 1454 | 4802 | 242252 | 216 | 3006 | 7963 | 133812 |


[^0]:    Note: the number of outmigrants estimated in 1991 (brood year 1990) is not comparable to the numbers of outmigrants estimated in subsequent years because one of the RSTs in 1991 had a wooden wing attached to one side that funneled additional fry into the RST, and which, therefore, required the assumption of greater flow into the trap.

